



# Clerk & Recorder

Hillary Hall

## NOTICE OF ELECTION

**November 1, 2011 - Election Day**

**Mail ballot election only. There will be no polling places.**



### **NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES/TO INCREASE DEBT/ ON A CITIZEN PETITION/ON A REFERRED MEASURE.**

**This pamphlet contains summary statements for ballot propositions as required by the State of Colorado Constitution, Article X, Section 20.**

**A “yes” vote on any ballot issue is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a “no” vote on any ballot issue is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.**

---

This notice is mailed to each address with one or more active, registered electors. You may not be eligible to vote on all issues presented in this notice.

**[www.BoulderCountyVotes.org](http://www.BoulderCountyVotes.org)**

**Boulder County Coordinated Election**  
*Election Day: Tuesday, November 1, 2011*

**Notice of Mail-In Ballot Election – No Polling Places**

*Receiving this notice does not guarantee that you are registered to vote.*

*Visit [www.BoulderCountyVotes.org](http://www.BoulderCountyVotes.org) to verify your registration status.*

**Boulder County Clerk & Recorder's Office Locations:**

*(open 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Monday-Friday)*

**Boulder:** 1750 33rd Street

**Longmont:** 529 Coffman Street

**Louisville:** 722 Main Street

**Phone:** 303-413-7740

**Fax:** 303-413-7750 or 303-413-7728

**Email:** [Vote@BoulderCountyVotes.org](mailto:Vote@BoulderCountyVotes.org)

**Website:** [www.BoulderCountyVotes.org](http://www.BoulderCountyVotes.org)

**Important reminders:**

- Check your registration details at [www.BoulderCountyVotes.org](http://www.BoulderCountyVotes.org).
- Ballots will be mailed to eligible voters during the week of Oct. 11.
- After you receive your ballot, if you make a mistake, damage it or lose it, you may request a replacement ballot by emailing [Vote@BoulderCountyVotes.org](mailto:Vote@BoulderCountyVotes.org) or calling 303-413-7740.
- Voted ballots may be returned to the Boulder County Clerk & Recorder's Office by mail or delivered to one of the designated drop-off locations during business hours. See the back inside cover of this guide for a list of drop-off locations and service centers.
- Voted ballots must be received by the Boulder County Clerk & Recorder's Office no later than 7 p.m. on Election Day to be counted. Ballots received after 7 p.m. on Election Day will not be counted. Postmarks do not count as a received date.
- After returning your ballot, check your voter information at [www.BoulderCountyVotes.org](http://www.BoulderCountyVotes.org) to verify it was received.

CITY OF BOULDER  
Office of the City Clerk  
1777 BROADWAY  
P.O. BOX 791  
BOULDER, CO 80306  
(303) 441-3011

**NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES AND DEBT AND FOR REVENUE CHANGES**

**(i) Ballot title and text for Ballot Issue 2A:**

BONDING FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

**BALLOT ISSUE NO. 2A**

SHALL CITY OF BOULDER DEBT BE INCREASED UP TO \$49,000,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$82,000,000, WITH NO INCREASE IN ANY CITY TAX; and

SHALL THE BOND PROCEEDS BE USED FOR FUNDING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS THAT MAY INCLUDE WITHOUT LIMITATION:

- REPAIRING AND MAINTAINING STREETS AND PATHWAYS;
- REPAIRING AND REPLACING STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES;
- COMPLETING MISSING LINKS IN THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM
- REPAIRING AND RENOVATING AGING CITY FACILITIES;
- REPLACING AND MODERNIZING CORE SERVICE COMPUTER SOFTWARE;
- MODERNIZING BASIC POLICE AND FIRE SAFETY FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT;
- RENOVATING AND REPAIRING PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES;
- RENOVATING PORTIONS OF THE MAIN LIBRARY; AND
- IMPROVING CONNECTIONS AND STREETSCAPES DOWNTOWN; AND

SHALL THIS PURPOSE BE ACCOMPLISHED BY THE ISSUANCE AND PAYMENT OF BONDS OF THE CITY, AT A NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 5.5% PER YEAR AND WITH A MATURITY DATE NOT TO EXCEED 20 YEARS FROM THE RESPECTIVE DATES OF ISSUANCE; AND

SHALL SUCH BONDS BE ISSUED, DATED, AND SOLD AT

SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAIN SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AS THE CITY COUNCIL MAY DETERMINE, SUCH BONDS TO BE PAYABLE FROM ANY LEGALLY AVAILABLE FUNDS IN THE CITY'S GENERAL FUND

AND IN CONNECTION THEREWITH SHALL ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS (REGARDLESS OF THE AMOUNT) CONSTITUTE A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

FOR THE MEASURE \_\_\_\_

AGAINST THE MEASURE \_\_\_\_

**BALLOT ISSUE 2A - (Increase debt for the purpose of funding capital improvement projects included in Ordinance 7798 adopted by the City)**

- (ii) N/A
- (iii) N/A
- (iv) For proposed City bonded debt described in Ballot Issue 2A, its principal amount and maximum annual and total City repayment cost, and the principal balance of total current City bonded debt and its maximum annual and remaining total repayment cost

**Proposed City Bonded Debt**

Principal Amount	\$49,000,000
Max Annual Payment Cost	\$4,070,100
Total Max Repayment Cost	\$82,000,000

**Current City General Obligation Debt Outstanding**

Principal Amount	\$45,380,000
Max Annual Payment Cost	\$8,632,000
Remaining Repayment Cost	\$53,056,000

**The following summaries were prepared from materials filed by persons in favor of or opposed to the ballot issue:**

**Those in favor say:**

- Ever since the 2001-2003 economic downturn which led to a major cut in the City's budget (\$13.2 million), the City has struggled to fund significant capital needs.
- This challenge became even more serious with the economic recession in 2008-2009 and further reductions in the City's budget.

**CITY OF BOULDER**

- In 2011, the City Council decided to pursue a new capital investment strategy to address critical deficiencies such as substandard bridges and high priority needs such as replacement of outdated business software.
- The Council directed the City Manager to appoint a community committee to develop a 2011 bonding package that would fund key maintenance and renovation of existing facilities as well as high priority facility improvements WITHOUT RAISING CITY TAXES.
- The result is Ballot Issue 2A which authorizes the City to issue capital improvement bonds up to \$49 million dollars.
- These bonds will be paid back from existing revenue sources including the Accommodations Tax, the “de-bruced” property tax, recently paid off bonds and budget savings.
- NO INCREASE IN ANY CITY TAX IS NEEDED TO FUND THESE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BONDS.
- The types of projects that will be undertaken with the bond proceeds are listed in the ballot language and include such efforts as repair and maintenance of streets and pathways, modernizing basic police and fire safety facilities and equipment and renovating portions of the main library.
- A YES vote on Ballot Issue 2A will provide funding for much needed renovations and repairs and put the City on a more sustainable footing with regard to its capital facilities, equipment and transportation system.

