

From: Laura Guy
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](#); joness@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 4:18:05 AM

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

As a 20-year resident of Gunbarrel North, I am dismayed and distressed with how rampant development has ruined my neighborhood and destroyed my quality of life. By adding hundreds and hundreds of new apartments within a few blocks area, this area has become almost unlivable.

It depresses me that we as a City are moving in this direction and I hope you can in your votes tonight change that. We need to do everything we can to make sure what has befallen North Gunbarrel does not happen elsewhere and that we preserve and support open space and wildlife habitat. It is what makes us special. Otherwise we just turn into Chicago. Or Atlanta. Or...

Laura Guy
5459 Indian Summer Court
Boulder CO 80301

From: Deana Cairo
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); [Jones, Elise](#); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: South Boulder Open Space
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 5:02:36 AM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Deana Cairo

4265 Whitney Place
Boulder

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#456]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 5:58:00 AM

Name * Jan Trussell

Email * janalan80305@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Dear County Commissioners,

I am asking that you remove any land use changes to CU South/South Boulder Creek Floodplain from this Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan update. We need more data to inform our decisions about ensuring the safety of our downstream neighbors, preservation of our precious wetlands, and understanding impacts to endangered plants and animal species.

The flood of 2013 was devastating to my neighborhood, among others in the surrounding area. Some of us are still dealing with the damage to our homes. There needs to be more research done on the CU South issue before hastily moving forward. Both the BVCP and CU South are separate issues and both are very important discussions.

Also, I am asking that you not remove the four body review from the Comp Plan. Please retain the Amendment Procedures as set forth in Chapter II of the 2010 BVCP ensures appropriate checks and balances in our City and County government and equitable policies and procedures for all citizens within the Boulder Valley over time.

Thanks for all that you do,

Jan Trussell
Boulder, CO

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#457]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 6:02:25 AM

Name * Scott Griesser

Email * scottgriesser@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hello,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Scott Griesser

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#458]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 6:04:32 AM

Name * Sarah Lila Oswald

Email * soswald1@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Dear Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

Tomorrow is my birthday and it would really mean a lot to me if you would please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you!

Warmly,

Sarah Lila Oswald
Lead Your Love Life

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#459]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 6:06:43 AM

Name * Joe McVoy

Email * joemcvoy@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling, nordic skiing and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Joe McVoy

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#460]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 6:08:47 AM

Name * Carah Wertheimer

Email * cwertheimer@hotmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Carah Wertheimer
Boulder

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#461]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 6:10:33 AM

Name * Magdalena Rzyska

Email * wildernesspixie@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Dear Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. That land should be used for flood mitigation. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

The City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Magdalena Rzyska

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#462]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 6:12:38 AM

Name *	Peggy Sands
Email *	peggy@peggysands.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall. Please realize that once it's gone it's gone forever.

Do I read it correctly? Is the Boulder City Council threatening neighborhoods with potential flooding if the CU South development is not approved? Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. Please consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings. We have conserved the land around Boulder to date with intelligent and far-seeing solutions. Lets continue to do so

Most important, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you so much,
Peggy Sands

Peggy Sands
Indigo Disegno
928 301 5468
www.peggysands.com

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#463]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 6:14:31 AM

Name *	Dorian Merrill
Email *	dorian.merrill@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

I strongly urge you tomorrow to please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space and other open space areas.

It is important that Boulder County not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall. I am concerned that the Boulder City Council seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

I believe that the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen without the use of the land near Eldorado State Park and that this development is not necessary to the success of the university.

It is critical that the City of Boulder not be allowed to place development above open space priorities. It appears that the Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. It is imperative to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comprehensive Plan.

In closing, I implore you to prioritize hiking, cycling and bird watching and to please vote "YES" to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and "NO" to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Best,


~ Dorian Merrill

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#464]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 6:17:09 AM

Name * Ashish Jain

Email * ashish.jain42@gmail.com

Address (optional)  4286 Greenbriar
Boulder, CO
United States

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,


Ashish Jain

Resident, South Boulder (4286 Greenbriar)

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#465]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 6:41:00 AM

Name *	Kim Calomino
Organization (optional)	University of Colorado Boulder
Email *	kim.calomino@colorado.edu
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 492-2626
Address (optional)	 914 Broadway 90 UCB Boulder, CO 80309 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

Dear County Commissioners and Planning Commission,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide our feedback on the recommended land use designation changes and the Guiding Principles for CU Boulder South. The following feedback from Vice Chancellors Frances Draper and David Kang was communicated to the city and county staff via the attached memo on June 27. The memo is also attached.

Date: June 27, 2017

Memo: CU Boulder's requested language clarifications to the June 20, 2017 updated draft of the CU Boulder South Guiding Principles

To: Lesli Ellis, David Gehr and Phil Kleisler, City of Boulder

Nicole Wobus, Boulder County

From: David Kang and Frances Draper, CU Boulder

We have reviewed the 6.20.17 update to the Guiding Principles for CU Boulder South. We believe the Guiding Principles positively represent the concerns of the community and provide an excellent foundation for our future work together in creating a development that benefits the entire community. We largely agree with and can work within the principles, but we do request consideration of a few minor language clarifications as noted below.

In his comments to the BOCC and Planning Commission at their 6/28 joint hearing Dave Kang will mention that we've shared these requested clarifications with you but will not go into any detail. We would be glad to discuss with you either the requested clarifications or the process as pertains to providing the clarifications for consideration by the four bodies in their next steps.

We also would like to express our sincere appreciation for the professionalism, diligence and hard work the many involved city and county staff members have committed to the process. We look forward to our continued partnership.

Requested clarifications:

1. IV.PART 2: CU SOUTH LAND USE DESIGNATION CHANGE, B Overview of Process and Roles, second sentence in the paragraph at the bottom of packet page 13/ top of page 14. Please insert "staff"

here "...while housing faculty, staff and students..."

2. Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan, University of Colorado Boulder, South Campus – Guiding Principles

a. Land Use Mix – Developable Parts of Site

i. 1. Housing for University Needs, second sentence. Please replace “affordable” with “workforce and non-freshman housing”

ii. 2. Residential units and non-residential space

1. c. Please edit to read “The overall non-residential space footprint will be minimized and support and benefit the residents, employees and visitors to the property.”

b. Site Design – Developable Part of Site

i. 3. Environmental standards (in area designated as P)

1. d. Please edit to read “All academic, residential and office buildings will be constructed outside the FEMA 500-year floodplain.”

Attach a File (optional)



[requested_changes_cu_south_06.20_guiding_principles.pdf](#)

40.02 KB · PDF

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Grossman, Vicki L
To: [Gardner, Deb](mailto:Gardner_Deb); [Domenico, Cindy](mailto:Domenico_Cindy); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:Jones_Elise); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: South Boulder Songbird Open Space
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 6:45:00 AM

Reply all |

Delete

K.J. <Boulder-Great-Horned-Owl-Preserve-announce@meetup.com>

Junk |

Reply all |

Yesterday, 7:09 PM

Boulder-Great-Horned-Owl-Preserve-
announce@meetup.com

Inbox

s

To Whom it May Concern,

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Vicki L. Grossman PhD

From: Christine Ipolyi
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:jones@bouldercolorado.gov); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space!
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 6:58:21 AM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

Please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space today!

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the city of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. There have and will always be pressure (\$\$) from developers. One of the reasons that Boulder continues to stand apart from other towns on the Front Range is that it has made Open Space a priority despite the enormous pressure of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,
Christine Ipolyi



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: Brigitte Howe
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; Jones, Elise; joness@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.O'Rourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu
Subject: SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 7:00:45 AM

Hello All,

what is happening in Boulder? It used to be such a special place. Now it seems to develop at a faster and faster place and our beautiful open spaces are going by the wayside. Have I been voting for the wrong people? It looks that way.

Please do not even consider any development on South Boulder open space.

Wouldn't it be appropriate if the City of Boulder, an educated and well-off city studied the causes of rampant population pressure, rather than tolerating its consequences (overcrowding, environmental destruction, pollution)? We are one of the few places where that is even possible. Please stop developing until it is clear what we want our city to look like in 10 or 20 years.

Thank you
Brigitte Howe

Boulder

From: Bridget Gordon
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: BVCP changes
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 7:14:51 AM

Dear County Commissioners,

I urge you to keep the 4 body review process. By changing this to allow only Boulder city council and county commissioners means that county residents have Boulder city council making decisions that greatly affect their communities while county residents cannot vote for these people. There are 9 city council members and 3 county commissioners which means that 75% of the people making decisions that affect our communities are people for whom we cannot vote. This is inherently unfair and county residents are not being represented.