**Those opposed say:**

No comments were submitted

**(i) Ballot title and text for Ballot Issue 2B:**

**Ballot Issue No. 2B  
Increase and Extend the Utility  
Occupation Tax**

SHALL CITY OF BOULDER TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,900,000 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) THROUGH AN INCREASE OF UP TO THAT AMOUNT IN THE CURRENT UTILITY OCCUPATION TAX WITH THE ANNUAL INCREASE BEING FOR THE PURPOSE OF FUNDING THE COSTS OF FURTHER EXPLORATION OF AND PLANNING FOR BOTH THE CREATION OF A MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC UTILITY AND

ACQUIRING AN EXISTING ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM, AND SHALL THE CITY’S UTILITY OCCUPATION TAX BE EXTENDED FROM ITS CURRENT EXPIRATION DATE OF DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND EXPIRE ON THE EARLIER OF: (1) DECEMBER 31, 2017, (2) WHEN THE CITY DECIDES NOT TO CREATE A MUNICIPAL UTILITY, OR (3) WHEN IT COMMENCES DELIVERY OF MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC UTILITY SERVICES; WITH THE EXTENSION OF THE EXISTING TAX BEING USED TO CONTINUE TO SUPPORT LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES, AND SHALL THE REVENUE FROM SUCH TAX INCREASE AND EXTENSION AND ALL EARNINGS THEREON (REGARDLESS OF AMOUNT) CONSTITUTE A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE, AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

FOR THE MEASURE \_\_\_\_

AGAINST THE MEASURE \_\_\_\_

**BALLOT ISSUE 2B - (Increasing the Utility Occupation Tax of Chapter 3-13 “Utility Occupation Tax” by \$1,900,000 annually - Ord 7808)**

(ii) Estimated total City fiscal year spending for the current year and actuals for each of the past four years, and the overall percentage and dollar change.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Dollar Spending</u>
2007 Actual	\$167,912,000
2008 Actual	\$172,035,000
2009 Actual	\$153,804,000
2010 Actual	\$176,392,000
2011 Adopted	\$166,497,000

Overall Percentage Change from 2007 to 2011 (.008%)

Overall Dollar Change from 2007 to 2011 (\$1,415,000)

(iii) For the first full fiscal year of this proposed City tax increase (2012), the City estimates that the maximum dollar amount of the increase in the excise tax will be \$1,900,000 and that the City fiscal year spending without the increase is recommended to be \$170,315,000.

**The following summaries were prepared from materials filed by persons in favor of or opposed to the ballot issue:**

**Those in favor say:**

2B does two things to the Utility Occupation Tax

- extends the tax to replace the franchise fee previously collected through Xcel.
- increases the tax by about \$1/month for the average residential customer to fund costs to further evaluate a municipal electric utility.

If these costs indicate that Boulder can match Xcel’s rates at the time of anticipated startup, the ballot items allow us to have more stable rates, reduce our carbon footprint, create local hi-tech jobs and stimulate our economy. But if costs are too high, the process will be halted and the tax will expire.

**Arguments in favor of 2B include:**

- Presently Xcel takes about \$15 million a year in profits from the City of Boulder a year; worth spending about 1% of this annual revenue for a few years to see what the alternatives might be.
- Keeping more of this \$100 million in expenditures in electricity can be kept in the community it can help increase local economic vitality.
- Boulder could conduct “comparison shopping” for electricity.
- Preliminary modeling and analysis indicates that a Boulder municipal utility could meet or beat Xcel’s rates and reliability while greatly reducing carbon emissions and other environmental pollutants.
- No final decision will be made on municipalization until final determination of the acquisition and stranded costs.
- Boulder can only resolve issues related to the acquisition and stranded costs by moving through the legal processes that will determine these costs.
- It is worth spending less than a \$1/month to see if there is a better, lower-carbon alternative.

2B represents a very reasonable investment, because we have a high probability of a positive outcome with respect to the major costs:

- “stranded asset” concern, (i.e. compensating Xcel for

facilities that wouldn’t have a market if Boulder leaves Xcel), the City has strong arguments to make that the City has no obligation to Xcel because Xcel has been on notice for years that the City was considering forming a municipal utility, Xcel has found adequate markets for all its existing excess capacity, and Xcel forecasts that it will need additional generating capacity in a few years, negating the “stranded asset” issue entirely.

- With respect to the other major cost concern, acquiring the distribution system (poles, wires, transformers, substations, etc.), the numbers are already close.
- As a result Boulder will not have to pay Xcel’s attorneys fees in condemnation.
- Municipalization costs (including operating and debt service) cannot exceed Xcel’s at startup.

City Charter amendments will then limit the amount of money the city’s General Fund can take from the utility so it is not a “cash cow” for the city.

Other Charter rules ensure that rates will be fair and all classes of rate payers will be protected, and that the school district will receive a replacement for property taxes.

Benefits are assured by Charter provisions requiring that the City have a plan to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and other pollutants and to increase renewable energy.

**Those opposed say:**

- Issue 2B is a tax increase for the sole purpose of paying for the condemnation, acquisition and takeover of the electric power system infrastructure from Xcel Energy. This is an extraordinarily high-risk, high-cost plan that will not achieve Boulder’s energy goals or ensure more renewable energy.
- Funding the municipalization of the power utility is a waste of City resources and tax dollars because municipalization gives City Council unlimited bonding authority to finance the takeover of the utility. This is a blank check because we don’t know how much it will cost.
- The municipalization effort that will be funded through the Issue 2B tax increase is the only option being offered to Boulder voters to achieve our community’s energy goals. A “no” vote on Issue 2B means we can explore other options for our energy future, without asking voters for a blank check and no future voter approvals required.

- The municipalization plan gives City Council the authority to borrow any amount, with no further vote from citizens. There is no on-going guarantee that the City utility rates will be comparable with those of Xcel Energy - rates could go through the roof.
- The costs to condemn and purchase the power system infrastructure are unknown, but published estimates range from about \$300 million to over \$600 million. The huge amount of debt does not include the costs of any additional renewable energy or any generation capacity.
- Increasing taxes to pursue municipalization won't advance our environmental goals. Boulder taxpayers will pay millions of dollars in studies, legal costs and start-up costs for years, with no guarantees that a City-owned utility would receive one more kilowatt hour of renewable energy or reduce our carbon emissions.
- There are no guarantees that a power utility run by the City - with no prior experience - would provide the reliable service that residents, businesses and community institutions are accustomed to.
- Municipalization would cut off Boulder from various energy efficiency and solar rebate programs offered by Xcel Energy, and no guarantees for how to fund those programs in a City-owned utility.
- The City has estimated that our rates could increase by 16 percent with a municipally-owned utility. That would be a huge impact on our schools, hospitals, businesses, families and seniors.
- City Council will set utility rates in Boulder. Our local politicians would have conflicting duties: raising enough revenue through our utility rates to pay off bonds, while trying to 'protect' different classes of consumers. Our City-owned water utility has raised its rates by 159% over 20 years.
- Major questions remain on how a City-owned utility would separate its power infrastructure from Xcel Energy. Boulder may need to install new power lines and substations, or be forced to annex areas outside the City's boundaries. The costs to separate are unknown, and voters should have that information to make an informed decision.

**THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. R2-J**

**TO ALL REGISTERED VOTERS  
notice of election TO INCREASE TAXES**

**THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. R2-J  
(LARIMER, WELD AND BOULDER COUNTIES, COLORADO)**

Local Election Office:  
800 South Taft Avenue, Loveland, Colorado 80537

Telephone: (970) 613-5015

Election Date: Tuesday, November 1, 2011

Election Hours: 7:00 A.M. to 7:00 P.M.

**Ballot Title and Text of Ballot Issue 3A:**

“SHALL THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT R2-J’S TAXES BE INCREASED \$12.8 MILLION ANNUALLY (OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS THE BOARD OF EDUCATION MAY ANNUALLY DETERMINE) TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DISTRICT FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES TO BE APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF EDUCATION WHICH SHALL INCLUDE BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO:

- A. RESTORING AND SUPPORTING ACADEMIC PROGRAMS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING AND MATHEMATICS;
- B. RESTORING TEACHER AND CLASSROOM SUPPORT POSITIONS LOST DUE TO REDUCTIONS IN STATE FUNDING;
- C. MAINTAINING REASONABLE CLASS SIZES; AND
- D. PROVIDING EQUAL ACCESS TO CLASSROOM INSTRUCTIONAL TECHNOLOGY DISTRICT-WIDE;

WITH SUCH TAXES TO BE RAISED IN THE 2011-2012 BUDGET YEAR AND EACH BUDGET YEAR THEREAFTER THROUGH THE 2023-24 BUDGET YEAR BY A MILL LEVY CERTIFIED AGAINST THE ASSESSED VALUATION OF ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY IN THE DISTRICT, WHICH SHALL BE IN ADDITION TO THE AMOUNT OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES THAT OTHERWISE WOULD BE PROVIDED FOR THE GENERAL FUND WITHOUT SUCH INCREASE, BUT IN NO EVENT SHALL SUCH TAX INCREASE BE GREATER THAN THE AMOUNT PERMITTED UNDER SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., AND WITH SUCH ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX REVENUES

TOGETHER WITH REVENUES FROM SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES ATTRIBUTABLE THERETO AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AND TAXES TO CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES UNDER, TO BE COLLECTED AND SPENT EACH YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION BY THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF, AND WITHOUT AFFECTING THE DISTRICT’S ABILITY TO COLLECT AND SPEND OTHER REVENUES OR FUNDS UNDER, ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?”

**Fiscal Year Spending Information**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Fiscal Year Spending (FYS)*</b>
2007-08 (Actual)	\$121,451,044
2008-09 (Actual)	\$122,685,725
2009-10 (Actual)	\$124,839,258
2010-11 (Actual)	\$117,349,230
2011-12 (Current Year Estimated)	\$116,392,195

Overall FYS percentage change through 5 years (2007-08 - 2011-12): -4.2%  
Overall FYS dollar change through 5 years (2007-08 - 2011-12): \$(5,058,849)

\* Does not include payments on bonded debt of \$11,559,279 in fiscal year 2007-08; \$11,420,732 in fiscal year 2008-09; \$12,121,471 in fiscal year 2009-10; \$12,558,488 in fiscal year 2010-11; and \$12,344,125 in fiscal year 2011-12.

Fiscal year spending without the tax increase in the ballot issue is estimated to be up to \$116,392,195 in the 2011-12 Fiscal Year. The proposed maximum dollar tax increase in the ballot issue is \$12,800,000 in such Fiscal Year.

**SUMMARIES OF WRITTEN COMMENTS FILED WITH THE ELECTION OFFICER**

**The following summaries were prepared from comments filed by persons FOR the proposal:**

Your YES vote on Issue 3A is critical-not just for our local schools but our local economy.

Employers seek quality schools. It’s among the top three criteria of businesses seeking to relocate or expand. Now is not the time to let our local schools AND our local economy take a giant step backwards.

The major employers in our community demand a workforce that is well-trained. These employers also demand quality schools for their children. We’re talking about custom manufacturing, innovative technologies, renewable and traditional

energy, research and entrepreneurial ventures. These businesses will not expand-let alone remain in our community-if we let the quality of our schools deteriorate.

It is also vital that we remain a strong partner with the new ventures at the Aerospace & Clean Energy Manufacturing Park. They will demand high-performing, quality schools.

Losing businesses, professionals, physicians and young families as a result of the quality of our schools will also put further pressure on an already weak local real estate market.

All of the proceeds of Issue 3A stay local with local control. None of the monies go to Denver or the state legislature. Issue 3A also sunsets in twelve years.

The proceeds of Issue 3A will help ensure that the school district can implement their Vision 2020 strategic plan and:

- 1) Add courses in science, technology, engineering and math, as well as restore other rigorous programs that have been cut in recent years
- 2) Restore classroom teacher positions and instructional support
- 3) Maintain class sizes, especially at the elementary school level
- 4) Provide updated instructional technology district-wide
- 5) Help prevent losing our best teachers to other Front Range school districts

We're talking about a monthly investment of \$6.64 per \$100,000 of a home's market value. It's a modest investment with significant benefits to our kids and our community.

Improving our schools strengthens our local economy. If our kids can't compete, we all lose.

Vote YES on Issue 3A. Strong schools are critical to a strong local economy.

**The following summaries were prepared from comments filed by persons AGAINST the proposal:**

No comments were filed by the constitutional deadline.



# PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT R-3

## ALL REGISTERED VOTERS

### PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT R-3 BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES ON A REFERRED MEASURE

Election by Mail Ballot

Deadline for receipt of ballots: November 1, 2011 7:00 p.m.

Local election office address and phone number:

Designated Election Official: Ruth A. Miller, Designated Election Official; Park School District R-3, 1605 Brodie Avenue, Estes Park, Colorado 80517; telephone number (970) 586-2361, Ext. 3001

Coordinated Election Official: Hillary Hall, Boulder County Clerk and Recorder; 1750 33rd St., Suite 200, Boulder, Colorado 80301; telephone number (303) 413-7700

Ballot title and text:

#### PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT R-3 BALLOT ISSUE 3B

SHALL PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT R-3 TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$750,000 ANNUALLY FOR THE NEXT THREE YEARS TO, AMONG OTHER THINGS,

- REMAIN COMPETITIVE WITH OTHER SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN SALARY AND BENEFITS PAID TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN HIGH QUALITY TEACHERS AND STAFF,
- RESTORE FUNDING FROM RECURRING BUDGETARY REDUCTIONS IN THE AREAS OF: CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS, PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT, TECHNOLOGY AND INFRASTRUCTURE, AND CAPITAL PROJECTS, AND
- REDUCE THE NEED TO SPEND THE DISTRICT RESERVE FUND BALANCE TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR K-12 EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

BY AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX TO BE LEVIED AT A RATE SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE AMOUNT SPECIFIED ABOVE, WHICH TAXES SHALL BE DEPOSITED INTO THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DISTRICT, SHALL BE IN ADDITION TO THE PROPERTY TAXES THAT OTHERWISE WOULD BE LEVIED FOR THE GENERAL FUND AND SHALL CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?