I am curious if you, as our representatives, care about how you are perceived? It is quite obvious that since the vote on Twin Lakes did not go your way, you want to change the rules, rules that have been in place for a very long time. How do you think that is viewed? It is viewed as huge government overreach. Maybe in the era of Trump you feel as though you can do this. Please understand that this does not make your constituents feel like they are considered or heard. I urge you to not allow this to happen.

Kind regards,
Bridget Gordon, Ph.D.

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#466]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 7:17:32 AM

Name *	Alice DiTullio
Email *	aliced@naropa.edu
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 449-9580
Address (optional)	 Boulder, CO 80301 United States
This comment relates to: *	Protect Boulder Open Space- Public Hearing

Comment: *

Hello,

This critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park is Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, and provides important wetlands habitat. Moose, deer, and black bears all make use of this land.

The City of Boulder is wrong to threaten neighborhoods with flooding if we oppose the CU South development,

This habitat threat is real and dangerous because City Council members like Bob Yates have intimate ties with the University of Colorado – he is in conflict of interest and trying to destroy the ability of the Boulder County Planning Commission and county residents from having a say. It would clearly be undemocratic to silence forever the County Planning Commission going forward just as the City wants to do yet another huge development and expand the city limits into open space at CU South.

Thank you for reading my comment.

Sincerely,
Alice DiTullio
Boulder, 80301

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: County Commissioners Contact Us/Feedback Form. [#469]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 7:25:16 AM

Name *	Linda Batlin
Organization (optional)	CU South Property
Email *	Lbatlin@aol.com

My Question or Feedback most closely relates to the following subject: (fill in the blank) * CU South Property

Comments, Question or Feedback *

Dear Members of the County Commission and the County Land Use Planning Commission,

Thank you for your hard work on the current Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan update.

Please remove land use changes to the CU South/South Boulder Creek Floodplain from this update. We need more data to inform our decisions about ensuring the safety of our downstream neighbors, preservation of our precious wetlands, and understanding impacts to endangered plants and animal species. We also recommend that you maintain the four body review process to ensure that our future aligns with our city and county's vision.

Respectfully yours,
Linda Batlin
680 Tantra Dr.
Boulder, CO 80305

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Keagan Rowley
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:jones.elise@bouldercolorado.gov); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: CU South - Open Space Please
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 7:57:08 AM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

I love going to CU south to practice cyclocross skills (because while Valmont Bike Park is great - it closes anytime there is snow or rain, and it lacks certain terrain elements that CU South has). I also enjoy using this space in the winter when it is groomed for skate skiing. It is really great having this little bit of Open Space so close to south boulder - ESPECIALLY since the west TSA remains closed to bikes. There is plenty of other space in Boulder that can be re-developed into better and more dense mixed use housing and retail.

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

- Keagan Rowley

From: Heidi Haas Williams
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; Jones, Elise; jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: Save the South Boulder Songbird Open Space!
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 8:43:30 AM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space today.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Heidi Haas

Superior, CO

www.h2wphoto.com, <https://www.facebook.com/h2wphoto>,
<https://www.instagram.com/heidi.haas/>
[flickr.com/photos/heidihaas/albums](https://www.flickr.com/photos/heidihaas/albums) (Google SUCKS, bring on Flickr!), www.heidihaas.com,
blog.heidihaas.com

From: KATHLEEN JOHNS
To: [Gardner, Deb](mailto:Gardner_Deb); [Domenico, Cindy](mailto:Domenico_Cindy); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:Jones_Elise); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: Open Space
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 8:46:32 AM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

www.kathleenspencerjohns.com

From: Al Breight
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; Jones, Elise; jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: South Boulder Land Use Changes
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 8:59:56 AM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space and other Open Space areas.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Al Breight
965 McIntire St.
Boulder CO 80303
albreight86@gmail.com
303 499 0481

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#467]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:01:31 AM

Name *	Paula Zimmerman
Email *	paulazimm7@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Paula Zimmerman

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#468]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:06:05 AM

Name * Christine Knapp

Email * cmskart@aol.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Christine Knapp
303-823-5457
www.christineknappsculpture.com

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#469]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:07:47 AM

Name *	Ada Kaia
Email *	adakaia3@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,
On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

I absolutely love birdwatching in Boulder and this issue is very near and dear to me!!! I really want the neotropical migrants to be able to survive the next few decades, but they won't be able to if we keep destroying their critical habitat.

Thank you,

Ada Kakatsakis

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#470]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:13:16 AM

Name * Erin Connolly

Email * erin.a.connolly@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Dear Boulder County Planning Commission,

If we can't preserve what is most valuable on a local level, how can we hope for the united, global effort it will take to save our children and grandchildren from the terrible consequences of global warming?

We are living in an age where progress and business and usual will lead to collapse. We know this is true but we are also in denial about it. We proceed as always because the consequences are not yet at our doorsteps.

We cry about Trump pulling out of the Paris Climate Agreement, scoff at climate deniers, and wring our hands, hoping the world will get its act together to save our children from this distant man-made threat. Meanwhile, we don't talk with our children seriously about the future. We assume they will live as we have even though we know the ecosystem is not fairing as well as it did when we were young. We know this, but we don't really know it.

We vote for development in our communities, because the population is growing and the many benefits outlined in the proposals are enough to compensate for the destruction of ecosystems. The decision to bulldoze comes down to a simple sentiment, a sigh: What is one ecosystem?

Well, I believe it is much, much more than it seems. I believe it is our only chance. If we cannot save our ecosystems locally, how can we hope to save our planet?

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space and other Open Space areas.

Sincerely,

Erin Connolly

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#471]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:14:58 AM

Name * Cathie Hitchko

Email * maucathie@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you so very Much

Mary C. Hitchko

Asia H. Patton

Bradford McDevit

Levi H. Patton

Allie Toth

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Laura Hasemeyer
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:jones@bouldercolorado.gov); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: Please vote no to land use changes in South Boulder
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:16:41 AM

Dear Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Laura Hasemeyer

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#472]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:17:29 AM

Name *	PAULETTE ZIMMERMANN
Email *	paulettez@me.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Subject: Yes to songbirds

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Paulette Zimmermann

Sent from my iPhone

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#473]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:19:49 AM

Name * James Thornton

Email * james.thornton72@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#474]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:23:03 AM

Name * Beth Kearns

Email * elizabethk@mail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

Additionally, please do not make Boulder like "Anytown" USA. Boulder is a special place for many reasons including the access to open space and trails, the scenic beauty of the foothills and lack of sprawl. Do not destroy this important habitat and our special and unique place any further. When is enough enough?

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Kearns

303-324-4686

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#475]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:25:41 AM

Name *	Jennifer Winokur
Email *	pecsara1155@yahoo.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

re: Vote No on land use changes that will destroy vital open space!!

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Wed. June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, hiking, cycling and bird watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space. Please do not take a page from the White House playbook of profit over planet, money/greed over planet survival.

Please.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Jennifer Biegen

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#476]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:27:18 AM

Name * Ann West

Email * west.ann@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

re: Please support South Boulder Songbird Open Space

Honorable Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

When voting on proposed land use changes tomorrow, please consider the importance of open space for wildlife, flood control, and the Boulder quality of life.

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Ann West

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#477]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:29:23 AM

Name * Wendy McPeek

Email * wendsmc@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Subject: South Boulder Songbird Open Space

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space. Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control. The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement.

As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough. In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space. Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you, Wendy McPeek

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#478]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:31:11 AM

Name * Dariel Blackburn

Email * delizgreen612@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you for your consideration of this important issue,

Dariel Blackburn

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#479]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:32:21 AM

Name *	Karin Kilpatric
Email *	karinkilpatric@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Rev. Karin Kilpatric

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#480]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:33:50 AM

Name *	Michaela Hayes
Email *	michaelahayes225@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Michaela Hayes

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: karen finch
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:jones@bouldercolorado.gov); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: songbird open space
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:34:33 AM

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan.

Boulder has a long history of being forward thinking in conserving the precious Open Space so highly valued by it's citizens. Please continue to keep the value of that in the forefront above the value of momentary monetary gain from allowing unchecked development. Boulder has always maintained a unique status as a town that places very high value on the great outdoors and really preserving that. If we let go of that and become a little Denver, everyone loses, we lose our unique draw, and we will never be able to bring back what is destroyed through over development.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the comp plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,
Karen Finch
1561 S. Foothills Hwy. , Lot G-2
Boulder, Co.