#### Actual historical and current estimated fiscal year spending information:

Year	Fiscal Year Spending <sup>1</sup>
2007-2008 (actual)	\$29,270,132
2008-2009 (actual)	\$17,584,941
2009-2010 (actual)	\$14,685,019
2010-2011 (actual)	\$13,825,658
2011-2012 (current year estimated)	\$14,219,877

Overall percentage change in fiscal year spending over the five year period from 2007-2008 through 2011-2012: (51.42)%

Overall dollar change in fiscal year spending over the five year period from 2007-2008 through 2011-2012: \$(15,050,255)

Estimated 2011-2012 fiscal year spending without taking into account the tax increase authorized by Ballot Issue 3B: \$14,219,877

Estimated 2011-2012 tax increase authorized by Ballot Issue 3B: \$750,000

1 Fiscal year spending includes amounts expended by the District for bonded debt service.

Summaries of written comments filed with the election officer: The following summaries were prepared from comments filed by persons FOR Ballot Issue 3B:

The Estes Park School District is a small school district with less than 1,200 students. While being a small district has many advantages, providing our students with the number and variety of programs needed to prepare them for college and employment (and offered by our larger neighboring districts) is a challenge. These challenges are heightened when student enrollment declines, and when the State of Colorado reduces its support for education through the School Finance Act. Notwithstanding these challenges, Estes Park School District still needs to:

- Ensure that teacher salaries remain competitive so that we can attract and retain the best teachers and staff for our students,
- Provide our students quality curriculum and instructional materials,
- Provide on-going staff development for our teachers so student learning will not suffer, and
- Maintain a strong fiduciary position with respect to our financial position. Voting YES on 3B can help achieve these goals.

The Estes Park area includes many college educated adults who have high expectations for our community's students. Even with limited funding due to our small size the District provides a quality education for our students. A quality school district is essential for a vibrant community. Many young professionals with school age children provide important services to all members of our community. It is critical to Estes Park's ongoing efforts to attract other professionals with young children to our area.

The \$750,000 additional funding request of 3B represents about the reduction of funding Estes Park Schools has received under the School Finance Act over the past 3 funding cycles through the current fiscal year. Three times since 1997 (in 1997, 1999, and 2006) Estes Park voters have responded favorably to our requests for additional funding so that the District could continue its tradition of providing educational excellence in our small community. With this request, the District has exhausted its ability to make up for shortfalls in state funding, running up against the statutory authority allowed under the Colorado School Finance Act. Voters in other resort communities in Colorado, faced with the same problem, have voted to make-up this funding shortfall.

The positive impact that this additional operating funding will have on our students and staff can be accomplished with a relatively small change in taxes. For a \$350,000 single family home (the district average and allowing for a slight decline - 1% to 2% - in the past appraisal), property taxes will increase about \$4 per month from current "school" taxes with the success of 3B.

To be competitive with other school districts in the salary and benefits paid to attract and retain high quality teachers and staff, and to make sure we can provide greater learning opportunities to maintain the high quality of education provide for our students, VOTE YES ON 3B.

**The following summaries were prepared from comments filed by persons AGAINST Ballot Issue 3B:**

No comments were filed by the constitutional deadline.

# COAL CREEK CANYON PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT

## TO ALL REGISTERED VOTERS NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE TAXES/TO INCREASE DEBT/ON A REFERRED MEASURE

### COAL CREEK CANYON PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT BOULDER AND JEFFERSON COUNTIES, COLORADO

**Election Date:** Tuesday, November 1, 2011  
**Election Hours:** 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.  
The election shall be coordinated with the Counties and conducted by mail ballot. Ballots will be distributed by U.S. Mail sent not earlier than October 11, 2011, and not later than October 14, 2011, to all verified eligible electors of the District.

**Local Election Office Address  
and Telephone Number:** Coal Creek Canyon Park and  
Recreation District  
c/o Designated Election Official  
1700 Lincoln Street, Suite 3800  
Denver, Colorado 80203  
303-839-3912

#### Ballot Titles and Text:

#### COAL CREEK CANYON PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE NO. 4A:

(Operations and Maintenance Mill Levy - Ad Valorem Taxes)  
"SHALL COAL CREEK CANYON PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$104,260 IN FISCAL YEAR 2012 AND BY WHATEVER TAX REVENUES ARE GENERATED BY AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY RATE IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER NOT TO EXCEED THREE (3.0) MILLS TO BE USED FOR THE ACQUISITION OF LAND FOR A COMMUNITY PARK, RECREATIONAL FACILITIES, TRAILS, OPEN SPACE AND NATURAL AREAS, AND THE DISTRICT'S ADMINISTRATION, MAINTENANCE AND OPERATING EXPENSES PROVIDED THAT SUCH MILL LEVY RATE MAY BE ADJUSTED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT LEGISLATIVE OR CONSTITUTIONALLY IMPOSED ADJUSTMENTS IN ASSESSED VALUES OR THE METHOD OF THEIR CALCULATION OCCURRING AFTER JANUARY 1, 2012, SO THAT, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THE ACTUAL REVENUES GENERATED BY SUCH MILL LEVY ARE NEITHER REDUCED NOR INCREASED AS A RESULT OF SUCH CHANGES; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND ANY INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT IN FISCAL YEAR 2012 AND IN EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER FOR AS LONG AS THE DISTRICT CONTINUES IN EXISTENCE, SUCH AUTHORIZATION TO CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WHICH MAY BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THE LIMITS IMPOSED ON INCREASES IN PROPERTY TAXATION BY SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S. IN ANY YEAR, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT THE DISTRICT'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS OR AS IT MAY BE AMENDED IN THE FUTURE, ALL WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?" YES/NO

*The estimated maximum dollar amount of the proposed tax increase for 2012, the first full fiscal year of proposed tax increase is \$104,260. The estimated fiscal year spending of the District without the proposed tax increase is \$10,000.*

#### COAL CREEK CANYON PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE NO. 4B:

(DeTABORing)  
"SHALL COAL CREEK CANYON PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN, AND SPEND THE FULL AMOUNT OF ALL REVENUES COLLECTED OR RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT DURING 2012 AND EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH AMOUNTS TO CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THE LIMITS IMPOSED ON INCREASES IN PROPERTY TAXATION BY SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S. IN ANY SUBSEQUENT YEAR, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT THE DISTRICT'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS OR AS IT MAY BE AMENDED IN THE FUTURE, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?" YES/NO

There is no maximum dollar amount attributable to the proposed tax policy change. In the absence of the proposed tax policy change, the fiscal year spending for 2012 will be approximately \$10,000.