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#481]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:35:19 AM

Name *	Sylvie McMenamin
Email *	sylvie.mcmenamin@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

Also one of the aspects that separates Boulder from the rest of Colorado is its protection and expansion of Open Space, not limiting it. It is the magic that is Boulder, to look out and see nature; not buildings or parking lots. I am shocked to learn that Boulder County is even considering this project!

Although I understand the need to expand for the University, I myself attended CU Boulder 1994-1998. But it is my understanding that the proposed developments can take place within the already existing University Parking Lots- creating underground parking beneath the new structures.

City of Boulder has and should remain for the people and by the people, not developers. It is extremely important that the planning commission and four-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan in order to favor more development to the detriment of our beloved Open Space.

In closing, Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Sylvie McMenamin

South Boulder Resident

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#482]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:36:43 AM

Name * Lisa Sleeth

Email * lisasleeth@hotmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

Once development occurs, it is unlikely to be undone. Undeveloped land is precious and it is your/our responsibility to treat it as such, to preserve it, taking into consideration not just our interests but the interests of others and of those in the future.

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Lisa Sleeth

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#483]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:38:32 AM

Name * Joel Lenorovitz

Email * joel_lenorovitz@yahoo.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

re: Please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you and please act responsibly,
Joel

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Elizabeth Grekela
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:jones.elise@bouldercolorado.gov); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:40:50 AM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Grekela

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: County Commissioners Contact Us/Feedback Form. [#470]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:46:00 AM

Name * Helen Burnside

Email * helencburnside@gmail.com

My Question or Feedback most closely relates to the following subject: (fill in the blank) * BVCP – CU South

Comments, Question or Feedback *

Thank you for your continued service. I know that there is a lot for each of you to consider for this BVCP update, and many other concerns including losing the 4-body review process, which is vital to our process here in Boulder. The CU South property is also vital to the county and as you will hear today, it's ecological value, open space value, restoration potential, potential flood control, crucial large block habitat, and finally a gateway property that sets the stage for Boulder City and County for many of our visitors.

--Oppose any land use designation change for CU South, without necessary studies to determine the best flood control option as well as to gain a better understanding of the hydro-geologic dynamics (groundwater flow, gradient, seasonality) of this floodplain. Evaluate non-structural flood control along with structural as stated in BVCP 3.21 . Moving forward with a land-use designation change at this time, without full knowledge of the probability of Alternative D working or the groundwater flows, is premature and risks the chance that we are choosing the WRONG flood control option that could cost tax payers 22 million dollars and put more lives at-risk.

--We must start planning for flood mitigation strategies that are compatible for a 500-year flood event.

Continue to support restoration of the ecological potential of this valued land by maintaining the Open Space – Other land use designation until after flood mitigation is completed.

Finally, if you are to take the cities recommendations and move forward with the proposed land use change, the following 3 policies in BVCP (BVCP 3.19, 3.20, 3.21), our guiding principles would be ignored (see below)!

Please consider not accepting the recommendations in front of you today, they are short sighted and go against the guiding principles in the BVCP.

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#484]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:47:10 AM

Name * Helen Burnside

Email * helencburnside@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Thank you for your continued service. I know that there is a lot for each of you to consider for this BVCP update, and many other concerns including losing the 4-body review process, which is vital to our process here in Boulder. The CU South property is also vital to the county and as you will hear today, it's ecological value, open space value, restoration potential, potential flood control, crucial large block habitat, and finally a gateway property that sets the stage for Boulder City and County for many of our visitors.

--Oppose any land use designation change for CU South, without necessary studies to determine the best flood control option as well as to gain a better understanding of the hydro-geologic dynamics (groundwater flow, gradient, seasonality) of this floodplain. Evaluate non-structural flood control along with structural as stated in BVCP 3.21 . Moving forward with a land-use designation change at this time, without full knowledge of the probability of Alternative D working or the groundwater flows, is premature and risks the chance that we are choosing the WRONG flood control option that could cost tax payers 22 million dollars and put more lives at-risk.

--We must start planning for flood mitigation strategies that are compatible for a 500-year flood event.

Continue to support restoration of the ecological potential of this valued land by maintaining the Open Space - Other land use designation until after flood mitigation is completed.

Finally, if you are to take the cities recommendations and move forward with the proposed land use change, the following 3 policies in BVCP (BVCP 3.19, 3.20, 3.21), our guiding principles would be ignored (see below)!

Please consider not accepting the recommendations in front of you today, they are short sighted and go against the guiding principles in the BVCP.

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Joe Villanueva
To: [Gardner, Deb](mailto:Gardner_Deb); [Domenico, Cindy](mailto:Domenico_Cindy); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:Jones_Elise); joness@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick_ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: South Boulder Songbird Open Space
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:58:04 AM

Hey Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen in University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remains in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits, and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp Plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Cheers,

Joe Villanueva

From: Michelle Humphrey
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:jones@bouldercolorado.gov); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: South Boulder Songbird Open Space Vote
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 10:02:01 AM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Michelle Humphrey

From: James Thornton
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](#); joness@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: Wetlands
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 10:02:03 AM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

*(your first + last name here - this will let us submit your note to the public hearing)
~ If you can make a few changes to customize the note that will really help too ~*

Sending this letter is most critical. If you would also like to speak at the public hearing [click here](#).

--

This message was sent by Meetup on behalf of [K.J.](#) from [Twin Lakes Owl Open Space](#).
To report this message, please [click here](#)
To block the sender of this message, please [click here](#)

To unsubscribe from special announcements from your Organizer(s), [click here](#)

Meetup, POB 4668 #37895 NY NY USA 10163 | support@meetup.com

From: Sarah Lila Oswald
Subject: Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 10:02:04 AM

Dear Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

Tomorrow is my birthday and it would really mean a lot to me if you would please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you!

--
Warmly,

Sarah Lila Oswald
[Lead Your Love Life](#)

From: Sheila L
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; Jones, Elise; jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: I vote no to development
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 10:07:36 AM

Not only for the sake of protection but the traffic and over-development already taking place. The character of Boulder will be forever changed, please NO more building and no to the expansion in South Boulder. These protections are exactly what Boulder needs, or anywhere in the nation. Land and nature are valuable and irreplaceable. We can always build, but we can never get that back once it's bulldozed.

Best,
Sheila, a resident of North Boulder for 15 years.

Sheila Liewald L.Ac.
www.redaspennwellness.com



From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: County Commissioners Contact Us/Feedback Form. [#471]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 10:36:26 AM

Name * Justin Crawford

Email * justin@jumpsover.com

My Question or Feedback most closely relates to the following subject: (fill in the blank) * Land use changes at CU South, 4-body review

Comments, Question or Feedback *

Dear Members of the County Commission and the County Land Use Planning Commission,

Thank you for your hard work on the current Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan update.

Please remove land use changes to the CU South/South Boulder Creek Floodplain from this update. We need more data to inform our decisions about ensuring the safety of our downstream neighbors, preservation of our precious wetlands, and understanding impacts to endangered plants and animal species. We also recommend that you maintain the four body review process to ensure that our future aligns with our city and county's vision.

Respectfully yours,
Justin Crawford
550 S. 43rd, Boulder

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [#LandUsePlanner](#)
Subject: Ask a Planner - Web inquiry from Justin Crawford -
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 10:37:11 AM

Name: Justin Crawford

Email Address: justin@jumpsover.com

Please enter your question or comment: Dear Members of the County Commission and the County Land Use Planning Commission,

Thank you for your hard work on the current Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan update.

Please remove land use changes to the CU South/South Boulder Creek Floodplain from this update. We need more data to inform our decisions about ensuring the safety of our downstream neighbors, preservation of our precious wetlands, and understanding impacts to endangered plants and animal species. We also recommend that you maintain the four body review process to ensure that our future aligns with our city and county's vision.

Respectfully yours,

Justin Crawford

550 S. 43rd, Boulder

Public record acknowledgement:

I acknowledge that this submission is considered a public record and will be made available by request under the Colorado Open Records Act.

From: Andrea Dazzi
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:jones.elise@bouldercolorado.gov); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: South Boulder Songbird Open Space
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 10:57:42 AM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,
Andrea Dazzi

From: Brook Stableford
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; Jones, Elise; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: YES on South Boulder Songbird Open Space
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 11:15:14 AM

Dear Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space and other Open Space areas.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission Sent from mobile device. Please pardon typographical errors.