#### Total District Fiscal Year Spending

2011 (estimated)	\$90,000
2010 (actual)	\$10,767
2009 (actual)	\$255
2008 (actual)	\$-0-
2007 (actual)	\$-0-

The overall percentage change and dollar change from fiscal year 2007 to fiscal year 2011 are N/A% and \$90,000, respectively.

#### Summary of Written Comments FOR Ballot Proposals

- The mill levy issue responds to specific recreational needs identified through input from Canyon residents. The proposed Park, expanded trails, recreational improvements at Coal Creek Canyon K-8 school, and recreational programs would serve our Canyon's youth, seniors and adults.
- Now is the time to invest in land for a Community Park dedicated to serving Coal Creek Canyon while parcels are still available.
- Without reliable funding and investment from the community, there is no practical means of developing a Community Park and Park Center facility.
- Residents are participating in the design, creation and management of the recreational amenities so they fit the needs of residents and character of Coal Creek Canyon. The District board has demonstrated its ability and willingness to involve the community and respond to residents' needs.
- All landowners benefit from setting aside land for the community. With more recreational opportunities in the Canyon, there would be less commuting needed, saving time and money for residents, reducing the traffic and environmental impact, and increasing property values.

#### Summary of Written Comments AGAINST Ballot Proposals

No comments were filed by the constitutional deadline.

**BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO**

**ALL REGISTERED VOTERS  
BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO  
Notice of Election - by Mail Ballots  
Deadline for Receipt of Ballots:  
November 1, 2011 - 7:00 p.m.  
Boulder County Clerk's Office  
Main office: 1750 33<sup>rd</sup> St.  
Boulder, CO 80301  
303-413-7740**

**NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE DEBT/ON A REFERRED MEASURE**

**PINE BROOK HILLS BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT ISSUE 5A: [SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND ISSUE IN THE PINE BROOK HILLS BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT]**

**Ballot Title:**

SHALL BOULDER COUNTY DEBT (FOR PINE BROOK HILLS BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT) BE INCREASED \$2,654,593 , WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$4,644,700 , FOR THE PURPOSE OF REHABILITATING EXISTING PAVED ROADS, INCLUDING COSTS INCIDENTAL THERETO, AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF RESERVES THEREFOR, BY THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS PAYABLE FROM SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS IMPOSED AGAINST BENEFITED PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE DISTRICT AND OTHER LEGALLY AVAILABLE FUNDS, WHICH BONDS SHALL BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAIN SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL THE REVENUES FROM SUCH SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS AND ANY EARNINGS THEREON AND FROM THE INVESTMENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?

YES \_\_\_ NO \_\_\_

**Text of PINE BROOK HILLS BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT ISSUE 5A Proposal:**

**Resolution No. 2011-95\***

**APPROVING A BALLOT TITLE FOR A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND ISSUE IN THE PINE BROOK HILLS LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT.**

WHEREAS, a public hearing to discuss the proposed ballot title for the ballot issue noted below was held on August 11, 2011 after proper public notice, pursuant to Section 31-11-111, C.R.S., by the Board of County Commissioners; and WHEREAS, this Board reviewed the proposed ballot title according to the following guidelines as set forth in section 31-11-111(3), C.R.S.: consider the public confusion that might be caused by a misleading title; avoid titles for which the general understanding of the effect of a "yes" or "no" vote would be unclear; no conflict with titles selected for any other measure that will appear on the County ballot in the same election; and the title shall correctly and fairly express the

true intent and meaning of the measure; and WHEREAS, the Board finds that the ballot title set forth below meets the statutory guidelines; and WHEREAS, the proposed assessments are for the purpose of reconstructing all roads within Pine Brook Hills and Fountain Tree Subdivisions with new asphalt, including all of the roads set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto, for the benefit of the properties also identified in Exhibit A; and WHEREAS, this Board intends to create the district by resolution and issue a preliminary order and undertake all required procedures necessary to proceed under part 6 of article 20 of title 30, C.R.S., as amended, prior to the November 1, 2011 election.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the ballot title set forth below, subject to amendment prior to final certification to the ballot, is hereby set for placement on the November 1, 2011 election ballot:

**TITLE OF DISTRICT-WIDE BALLOT ISSUE TO INCREASE INDEBTEDNESS:**

SHALL BOULDER COUNTY DEBT (FOR PINE BROOK HILLS BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT) BE INCREASED \$2,654,593 , WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$4,644,700 , FOR THE PURPOSE OF REHABILITATING EXISTING PAVED ROADS, INCLUDING COSTS INCIDENTAL THERETO, AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF RESERVES THEREFOR, BY THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS PAYABLE FROM SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS IMPOSED AGAINST BENEFITED PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE DISTRICT AND OTHER LEGALLY AVAILABLE FUNDS, WHICH BONDS SHALL BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAIN SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL THE REVENUES FROM SUCH SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS AND ANY EARNINGS THEREON AND FROM THE INVESTMENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

ADOPTED this 30<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2011, by the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Boulder, State of Colorado.\*As revised by Resolution No. 2011-113.

EXHIBIT A to Resolution No. 2011-95 as revised by Resolution No. 2011-113:

Roads to be reconstructed are:

- Linden Dr.; Timber Ln; Pinetree Ln; Alpine Way; N. Cedarbrook; S. Cedarbrook; Wildhorse Cir; Balsam Ln; Beaver Way; Hawk Ln.; and Alder Ln\*

\*Alder Lane will be included in the District's improvements but will be financed via separate assessments, not through the special assessment bonds.

Proposed Legal Boundaries for the **Pine Brook Hills Boulder County Local Improvement District** (Note: some attrition of properties from the District is possible under the provisions of state law in connection with the conduct of the District Hearing to be held this fall.)

# BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO

## Pine Brook Hills Local Improvement District Lots

Lots 1 through 12, Fountaintree  
 Lots 1 through 60, Pine Brook Hills 1  
 Lots 1 & 2, Pine Brook Hills 1 Replat  
 Lots 8B & 9B, Pine Brook Hills 1 Replat B  
 Lots 61 through 114, Pine Brook Hills 2  
 Lots 115 through 159, Pine Brook Hills 3  
 Lots 148 & 149, Pine Brook Hills Unit 3, Replat A  
 Lot 1, Pine Brook Hills Unit 3, Replat C  
 Lots 2C & 3C and Lots 150, 156, 157, & 158, Pine Brook Hills Unit 3 Replat D & Unit 6 Replat D  
 Lots 160 through 232, Pine Brook Hills 4  
 Lots 164A & 165A, Pine Brook Hills Replat  
 Lot 160A, Pine Brook Hills 4, Replat A  
 Lots 233 through 285, Pine Brook Hills 5  
 Lots 267 & 268, Pine Brook Hills 5, Replat A  
 Lots 286 through 338, Pine Brook Hills 6  
 Lots 301A & 302A, Pine Brook Hills 6, Replat A  
 Lots 342B & 343B, Pine Brook Hills Unit 6, Replat B  
 Lot 1 C, Pine Brook Hills Unit 6, Replat C  
 Lots 344 through 410, Pine Brook Hills 7  
 Lots 397B & 410B, Pine Brook Hills Unit 7, Replat B  
 Lot 391, Pine Brook Hills Unit 7, Replat D  
 Two Mile Creek Subdivision  
 35.001 ACS NW 1/4 NE 1/4 22-1N-71 aka 250 Bristlecone  
 N 1/2 NE 1/4 22-1N-71 NW 1/4 23-1N-71 TOTAL 35.15 aka 260 Bristlecone  
 S 7.5 AC OF SW 1/4 SE 1/4 15-1N-71 aka 262 Bristlecone  
 N 7.5 AC OF S 15 AC SW 1/4 SE 1/4 15-1N-71 aka 268 Bristlecone  
 5 AC NE 1/4 NE 1/4 23-1N-71 aka 166 Cedar Brook  
 TR 1753-J1 & 1753J 12-1N-71 2.24 ACS aka 125 Meadowlook  
 5.94 ACS M/L W 1/2 NE 1/4 23-1N-71 aka 1155 Timber Lane  
 PT OF LAND IN SE 1/4 15-1N-71 AKA PARCEL C TOTAL 35 ACS M/L aka 334 Wild Horse  
 TR 1753-J2 12-1N-71 .96 ACS & ELY PT LOT 203  
 PINE BROOK HILLS 14-1N-71 .80 ACS 1.76 ACS aka 121 Meadowlook  
 LOT 1 BLK 1 BOW MOUNTAIN 2 REPLAT B ID 33843 & 34715 COMBINED aka 477 Pine Brook  
 LOT 149A PINE BROOK HILLS UNIT 3 REPLAT B 000000 HIGH VIEW DR

The estimated total of Boulder County fiscal year spending for the current year and actual total for each of the past four years and the overall percentage and dollar change for the period are as follows:

YEAR	FISCAL YEAR SPENDING
2011	\$237,857,650*
2010	\$228,152,724*
2009	\$226,953,697*
2008	\$221,572,351*
2007	\$216,297,358*

Total Percentage Change from 2007 to 2011: 10%\*  
 Total Dollar Change from 2007 to 2011: \$21,560,292\*

\*Figures for 2007 through 2011 include actual and estimated revenues from a 0.25% county-wide open space sales and use tax, from a 0.10% county-wide open space sales and use tax, from a 0.10% county transportation projects sales and use tax, and from grants, intergovernmental revenues, interest, fines and other exempted revenues, which voters authorized in 1993, 1994, 1997, 1998, 2000, and 2001, to be exempt from the County's general fiscal year spending limit. The figures from 2007 onwards include an ad valorem property tax mill levy increase authorized and exempted by voters in 2002. The actual and estimated revenues for 2007 through 2011 include estimated revenues from a 0.05% county-wide sales and use tax approved by voters in 2003 and 2008 for non-profit human services, which is also exempt from the County's general fiscal year spending limit. The actual and estimated revenues for 2007 through 2011 also include revenues from a 0.05% county-wide sales and use tax approved in 2003 for offender management capital construction and equipment, programs for alternatives to jail incarceration, and construction and equipping of a new addiction recovery center, and a 0.10% county-wide sales and use tax approved by voters in 2004 for the acquisition and maintenance of open space which are also exempt from the County's general fiscal year spending limit. Debt service revenues for Clean Energy Options Local Improvement District which were approved in 2008 and for Energy Conservation Bond Projects approved in 2009 are included in the figures from 2009 and 2010 respectively, as well as in 2011, all of which are also exempt from the County's general fiscal year spending limit. The figures for 2011 include revenues from an ad valorem property tax increase of 0.9 mills for Human Services Temporary Safety Net programs, approved for 5 years, as well as sales and use tax revenues corresponding to a rate of 0.15% for open space acquisitions and maintenance, both approved by voters in 2010 and which are also exempted from the County's fiscal year spending limit. All estimates for 2011 are based on the TABOR definition of fiscal year spending and limited revenues, although the County's fiscal year spending, revenue, and property tax revenue limits have been exempted from the TABOR limits by action of the voters in 2005.

### Proposed Pine Brook Hills Boulder County Local Improvement District Special Assessment Bond Issue:

Maximum principal amount: \$2,654,593.  
 Estimated maximum annual repayment cost: \$270,000.  
 Maximum total repayment cost: \$4,644,700.

### Principal balance of total current bonded debt and maximum annual and remaining total repayment cost.

Total of Current Revenue Bond Issues\*\*  
 Total current principal amount: \$265,756,695.  
 Total maximum annual repayment cost: \$30,421,801.  
 Total remaining repayment cost: \$366,148,178.  
 \*\*As of November 1, 2011.

### Summaries of statements submitted in support of or in opposition to Pine Brook Hills Boulder County Local Improvement District Issue 5A:

No comments were filed by the constitutional deadline.

**NOTICE OF ELECTION TO INCREASE DEBT/ON A REFERRED MEASURE  
CRESTVIEW ESTATES BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT ISSUE 5B:  
[SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND ISSUE IN THE CRESTVIEW ESTATES BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT]**

**Ballot Title:**

SHALL BOULDER COUNTY DEBT (FOR CRESTVIEW ESTATES BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT) BE INCREASED \$871,560.80, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$1,357,600, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REHABILITATING EXISTING PAVED ROADS, INCLUDING COSTS INCIDENTAL THERETO, AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF RESERVES THEREFOR, BY THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS PAYABLE FROM SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS IMPOSED AGAINST BENEFITED PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE DISTRICT AND OTHER LEGALLY AVAILABLE FUNDS, WHICH BONDS SHALL BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAIN SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL THE REVENUES FROM SUCH SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS AND ANY EARNINGS THEREON AND FROM THE INVESTMENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

**Text of CRESTVIEW ESTATES BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT ISSUE 5B Proposal:**

**Resolution No. 2011-96**

**APPROVING A BALLOT TITLE FOR A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BOND ISSUE IN THE CRESTVIEW ESTATES BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT.**

WHEREAS, a public hearing to discuss the proposed ballot title for the ballot issue noted below was held on August 11, 2011 after proper public notice, pursuant to Section 31-11-111, C.R.S., by the Board of County Commissioners; and WHEREAS, this Board reviewed the proposed ballot title according to the following guidelines as set forth in section 31-11-111(3), C.R.S.: consider the public confusion that might be caused by a misleading title; avoid titles for which the general understanding of the effect of a "yes" or "no" vote would be unclear; no conflict with titles selected for any other measure that will appear on the County ballot in the same election; and the title shall correctly and fairly express the true intent and meaning of the measure; and WHEREAS, the Board finds that the ballot title set forth below meets the statutory guidelines; and WHEREAS, the proposed assessments are for the purpose of reconstructing all county maintained paved roads within Crestview Estates Subdivision with new asphalt, excepting