Brook Stableford

From: Ellen Jardine
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:jones@bouldercolorado.gov); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: bird nesting critical habitat
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:28:08 PM
Importance: High

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space and other Open Space areas.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

ELLEN JARDINE | [7S MANAGEMENT](#)

Direct [303.565.5690](tel:303.565.5690)
Fax [303.565.5699](tel:303.565.5699)
Address [753 Kalamath St. Denver, CO 80204](#)
Email ellen@7smgmt.com

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#485]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:28:38 PM

Name * Karen Dombrowski

Email * kadsphoto@me.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

re: Open Space

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Karen A Dombrowski-Sobel
kadsphoto.com
treesspeak.com

Join my community page here:

<https://www.facebook.com/treespeak>

My photographic page on FB:

<https://www.facebook.com/Karen-A-Dombrowski-Sobel-120418458042143/>

Purchase book here:

treespeak.com

ebook:

www.amazon.com/dp/B00P1QLHV4


Please check box below *

● I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#486]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:30:43 PM

Name * Ryan Lillis

Email * ryan.lillis@gmail.com

Address (optional)  816 Ithaca Drive
Boulder, CO 80305
United States

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Subject: Vote AGAINST Land Use Changes for South Boulder

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space and other Open Space areas.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Ryan Lillis
816 Ithaca Drive
Boulder, CO 80305

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#487]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:32:24 PM

Name * Peg Murray

Email * gepyarrum@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

re: CU Boulder South property

Dear Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

I am writing out of concern for land use changes that could cause the destruction of an area of South Boulder Open Space, a critical habitat essential to the wellbeing of several rare and valuable species of songbirds as well as larger mammals including bear, moose and deer. This area, that includes a large wetland is also a valuable stopgap medium for the prevention of flooding and should be protected from development.

In addition, I am opposed to attempts to remove the current four body review process, as I see this as an essential piece of the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and vital to constructive and thoughtful process in decision-making. We need to find ways to prevent an increase in building heights and city density rules--bigger is not always better!

Please vote YES to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and vote NO to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Respectfully,

Peg Murray
Twin Lakes
Boulder CO 80301

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#488]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:34:10 PM

Name * Allana Roff

Email * biodorkus@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Subject: Please preserve the land near Eldorado State Park!

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space and other open space areas.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Alanna Roff


Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#489]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:35:51 PM

Name * Laura Guy

Email * lguy@mines.edu

Address (optional)  5459 Indian Summer Court
Boulder, CO 80301
United States

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Subject: Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

As a 20-year resident of Gunbarrel North, I am dismayed and distressed with how rampant development has ruined my neighborhood and destroyed my quality of life. By adding hundreds and hundreds of new apartments within a few blocks area, this area has become almost unlivable.

It depresses me that we as a City are moving in this direction and I hope you can in your votes tonight change that. We need to do everything we can to make sure what has befallen North Gunbarrel does not happen elsewhere and that we preserve and support open space and wildlife habitat. It is what makes us special. Otherwise we just turn into Chicago. Or Atlanta. Or...

Laura Guy
5459 Indian Summer Court
Boulder CO 80301

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Tommie Clendening
To: [Gardner, Deb](mailto:Gardner_Deb); [Domenico, Cindy](mailto:Domenico_Cindy); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:Jones_Elise); joness@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; [Patrick ORourke@cu.edu](mailto:Patrick_ORourke@cu.edu); Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: Open Space means open space
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:36:40 PM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Tommie Clendening, Open Space Volunteer

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#490]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:37:08 PM

Name * Deana Cairo

Email * deana.cairo@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Subject: South Boulder Open Space

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Deana Cairo
4265 Whitney Place
Boulder

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#491]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:38:59 PM

Name * Vicki Grossman

Email * VICKI.GROSSMAN@ucdenver.edu

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

To Whom it May Concern,

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Vicki L. Grossman PhD

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification



From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#492]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:40:45 PM

Name * Christine Ipolyi

Email * christine@custominsight.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space!

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,
Please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space today!
Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the city of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. There have and will always be pressure (\$\$) from developers. One of the reasons that Boulder continues to stand apart from other towns on the Front Range is that it has made Open Space a priority despite the enormous pressure of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough. In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Christine Ipolyi

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#493]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:42:15 PM

Name *	Keagan Rowley
Email *	kzodwallop@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

re: CU South – Open Space Please

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

I love going to CU south to practice cyclocross skills (because while Valmont Bike Park is great – it closes anytime there is snow or rain, and it lacks certain terrain elements that CU South has). I also enjoy using this space in the winter when it is groomed for skate skiing. It is really great having this little bit of Open Space so close to south boulder – ESPECIALLY since the west TSA remains closed to bikes. There is plenty of other space in Boulder that can be re-developed into better and more dense mixed use housing and retail.

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

- Keagan Rowley

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#494]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:43:42 PM

Name * Heidi Haas

Email * heidi.haas@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

Please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space today.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Heidi Haas

Superior, CO

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#495]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:45:04 PM

Name * Kathleen Johns

Email * kathsj@msn.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

re: Open Space

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

www.kathleenspencerjohns.com


Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#496]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:46:41 PM

Name * AI Breight

Email * albreight86@gmail.com

Address (optional)  965 McIntire St.
Boulder, CO 80303
United States

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

re: South Boulder Land Use Changes

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space and other Open Space areas.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

AI Breight

965 McIntire St.
Boulder CO 80303
albreight86@gmail.com
303 499 0481

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#497]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:48:06 PM

Name * Laura Hasemeyer

Email * lhasemeyer@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Subject: Please vote no to land use changes in South Boulder

Dear Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Laura Hasemeyer

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification



From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#498]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:49:38 PM

Name * Karen Finch

Email * iluvtosing2@yahoo.com

Address (optional)  1561 S. Foothills Hwy. , Lot G-2
Boulder, CO
United States

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan.

Boulder has a long history of being forward thinking in conserving the precious Open Space so highly valued by it's citizens. Please continue to keep the value of that in the forefront above the value of momentary monetary gain from allowing unchecked development. Boulder has always maintained a unique status as a town that places very high value on the great outdoors and really preserving that. If we let go of that and become a little Denver, everyone loses, we lose our unique draw, and we will never be able to bring back what is destroyed through over development. . In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the comp plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Karen Finch
1561 S. Foothills Hwy. , Lot G-2
Boulder, Co.

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#499]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:50:41 PM

Name * Elizabeth Grekala

Email * 93elizab@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Grekela

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#500]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:52:06 PM

Name * Joe Villanueva

Email * joevillan2@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hey Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen in University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remains in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits, and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp Plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Cheers,

Joe Villanueva

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#501]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:53:08 PM

Name *	Michelle Humphrey
Email *	mhumphrey012@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Michelle Humphrey

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#502]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:54:21 PM

Name *	Sheila Liewald
Email *	sheila.liewald@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	re: I vote no to development

Not only for the sake of protection but the traffic and over-development already taking place. The character of Boulder will be forever changed, please NO more building and no to the expansion in South Boulder. These protections are exactly what Boulder needs, or anywhere in the nation. Land and nature are valuable and irreplaceable. We can always build, but we can never get that back once it's bulldozed.

Best,

Sheila, a resident of North Boulder for 15 years.


Sheila Liewald L.Ac.
www.redaspenwellness.com

Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification
--------------------------	---

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#503]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:55:41 PM

Name * Jeff Dahoda

Email * jeff.dahoda@gmail.com

Address (optional)  1612 Bradley Court
Boulder, CO 80305
United States

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Dear Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners:

I am a resident of South Boulder, specifically in the Table Mesa area, Shannahan Ridge neighborhood, and I am very concerned about the development that could soon overcome this region.

Today, Wednesday June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council seems to be threatening neighborhoods with increased flooding susceptibility if the CU South development is approved, as maintaining open space will actually make flood prevention much easier. City Council needs to consider the option of both open space and flood control.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. It is habitat to a multitude of rare songbirds, and this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

The city of Boulder has been wise in the past to control and structure development, and most or all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on current University property, utilizing areas currently occupied by surface parking lots. The existing parking can occur below new buildings on those same locations.

I am opposed to the City of Boulder providing undue favor for developers and eliminating the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the 4-body review process as it stands and keep it in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. I am opposed to shifting to an easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan, as they protect the environ that so many of us have invested our lives and savings to make it our home.

In closing, please vote No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan that will accelerate development, and Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Respectfully,

Jeff Dahoda
1612 Bradley Court
Boulder, CO 80305-7310
(c) 303-204-2370 Call: 303-204-2370
jeff.dahoda@gmail.com

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#504]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:57:14 PM

Name * Andrea Dazzi
Email * ajdazzi@yahoo.com
This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Subject: South Boulder Songbird Open Space

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Andrea Dazzi

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification



From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#505]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:58:36 PM

Name * Brook Stapleford

Email * brookstableford@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Dear Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space and other Open Space areas.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission.

Brook Stableford


Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#506]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:00:22 PM

Name * George Macllwinen

Email * thorodinconsulting@me.com

Address (optional)  2769 Iris Ave. Ste. 114
Boulder, CO 80304
United States

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

re: South Boulder open space/wildlife habitat

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Best regards,

George Rankin MacIlwain, MA, LPC, CAC I, GEP, ACE-CPT
Characterological Based Strength Training (CBST™)
Thorodin Counseling & Consulting, LLC
2769 Iris Ave. Ste. 114
Boulder, CO 80304
720 432 3147 (office)
720 565 0563 (mobile)
<http://www.thorodin.org>

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#507]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:02:27 PM

Name * Ellen Jardine

Email * ellen@7smgmt.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space and other Open Space areas.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

ELLEN JARDINE | 7S MANAGEMENT

Direct 303.565.5690

Fax 303.565.5699

Address 753 Kalamath St. Denver, CO 80204

Email ellen@7smgmt.com

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#508]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:04:08 PM

Name * Tommie Clendening

Email * wylietlc@hotmail.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

re: Open Space means open space

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough – all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Tommie Clendening, Open Space Volunteer

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: George MacIlwinen
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; Jones, Elise; joness@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: South Boulder open space/wildlife habitat
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:05:32 PM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Best regards,

George Rankin MacIlwinen, MA, LPC, CAC I, GEP, ACE-CPT


Characterological Based Strength Training (CBST™)
Thorodin Counseling & Consulting, LLC
2769 Iris Ave. Ste. 114
Boulder, CO 80304
720 432 3147 (office)
720 565 0563 (mobile)
<http://www.thorodin.org>

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Warning: computer viruses can be transmitted via e-mail. The recipient should check this e-mail and any attachments for the presence of viruses. Thorodin Counseling & Consulting, LLC accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#509]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 2:14:17 PM

Name *	Beth Ornstein
Email *	beth@mediationnow.com
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 499-2317
Address (optional)	 556 Aztec Dr. Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

I implore you to support Option D relating CU South.

In 2013, due to surface flood water getting into the sanitary sewer, the sanitary sewer system backed up, flooding nearly all of the 20 homes on my portion of the street (north of Manhattan Middle School), including mine. Though a couple of families were lucky enough to have sump pumps or find someone to pump, the sewage water came back. We were unable to flush our toilets for several days, and risked our health trying to remove items from our flooded basement. I am terrified that this situation may occur again. My understanding is that 2013 was not even a 100 year flood!

The CU South berm is the only option that the City seems to be actively considering (that I am aware of) and I urge you to move forward with it. I understand that this option is the most cost effective in that it will, by itself, remove hundreds of southeast Boulder homes from the 100 year floodplain.

Please vote to support Option D.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#510]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 4:56:48 PM

Name * Mike McIntyre

Email * peacefulwarriorspirit@yahoo.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: * This is to make clear my support for the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and the Boulder County Planning Commission. Please keep our open spaces and public lands just as the name implies and free of development.

Thank you,

Michael McIntyre

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Diane Connolly
To: [Gardner, Deb](mailto:Gardner_Deb); [Domenico, Cindy](mailto:Domenico_Cindy); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:Jones_Elise); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; [Patrick, ORourke@cu.edu](mailto:Patrick_ORourke@cu.edu); Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Subject: CU South Vote Tonight
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 5:02:08 PM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

Tonight, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall. It also receives a huge amount of use by the public.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. It really seems that the original concept of our town is being violated with development and variances from height restrictions. The Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.


In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

*Diane Connolly
3410 Everett Drive
Boulder, CO 80305*

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#511]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 8:39:13 PM

Name *	Robin Leventhal
Email *	rleventhal@comcast.net
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 328-5655
Address (optional)	 6200 Habitat Drive Apt. 1029 Boulder, Colorado 80301 United States
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hello –

I've lived in Boulder for 18 years – all in Gunbarrel, Unincorporated Boulder County. I choose to live in Gunbarrel because of the rural character of the area. I like to call it "the farm belt" and I love it.

The Boulder County Commissioners, who are my representatives, all generally appreciate the quality and nature of a more rural, ex-urban life.

I implore you to vote to:

- > Keep the 4 body review process and
- > Keep the amendment procedure and the 4 body review process in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and not the Intergovernmental Agreement – so that 4 bodies vote on the changes, not just two.


Revision to the IGA removes the County from decisions about land in Area II (Gunbarrel). This is unfair, unrepresentative and unacceptable.

Thank you for listening and for your service.

Robin Leventhal

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#512]
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 9:49:42 PM

Name *	Jahnvi Brenner
Organization (optional)	Save SOBO
Email *	jahnvi@gmail.com
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 249-0700
Address (optional)	 4624 Gordon Drive Boulder, CO 80305 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

I'm Jahnvi Brenner, of 4624 Gordon Drive, the Hyview neighborhood, which borders the CU-South/South Boulder Creek Floodplain property. I'm an active volunteer in the Save SOBO efforts.

My interests in this property are:

- Responsible flood mitigation
- Restoration of the property to it's intended use

Despite, that the future of CU South may seem a City Council or City Planning Board issue, You as county decision makers play a key role in the future of CU-South and our community depends on your thoughtful consideration of the issues. I support the 4 body review process, as we have seen that these 4 bodies have diverse viewpoints which are critical to consider in making the best decisions for our broader community.

Regarding responsible flood mitigation--- we all agree that flood mitigation is a critical need for our community. However, we also know that the approach that we took to converge on Alternative D was limited in that was seeking to minimize the amount of land owned by CU used for flood mitigation. Unfortunately, we have converged on a solution that won't protect us from a 500 year flood nor is a solution that leverages the most current practices in flood loss avoidance, less structural . I don't see this as a great solution to be celebrated, it's a sub-optimal compromise to appease CU and puts our broader community in danger. Shouldn't the City and County have the right to ensure the best possible floodplain and drainage strategy consistent with protection of open space and environmental values - instead of limiting work to the 81 acres offered by CU?

Regarding the restoration of the property to its intended use---Open Space and the fierce protection of it is one of the qualities about Boulder that make it a wonderful place to live. It's clear that this land has been marked to be used for Open Space, as detailed in numerous reports for over 20 years. This land is in a natural floodplain (which indicates it should not be developed) and has high ecological value. Perhaps the most compelling document I've read is the 1998 OSMP South Boulder Creek Area Management Plan. Responsible flood mitigation can go hand in hand with the preservation of the ecological value of the South Boulder Creek Floodplain.

I realize that the housing crisis in Boulder County is material issue. It's quite positive that CU wants

to help solve that issue for our community. However, I want to support solutions to that issue that do not create new unintended problems. I would like to us to look at land swaps with CU or infill solutions on land they already own so we can pursue responsible flood mitigation for our community and preserve the valuable open space that makes our community so desirable.

The risks and potential consequences of pursuing this option will have a lasting impact that goes well beyond the efforts of community members who will speak today, your tenure of service in your roles for the County, and likely the lives of our children. Please leverage your position in the 4-body review process to help us make the best decisions for our community.

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Al LeBlang
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: June 28 Presentation
Date: Thursday, June 29, 2017 6:11:43 AM
Attachments: [Update June 28 Flood.docx](#)

Attached you will find a copy of my June 28 Presentation to the Boulder County Commissioners and Planning Board. Please give to the Recording Secretary. Thank you.

Al LeBlang
350 Ponca Place
Apt#127
Frasier Meadows Retirement Community
Boulder, CO 80303
Tel # 720-562-8046



Presentation to Boulder County Commissioners And Planning Commission

June 28, 2017

Hello, my Name is Al LeBlang and I live in Boulder at 350 Ponca Place. Frasier Meadows. Thank you for hosting this important meeting.

The Boulder Flood of 2013 has really brought fear into my life and I am here to plead with you to implement the Boulder City Council Flood Mitigation Option D immediately. The city of Boulder was extremely lucky that no one drowned in the 2013 Flood, during which almost 5000 South Boulder residents were threatened. In my retirement community, almost 100 senior citizens in Assisted Living and Skilled Nursing had to be carried from their flooded apartments, and displaced to other facilities for as long as 3 months. I was 15 minutes away from being drowned in an underground garage by 8 feet of water. The fear of this happening again is no way for seniors, or anyone, to live.

Boulder City has been studying Flood Mitigation programs and is proposing Flood Mitigation Option D to solve the Flood Threat. What is required is the approval by the 4 Body Reviewers.

If we had a repeat of 2013 Flood and lost Citizens of Boulder ---- Besides the terrible loss to Families and Friends-- Boulder's wonderful future could be seriously threatened. OVER

I am aware there are many passionate and self-serving arguments in opposition to the annexation of CU South, as well as concerns about Option D.