Streamcrest Drive, as listed in the attached Exhibit A, for the benefit of the properties set forth in Exhibit A; WHEREAS, this Board intends to create the district by resolution and issue a preliminary order and undertake all required procedures necessary to proceed under part 6 of article 20 of title 30, C.R.S., as amended, prior to the November 1, 2011 election.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the ballot title set forth below, subject to amendment prior to final certification to the ballot, is hereby set for placement on the November 1, 2011 election ballot:

**TITLE OF DISTRICT-WIDE BALLOT ISSUE TO INCREASE INDEBTEDNESS:**

SHALL BOULDER COUNTY DEBT (FOR CRESTVIEW ESTATES BOULDER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT) BE INCREASED \$871,560.80, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$1,357,600, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REHABILITATING EXISTING PAVED ROADS, INCLUDING COSTS INCIDENTAL THERETO, AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF RESERVES THEREFOR, BY THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS PAYABLE FROM SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS IMPOSED AGAINST BENEFITED PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE DISTRICT AND OTHER LEGALLY AVAILABLE FUNDS, WHICH BONDS SHALL BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAIN SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL THE REVENUES FROM SUCH SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS AND ANY EARNINGS THEREON AND FROM THE INVESTMENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

ADOPTED this 30<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2011, by the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Boulder, State of Colorado.

EXHIBIT A to Resolution No. 2011-96:

Roads to be reconstructed are: Middlefork Rd.; Crestridge Ct.; Middlecrest Rd.; Thunderhead Dr.; Larkspur Rd.; Westfork Rd.; Pinion D; Stoneridge Terrace  
Proposed Legal Boundaries for the **Crestview Estates Boulder County Local Improvement District** (Note: some attrition of properties from the District is possible under the provisions of state law in connection with the conduct of the District Hearing to be held this fall.)

**Township 2 North, Range 71 West of the 6<sup>th</sup> P. M. Section 13:** All that part described as lying within: Lots 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, of Block C, Crestview Estates  
**Township 2 North, Range 71 West of the 6<sup>th</sup> P. M. Section 24:** All that part described as lying within: Lots 1, 2, 3 of Block A, and Lots 1, 2, 4 of Block B, Lot 9 of Block D, in Crestview Estates Addition; Crestview Estates Addition Replat D  
Crestview Estates 2<sup>ND</sup> Addition, including Replat A, Replat B

# BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO

Lots 1 & 2 & Outlot A Le Mann Subdivision;  
 Lots 1 & 2, Mardick Subdivision;  
 Lots 1 & 2 & Outlot A, Henderson-McCann Subdivision Replat A  
 Lots 1 & 2, Figi and The Pynes Subdivision;  
 Lot 6, McNair Meadows 1 Replat  
 10.05 acres, more or less in NE1/4 NE1/4 & NW1/4 NE1/4 per  
 reception 2168900 also known as, Assessor's Parcel No.  
 1319 24 0 00 001;  
 32.54 acres, more or less, in NE1/4 per reception 787141,  
 also known as, Assessor's Parcel No. 1319 24 0 00 002;  
 35.07 acres, more or less, in W1/2N1/2SW1/4 per reception  
 1207985, also known as, Assessor's Parcel No. 1319 24 0 00 006;  
 7.15 acres, more or less, in SW1/4SW1/4 per reception  
 491778, also known as, Assessor's Parcel No. 1319 24 0 00 007;  
 7.15 acres, more or less, in SW1/4SW1/4 per reception  
 995393, also known as, Assessor's Parcel No. 1319 24 0 00 008;  
 7.15 acres, more or less, in SW1/4SW1/4 per reception  
 986383, also known as, Assessor's Parcel No. 1319 24 0 00 009.  
 35.00 acres, more or less, in E1/2N1/2SW1/4 per reception  
 1207986, also known as, Assessor's Parcel No. 1319 24 0 00 020;

The estimated total of Boulder County fiscal year spending for the current year and actual total for each of the past four years and the overall percentage and dollar change for the period are as follows:

YEAR	FISCAL YEAR SPENDING
2011	\$237,857,650*
2010	\$228,152,724*
2009	\$226,953,697*
2008	\$221,572,351*
2007	\$216,297,358*

Total Percentage Change from 2007 to 2011: 10%\*  
 Total Dollar Change from 2007 to 2011: \$21,560,292\*

\*Figures for 2007 through 2011 include actual and estimated revenues from a 0.25% county-wide open space sales and use tax, from a 0.10% county-wide open space sales and use tax, from a 0.10% county transportation projects sales and use tax, and from grants, intergovernmental revenues, interest, fines and other exempted revenues, which voters authorized in 1993, 1994, 1997, 1998, 2000, and 2001, to be exempt from the County's general fiscal year spending limit. The figures from 2007 onwards include an ad valorem property tax mill levy increase authorized and exempted by voters in 2002. The actual and estimated revenues for 2007 through 2011 include estimated revenues from a 0.05% county-wide sales and use tax approved by voters in 2003 and 2008 for non-profit human services, which is also exempt from the County's general fiscal year spending limit. The actual and estimated revenues for 2007 through 2011 also include revenues from a 0.05% county-wide sales and use tax approved in 2003 for offender management capital construction and equipment, programs for alternatives to jail incarceration, and construction and equipping of a new addiction recovery center, and a 0.10% county-wide sales and use tax approved by voters in 2004 for the acquisition and maintenance of open space which are also exempt from the County's general fiscal year spending limit. Debt service revenues for Clean Energy Options Local Improvement District which were approved in 2008 and for Energy Conservation Bond Projects approved in 2009 are included in the figures from 2009 and 2010 respectively, as well as in 2011, all of which are also exempt from the County's general fiscal year spending limit. The figures for 2011 include revenues from an ad valorem

property tax increase of 0.9 mills for Human Services Temporary Safety Net programs, approved for 5 years, as well as sales and use tax revenues corresponding to a rate of 0.15% for open space acquisitions and maintenance, both approved by voters in 2010 and which are also exempted from the County's fiscal year spending limit. All estimates for 2011 are based on the TABOR definition of fiscal year spending and limited revenues, although the County's fiscal year spending, revenue, and property tax revenue limits have been exempted from the TABOR limits by action of the voters in 2005.

**Proposed Crestview Estates Boulder County Local Improvement District Special Assessment Bond Issue:**  
 Maximum principal amount: \$871,560.80.  
 Estimated maximum annual repayment cost: \$105,000.  
 Maximum total repayment cost: \$1,357,600.

**Principal balance of total current bonded debt and maximum annual and remaining total repayment cost.**  
Total of Current Revenue Bond Issues\*\*  
 Total current principal amount: \$265,756,695.  
 Total maximum annual repayment cost: \$30,421,801.  
 Total remaining repayment cost: \$366,148,178.  
 \*\*As of November 1, 2011.

**Summary of statements submitted in support of Crestview Estates Boulder County Local Improvement District Issue 5B:**

No summary was filed by the statutory deadline.