I appeal to you to rely on the official City excellent reports that were just presented to you, plus your best judgement and **give the highest priority to the health and safety of South Boulder residents from future flood dangers.**

Please HELP implement Option D immediately.

Thank you.

Al LeBlang South Boulder Resident.

From: Macon Cowles
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Cc: [Krezek, Michelle](#)
Subject: Thank you for the time and attention you devote to the Comp Plan
Date: Thursday, June 29, 2017 1:09:45 PM

Michelle Krezek, would you kindly forward this email to the Planning Commission Members?

Dear colleagues, Boulder County Commissioners and Planning Commissioners:

I want to add a few comments to those I made yesterday about the proposed changes to the Amendment Procedures and moving them to the IGA.

1. I have been involved in the last four updates of the Comp Plan: 2 while on the Boulder Planning Board; 2 while on City Council (2007-2015).
2. During the prior updates, developers promoted projects, some straight forward, some wacky: CostCo; Agriburbia (suburban houses with gardens); consolidation of Naropa campuses; Elite Athlete Training Center.
3. The Amendment Procedure in the Comp Plan is nearly the last chapter of the BVCP. It follows 9 sections of Policies. As a result, the Amendment Procedure is always the last part of the Comp Plan to be considered in an update. Frankly, by the time we get to the Amendment Procedure, nearly everyone involved is sick of the update, and so the Amendment Procedures don't get the best part of the community's attention.
4. In 2010, the four bodies changed the Amendment procedure related to the Planning Reserve so that it would be elected and appointed officials—not developers—who would propose projects in the Planning Reserve. Unwittingly, however, we made the procedure more difficult, and added steps making planning in the Planning Reserve more onerous, longer, and more expensive.
5. No one is advocating that the Planning Reserve be expanded. In fact, the size of the Planning Reserve has shrunk since 1978—not because of development, but because property was moved from the Planning Reserve to Area III Rural Preservation.
6. The proposed amendment simplifies the process and makes it more expensive. Now, the approval of four bodies is required at each of four steps—a total of twelve public hearings. And two of those steps require a detailed public planning process preceding the steps. The proposed change has only two steps, requiring PB and CC approval for the first step (a Baseline infrastructure study); and a public process to consider a Service Area Expansion requiring PB, CC and BOCC approval—a total of five public hearings, with a detailed public planning process occurring between Steps 1 and 2.
7. The amount of process and friction that is built into City and County development approvals is huge; the cost of the process can far outweigh the benefit. Soft costs for the Diagonal Crossing Project at McKenzie Junction with 357 housing units (approx. 90 affordable), and space for Meals on Wheels, Studio Arts Boulder and Bridge House's Ready to Work program and an associated restaurant approached \$1 million.
8. In the next major update of the Comp Plan, housing affordable to middle income people

(60-120% AMI) could be considered a priority by the City and the County. The land cost in the Planning Reserve is far lower than land costs in Area I.

9. But if there comes a time that middle income housing is determined to be a priority need, I submit that the participation and views of the public can and will be well protected through five public hearings—we do not need twelve hearings. And the savings from shortening the process can then be put into housing affordability, rather than cutting through red tape.

For these reasons, I urge you to approve the staff proposed changes in the Amendment Process that were presented at the Public Hearing June 28.

Thanks very much for your service to our County and City. We appreciate your dedication of time.

Macon Cowles
1726 Mapleton Ave.
Boulder, Colorado 80304
macon.cowles@gmail.com
(303) 638-6884

From: Macon Cowles
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Cc: [Krezek, Michelle](#)
Subject: Thank you for the time and attention you devote to the Comp Plan
Date: Thursday, June 29, 2017 1:09:45 PM

Michelle Krezek, would you kindly forward this email to the Planning Commission Members?

Dear colleagues, Boulder County Commissioners and Planning Commissioners:

I want to add a few comments to those I made yesterday about the proposed changes to the Amendment Procedures and moving them to the IGA.

1. I have been involved in the last four updates of the Comp Plan: 2 while on the Boulder Planning Board; 2 while on City Council (2007-2015).
2. During the prior updates, developers promoted projects, some straight forward, some wacky: CostCo; Agriburbia (suburban houses with gardens); consolidation of Naropa campuses; Elite Athlete Training Center.
3. The Amendment Procedure in the Comp Plan is nearly the last chapter of the BVCP. It follows 9 sections of Policies. As a result, the Amendment Procedure is always the last part of the Comp Plan to be considered in an update. Frankly, by the time we get to the Amendment Procedure, nearly everyone involved is sick of the update, and so the Amendment Procedures don't get the best part of the community's attention.
4. In 2010, the four bodies changed the Amendment procedure related to the Planning Reserve so that it would be elected and appointed officials—not developers—who would propose projects in the Planning Reserve. Unwittingly, however, we made the procedure more difficult, and added steps making planning in the Planning Reserve more onerous, longer, and more expensive.
5. No one is advocating that the Planning Reserve be expanded. In fact, the size of the Planning Reserve has shrunk since 1978—not because of development, but because property was moved from the Planning Reserve to Area III Rural Preservation.
6. The proposed amendment simplifies the process and makes it more expensive. Now, the approval of four bodies is required at each of four steps—a total of twelve public hearings. And two of those steps require a detailed public planning process preceding the steps. The proposed change has only two steps, requiring PB and CC approval for the first step (a Baseline infrastructure study); and a public process to consider a Service Area Expansion requiring PB, CC and BOCC approval—a total of five public hearings, with a detailed public planning process occurring between Steps 1 and 2.
7. The amount of process and friction that is built into City and County development approvals is huge; the cost of the process can far outweigh the benefit. Soft costs for the Diagonal Crossing Project at McKenzie Junction with 357 housing units (approx. 90 affordable), and space for Meals on Wheels, Studio Arts Boulder and Bridge House's Ready to Work program and an associated restaurant approached \$1 million.
8. In the next major update of the Comp Plan, housing affordable to middle income people

(60-120% AMI) could be considered a priority by the City and the County. The land cost in the Planning Reserve is far lower than land costs in Area I.

9. But if there comes a time that middle income housing is determined to be a priority need, I submit that the participation and views of the public can and will be well protected through five public hearings—we do not need twelve hearings. And the savings from shortening the process can then be put into housing affordability, rather than cutting through red tape.

For these reasons, I urge you to approve the staff proposed changes in the Amendment Process that were presented at the Public Hearing June 28.


Thanks very much for your service to our County and City. We appreciate your dedication of time.

Macon Cowles
1726 Mapleton Ave.
Boulder, Colorado 80304
macon.cowles@gmail.com
(303) 638-6884

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#513]
Date: Friday, June 30, 2017 6:33:53 AM

Name * Adam Pastula

Email * ajmail2011@gmail.com

Address (optional)  80301
United States

This comment relates to: * Policy Updates

Comment: *

First, I would like to ask that the 4 body review is kept, for decisions in ALL areas. The 4 body review is an important check and balance, gives the county a voice, and has been working for many years. (Not to mention, removing it could violate Colorado State Statute 30-28-106.) As you know, the right to representation is the fundamental right which was the start of America.

Second, I also ask that the amendment process is kept part of the BVCP (again, as a check and balance, to make sure the county has a voice, and it has been working for many years.) If it gets moved to the IGA, then amendments could be made, which affect county residents without them having a chance for input, which isn't fair (and again, is likely against the law – if not, it should be.)

To support both of the requests above, in the last accepted version of the BVCP (2010), it states "The City and county have been remarkably successful in working together to implement the vision set forth in the 1977 Comprehensive Plan..." Please change back the processes above, and keep working together successfully.

Finally, in relation to CU South. There is a lot of passion on both sides of the equation. To me, it seems like the important topic for constituents is flood mitigation and retaining open space. I have two thoughts on this. 1) Flood mitigation (which is currently occurring naturally on these lands), could be improved without more development and CU expansion and 2) we have to protect our open space, as once we develop it, it's gone forever – and so is the main reason Boulder is so special.

This property was designated open space in the 70's, and many documents, including the BVCP, Grasslands Plan, OSMP Charter, and more, state it's value. At the very least, I'd ask to separate CU South from the BVCP so more studies can be done to make sure it's done right. (i.e. the flood mitigation is what is needed with regard to ground water flow, and that animal corridors are retained. I've seen that the proposed mitigation is only at 100 year levels, and opponents to the plan are concerned that it won't offer the protection needed for events like 2013 which I've heard was a 1000 year flood event.)