**Summary of statements submitted in opposition to Crestview Estates Boulder County Local Improvement District Issue 5B:**

On quick look, it would seem that starting over and redoing the roads would be desired by everyone. But the /benefit ratio might well show it to be otherwise. Crestview Estates assessment would be around \$700- \$800 per year, per homeowner for 15 years, but it would last only about 20 years, and then the roads would need to be redone again and the assessment would start all over again. It becomes rather like a never ending tax. Crestview is a small foothills community, and the traffic is very slight. Seems the roads can be maintained, rather than torn up and completely redone every 20 years and that for a fraction of the cost. Neighbors are asking: "Are the roads really to the point where, in an already down market, and softening property values, that we want to add another liability to our homes? What about impact when selling a home? Refinancing?" This is a 15 year commitment! This bond has been pushed through without due process, disclosure of specific costs and process. And it's extremely expensive! Need to vote NO on this one!

Would the Boulder County Commissioners sign a check for \$1.4M to rehabilitate existing paved roads without knowing if the cost was fair and reasonable and exactly when and what would be done to the roads? Of course not. Yet, they ask Crestview voters to pass Ballot Issue 5B to do just that. This issue was quickly and quietly placed on the ballot by the Commissioners without any notification to the property owners and without providing the same information they would and should demand. Vote NO on Issue 5B until the Commissioners coordinate this issue with the property owners as well as provide the details supporting the \$1.4M engineering estimate for the rehabilitation of County owned roads in unincorporated Boulder County.

## Designated Service Center Locations

### **Boulder County Clerk & Recorder's Office**

Boulder - 1750 33<sup>rd</sup> Street

- 8 a.m.- 6 p.m., Monday-Friday, Oct. 11-Oct. 31
- 9 a.m.- 3 p.m., Saturday, Oct. 29
- 7 a.m.- 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1

### **University of Colorado - Recreation Center**

Boulder Campus

- 8 a.m.- 6 p.m., Monday-Friday, Oct. 26-Oct. 31
- 9 a.m.- 3 p.m., Saturday, Oct. 29
- 7 a.m.- 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1

### **Lafayette Public Library**

Lafayette - 775 West Baseline Road

- 8 a.m.- 6 p.m., Monday-Friday, Oct. 26-Oct.31
- 9 a.m.- 3 p.m., Saturday, Oct. 29
- 7 a.m.- 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1

### **Service Centers are available to conduct the following:**

- Vote in person on an ADA accessible voting machine or paper ballot
- Update voter registration information
- Receive a replacement ballot
- Drop off a voted ballot

### **County Clerk & Recorder's Office**

Longmont - 529 Coffman Street

- 8 a.m.- 6 p.m., Monday-Friday, Oct. 17-Oct. 31
- 9 a.m.- 3 p.m., Saturday, Oct. 29
- 7 a.m.- 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1

## Designated Ballot Drop-off Locations

Location	Inside Building Drop-Off Times/Dates	Drive-By Drop-Off Times/Dates
<b>BOULDER</b> <i>County Clerk &amp; Recorder</i> <i>1750 33<sup>rd</sup> Street</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 8 a.m.- 6 p.m., Monday - Friday, Oct. 11- Oct. 31</li> <li>• 9 a.m.- 3 p.m., Saturday, Oct. 29</li> <li>• 7 a.m.- 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 9 a.m.- 3 p.m., Saturday, Oct. 29</li> <li>• 8 a.m.- 6 p.m., Monday, Oct 31</li> <li>• 7 a.m.- 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1</li> </ul>
<b>BOULDER</b> <i>Boulder County Courthouse</i> <i>1325 Pearl Street</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 8 a.m.- 4:30 p.m., Monday - Friday, Oct. 17- Oct. 31</li> <li>• 7 a.m.- 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1</li> </ul>	
<b>BOULDER</b> <i>University of Colorado</i> <i>Recreation Center</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 8 a.m.- 6 p.m., Monday - Friday, Oct. 26- Oct. 31</li> <li>• 9 a.m.- 3 p.m., Saturday, Oct. 29</li> <li>• 7 a.m.- 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1</li> </ul>	
<b>LAFAYETTE</b> <i>Public Library</i> <i>775 West Baseline Road</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 8 a.m.- 6 p.m., Monday - Friday, Oct. 26 - Oct.31</li> <li>• 9 a.m.- 3 p.m., Saturday, Oct. 29</li> <li>• 7 a.m.- 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1</li> </ul>	
<b>LONGMONT</b> <i>County Clerk &amp; Recorder</i> <i>529 Coffman Street</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 8 a.m.- 6 p.m., Monday - Friday, Oct. 17- Oct. 31</li> <li>• 9 a.m.- 3 p.m., Saturday, Oct. 29</li> <li>• 7 a.m.- 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1</li> </ul>	<p><b>(Terry Street, between 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup>)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 9 a.m. - 3 p.m., Saturday, Oct. 29</li> <li>• 8 a.m. - 6 p.m., Monday, Oct. 31</li> <li>• 7 a.m. - 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1</li> </ul>
<b>LOUISVILLE</b> <i>County Clerk &amp; Recorder</i> <i>722 Main Street</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 8 a.m.- 4:30 p.m., Monday - Friday</li> <li>• 8 a.m.- 6 p.m., Monday, Oct. 31</li> <li>• 7 a.m.- 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1</li> </ul>	
<b>LOUISVILLE</b> <i>Steinbaugh Pavilion</i> <i>824 Front Street</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 9 a.m. - 3 p.m., Saturday, Oct. 29</li> <li>• 8 a.m. - 6 p.m., Monday, Oct. 31</li> <li>• 7 a.m. - 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1</li> </ul>
<b>LYONS</b> <i>Town Hall</i> <i>432 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 7 a.m. - 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 7 a.m. - 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1</li> </ul>
<b>NEDERLAND</b> <i>Community Center</i> <i>750 North Highway 72</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 7 a.m. - 7 p.m., Election Day, Nov. 1</li> </ul>	

BOULDER COUNTY CLERK & RECORDER  
ELECTIONS DIVISION  
1750 33RD ST STE 200  
BOULDER, CO 80301-2546



NON PROFIT ORG  
U.S. POSTAGE  
PAID  
Boulder, CO  
Permit NO. 151

ALL REGISTERED VOTERS

---

**Election Day: Tuesday, Nov. 1, 2011**

**Mail ballot election only. There will be no polling places.**

*Voted ballots must be received by the Boulder County Clerk & Recorder's Office  
no later than 7 p.m. Election Day to be counted.*

**Receiving this notice does not guarantee you are registered to vote.**

Visit **[www.BoulderCountyVotes.org](http://www.BoulderCountyVotes.org)** to verify your voter registration status,  
register to vote or update your voter registration information.

Questions? Email **[Vote@BoulderCountyVotes.org](mailto:Vote@BoulderCountyVotes.org)** or call **303-413-7740**.  
**[www.BoulderCountyVotes.org](http://www.BoulderCountyVotes.org)**