I'd personally prefer the land use not be changed, and it not be developed at all, except for increasing the flood mitigation to protect surrounding residents. Again, we should be cherishing and protecting our Open Spaces.

Thanks for your time and efforts,
Adam Pastula

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification
-

From: Nick Burr
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; Jones, Elise; jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu; SouthBoulderSongbirdOpenSpace@gmail.com
Date: Saturday, July 01, 2017 8:54:41 AM

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you all for your time, I know you are busy.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Burr (A concerned, nature-loving Coloradan)

From: Clark Hanson
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Cc: [Linda Laws](#)
Subject: Housing
Date: Saturday, July 01, 2017 1:25:03 PM

Dear county Commissioners,

I read the Thursday article in the BDC where you expressed that housing availability should be a priority in planning and zoning in Boulder County. I would like to point out that the county's lack of clear laws controlling "short term rentals" ie B&Bs is allowing speculators to buy up properties and turn them into short term vacation rentals as a non-owner occupied business that bypass commercial regulations and are nothing like the concept of the original owner renting out the spare bedroom for some extra income. This effectively takes residential properties off the market and puts pressure on more housing development. It may be worth looking into not only strengthening these regulations but amending them for non-owner occupancy.

For example:

[https://www.homeaway.com/vacation-rental/p762391vb?
uni_id=1310329&adultsCount=2&CID=a_cj_8249624&utm_source=cj&utm_medium=affiliates&utm_content=8249624&utm_campaign=10859031_DTF1C7JH](https://www.homeaway.com/vacation-rental/p762391vb?uni_id=1310329&adultsCount=2&CID=a_cj_8249624&utm_source=cj&utm_medium=affiliates&utm_content=8249624&utm_campaign=10859031_DTF1C7JH)

Respectfully,
Clark Hanson
303-442-0278

From: DENNIS CREESE
To: [Gardner, Deb](#)
Cc: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: CU SOUTH - SOUTH CREEK 8 HOA LETTER
Date: Saturday, July 01, 2017 2:34:58 PM
Attachments: [South Creek Eight HOA CUSouth signed.pdf](#)

Hi Deb,

FYI - See attachment. As per our phone conversation on Thursday evening. My apologies, thought this was sent to the Boulder County Commissioners as well. This is the 2nd time I am sending this, Cindy's & Elise's email addresses bounce back to me the time. Let me know if you receive this.

Thank you for taking the time to talk with me on Thursday evening. Hope to talk to you soon. Enjoy the 4th of July weekend.

Sincerely,

Dennis Creese

Treasurer & Board Member - South Creek 8 HOA

4811 W. Moorhead Circle

Boulder, CO 80305-6155

303-494-5248 HOME

303-995-7035 CELL



South Creek Eight Home Owners Association
East/West Moorhead Circle
Boulder, CO 80305

May 19, 2017

Dear City of Boulder,

The South Creek Eight Home Owners Association would like to inform the City of Boulder that we, as a community in south Boulder, **STRONGLY OPPOSE** the “CU South” draft plan as it stands. Our Association is comprised of 52 units spread around the inside southeastern corner of where East and West Moorhead Circle meet, immediately southeast of Tantra Lake. We have several major concerns regarding the proposed changes:

- 1) Degradation of the natural habitat
- 2) Increased traffic congestion
- 3) Increased risk of floods (especially after the very near-miss in 2013!)
- 4) A reduction in the number and access of trails
- 5) A reduction in property values

The plan as it stands now will absorb current valuable open space and place CU’s Residential Workforce Housing, Graduate and Non-Freshman Student Housing over 68.4 acres, including 750 Apartments, 375 Townhomes, and Parking between our townhomes and what will be the remaining natural open space in this area. Although, they have done studies to try to predict the impact of these changes, it is absolutely clear that this will be a **MAJOR** change to this region and will create many problems for our community. We urge the City of Boulder **NOT** to approve this plan!

Sincerely,

Raymond Simmonds

President

South Creek Eight Home Owners Association

Bartlett Property Management: helen@bartlettpropertymanagement.com, 303-443-7872

From: Song Bird
To: [Elizabeth Grekela](#)
Cc: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](mailto:Jones.Elise@bouldercolorado.gov); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu
Subject: Re:
Date: Sunday, July 02, 2017 10:07:04 AM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

Hello Elizabeth,

You are invited to today's beautiful 3pm to 5pm hike at the Boulder Songbird Open Space with fun BBQ Celebration to follow nearby. The Photo Contest awards are also going to be a lot of fun! And thank for all of your support and help to date!

We hope you can join us! Details are at:
<https://www.meetup.com/Songbird-Openspace/events/241180934/>

The address of the BBQ at 5:30pm - 9pm today Sunday, July 2, is 4410 Ludlow Boulder, Colorado 80305.

My cell is 720 436 2465.

And we are looking for seven great volunteers to help the campaign organize a few events and in communications via email and our website, and talking with the media. If you would like to volunteer please email us at:

SouthBoulderSongBirdOpenSpace@gmail.com

Best regards,

Ken

Ken J Beitel

Chair of Wilderness Conservation
Boulder Songbird Open Space

Cell: 720 436 2465

Web: <https://www.meetup.com/Songbird-Openspace/>

Email: SouthBoulderSongBirdOpenSpace@gmail.com



On Wed, Jun 28, 2017 at 9:40 AM, Elizabeth Grekela <93elizab@gmail.com> wrote:

Hon Boulder County and Planning Board Commissioners,

On Weds June 28, 2017, please vote against the land use changes that will destroy the proposed South Boulder Songbird Open Space.

Boulder County must not allow the destruction of this critical habitat area near Eldorado State Park. Home to a multitude of rare songbirds, this open space is also important wetlands habitat for moose, deer, and black bears in the fall.

It is alarming that Boulder City Council also seems to be threatening neighborhoods with flooding if the CU South development is not approved. Keeping the open space will actually make preventing floods much easier. City Council must consider the option of both open space and flood control.

The city of Boulder is big enough - all of the development proposed by the University of Colorado can happen on University parking lots. Existing parking can occur below the new buildings.

And, the City of Boulder must not be allowed to rig the game in favor of developers. Council wants to eliminate the Planning Commission from important decisions. We need to keep the four-body review process exactly as it is now. It is urgent to me that the 4-body review process remain in the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan. It must not be shifted into the easily changed Intergovernmental Agreement. As well, Open Space, building height limits and city density rules must not be changed in the Comp plan. Boulder is big enough.

In closing, Hiking, Cycling and Bird Watching will be destroyed if CU bulldozers are allowed to crush this open space.

Please vote Yes to create the South Boulder Songbird Open Space and No to changes in the Boulder Valley Comp Plan that will accelerate development.

Thank you,

Elizabeth Grekela

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: County Commissioners Contact Us/Feedback Form. [#477]
Date: Monday, July 03, 2017 8:37:03 AM

Name * cecilia casey

Email * ceciliacasey@comcast.net

My Question or Feedback most closely relates to the following subject: (fill in the blank) * CU South Annexation

Comments, Question or Feedback *

I have seen the video of what happened to South Boulder Creek during the 2013 rain event. I have not seen any computer simulations of how the proposed Option D high hazard dam would actually function in a similar event. How would it contain and release the overflow of the Creek? How would the dam and the proposed extensive fill and development of the CU South property affect the groundwater levels and drainage in the adjoining residential properties of Tantra Lakes, South Creek 7, and Boardwalk which already have high groundwater levels during an average rainy season and sustained costly damage to homes as a result of the 2013 rain event.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [#LandUsePlanner](#)
Subject: Ask a Planner - Web inquiry from Cecilia Casey - CU South Annexation
Date: Monday, July 03, 2017 8:39:46 AM

Boulder County Property Address : 4818 W Moorhead Cir 80305

If your comments are regarding a specific docket, please enter the docket number: CU South Annexation

Name: Cecilia Casey

Email Address: ceciliacasey@comcast.net

Phone Number: (239) 464-1453

Please enter your question or comment: I have seen the video of what happened to South Boulder Creek during the 2013 rain event. I have not seen any computer simulations of how the proposed Option D high hazard dam would actually function in a similar event. How would it contain and release the overflow of the Creek? How would the dam and the proposed extensive fill and development of the CU South property affect the groundwater levels and drainage in the adjoining residential properties of Tantra Lakes, South Creek 7, and Boardwalk which already have high groundwater levels during an average rainy season and sustained costly damage to homes as a result of the 2013 rain event.

Public record acknowledgement:

I acknowledge that this submission is considered a public record and will be made available by request under the Colorado Open Records Act.

From: Fran Sheets
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Cutting decisions from 4 to 2
Date: Monday, July 03, 2017 11:30:45 AM

Dear Commissioners,

First, thank you for your hard work and commitment to Boulder County.

I am writing you now because I feel strongly that we need to keep the four party decision making structure we currently have. Reducing it from 4 bodies to two makes a less democratic process. Given the fragility of our democratic processes at all levels, this is not the direction our local governments should be moving. We need wider compromise and discussion, not less. What one city does impacts all of us. Decisions in Boulder affect the larger county. With the rapid change we encounter here, we need more input not less.

Please do not change the process as it has worked for many years and does not need changing. The democratic process needs to be respected for what it does, not the speed or ease of decision making.

Thank you for your time,

Sincerely,

Fran Sheets

520 Marine St.

Boulder

From: Don Cote
To: council@bouldercolorado.gov; [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Flood Mitigation & BVCP
Date: Tuesday, July 04, 2017 1:02:12 PM

Dear City Council Memembrs and County Commissioners

Each of you will soon be casting a very important vote on the BVCP. The vote will determine the fate of the CU South Berm. This Berm is essential to the safety of the lives and property of citizens on the North side of US 36. Thus citizens after having endured the flood have had to live under the continuiong threat of flooding for much too long. Both the City and the County have known of the threat of South Boulder Creek overflowing US 36 for over 45 years, and the 2013 Flood emphazised how dangerous and devastating it can be.

It is now 2017 and it is time to consturct the Berm and give the citizens relief from the flood threat. I have adressed a number of the concerns of those who wish to delay the Berm in my Letter to the Editor at the Daily Camera below. And any other concerns will be worked out in the negiations between the City of Boulder and CU concerning the annexation of CU South.

After alll the delays, it would be malfeasance to obstruct the ongoing rigorous process leading to the construction of the Berm and not act swiftly to bring the Berm into reality.

Confusion over the Berm

A number of letters have appeared recently that suggests that the

Flood Mitigation Berm on CU South should be postponed. They feel the Berm has not been properly designed, or that CU has violated an open space agreement, and/or that Wetlands are being destroyed. None of these are true.

The City Engineer along with competent contractors have spent the past years analyzing the issue, evaluating alternatives, and selecting a design, Option D. And while some wish that CU South becomes Open Space, it never has been. Also with construction of the Berm, new acreage will be added to Open Space and the Wetlands will be protected. CU South is CU property. These authors only obstruct the process hoping the property will stay as it is. That is to say continue to be a menacing flood threat to those Boulder residents living on the north side of US 36.

Flood waters have breached US 36 three times in the past 80 years. It has closed the highway threatening traffic and impeding much needed emergency resources. In 2013 it caused significant property damage and came close to taking a number of lives. It also caused hundreds of people to be evacuated and to suffered severe emotional trauma. These evacuees still live under that threat. Rational people would feel that this is an overwhelming argument to get moving on the berm construction.

But others for self-serving reasons wish to continue things as they are and leave the threat in place. This is not acceptable when the lives and property of their neighbors are in danger. And even with yes votes by the City and County on the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan, it will take another five years to complete the Berm. How long do the citizens have to wait?

--

Don Cote
4840 Thunderbird Drive #282
Boulder, CO 80303
303-440-4152

From: Don Cote
To: council@bouldercolorado.gov; [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Flood Mitigation & BVCP
Date: Tuesday, July 04, 2017 1:02:12 PM

Dear City Council Memembrs and County Commissioners

Each of you will soon be casting a very important vote on the BVCP. The vote will determine the fate of the CU South Berm. This Berm is essential to the safety of the lives and property of citizens on the North side of US 36. Thus citizens after having endured the flood have had to live under the continuiong threat of flooding for much too long. Both the City and the County have known of the threat of South Boulder Creek overflowing US 36 for over 45 years, and the 2013 Flood emphazised how dangerous and devastating it can be.

It is now 2017 and it is time to consturct the Berm and give the citizens relief from the flood threat. I have adressed a number of the concerns of those who wish to delay the Berm in my Letter to the Editor at the Daily Camera below. And any other concerns will be worked out in the negotiations between the City of Boulder and CU concerning the annexation of CU South.

After alll the delays, it would be malfeasance to obstruct the ongoing rigorous process leading to the construction of the Berm and not act swiftly to bring the Berm into reality.

Confusion over the Berm

A number of letters have appeared recently that suggests that the

Flood Mitigation Berm on CU South should be postponed. They feel the Berm has not been properly designed, or that CU has violated an open space agreement, and/or that Wetlands are being destroyed. None of these are true.

The City Engineer along with competent contractors have spent the past years analyzing the issue, evaluating alternatives, and selecting a design, Option D. And while some wish that CU South becomes Open Space, it never has been. Also with construction of the Berm, new acreage will be added to Open Space and the Wetlands will be protected. CU South is CU property. These authors only obstruct the process hoping the property will stay as it is. That is to say continue to be a menacing flood threat to those Boulder residents living on the north side of US 36.

Flood waters have breached US 36 three times in the past 80 years. It has closed the highway threatening traffic and impeding much needed emergency resources. In 2013 it caused significant property damage and came close to taking a number of lives. It also caused hundreds of people to be evacuated and to suffered severe emotional trauma. These evacuees still live under that threat. Rational people would feel that this is an overwhelming argument to get moving on the berm construction.

But others for self-serving reasons wish to continue things as they are and leave the threat in place. This is not acceptable when the lives and property of their neighbors are in danger. And even with yes votes by the City and County on the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan, it will take another five years to complete the Berm. How long do the citizens have to wait?

--

Don Cote
4840 Thunderbird Drive #282
Boulder, CO 80303
303-440-4152

From: Joyce Jenkins
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: 4-body review process
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 10:39:12 AM

Dear Commissioners--

Let's keep the 4-body review process in place. The more opportunity decision-makers have to hear and consider the concerns of residents, and not just the views of planners and developers, the better.

Joyce Jenkins
4848 Brandon Creek Drive
Boulder, CO 80301
720-431-2547

From: Hilary Boslet
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Four Body review of land use
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 10:48:46 AM

Hello,

Once again I am writing to you, the County Commissions, to ask that you support keeping the current four-body review of land use changes in Area II.


I am also demanding that the amendment procedures for the BVCP remain in the BVCP, not the IGA.

Please remember that you were voted into your positions by the citizens of Boulder County. You must do what the people want.

Sincerely,

Hilary Boslet

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#514]
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 10:51:34 AM

Name *	Hilary Boslet
Email *	hilaryboslet@yahoo.com
Address (optional)	 4881 White Rock Circle Boulder, CO 80301 United States
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	Hello, I am writing to you, the County Planning Commission, to ask that you support keeping the current four-body review of land use changes in Area II. I am also demanding that the amendment procedures for the BVCP remain in the BVCP, not the IGA. Please remember that you were voted into your positions by the citizens of Boulder County. You must do what the people want. Sincerely, Hilary Boslet
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Betsy Maria
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Citizen opinion
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 10:51:43 AM

To whom it may concern,

I am a Boulder County resident and I am very much against changing the BVCP to remove the amendment process or 4-body review. Please vote to preserve these essential democratic procedures.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Leach

From: Annie Brook
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: The value of county lands, and Why gut the comprehensive county plan?
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 11:07:28 AM

County lands are essential for the well being of cities. Without them, there is no buffer zone from one city to the next. Not everyone is a "city person," or wishes to live in city jurisdiction.

Why are "future citizens" more important than existing citizens. Local county residents have objected by 96% to Boulder City desire to gut the comprehensive plan. Please do not let this happen.

Also, being transparent on the larger agenda of the city would be very helpful. What is there need for Gunbarrel lands?

Please answer that question in writing. I sense, with all the pressure to annex, that the city is after something. The more transparent they can be, the less suspicion will be aroused.

Meanwhile, let's keep county land jurisdiction and the 4 step review process. And keep county planners involved.

--

Annie Brook

“...have patience with everything unresolved in your heart...love the questions themselves as if they were locked rooms or books written in a very foreign language...the point is, to live everything. Live the questions now. Perhaps,..someday...you will gradually, without even noticing it, live your way into the answer...” from Letters to a Young Poet, By Rilke

Annie Brook, Ph.D., LPC
www.coloradotherapies.com
www.anniebrook.com
720.839.4332

From: kayrippy@sbcglobal.net
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners; %20council@bouldercolorado.gov](mailto:council@bouldercolorado.gov)
Subject: PLEASE DO NOT VOTE FOR RADICAL CHANGES TO BVCP AND IGA
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 11:40:49 AM

My husband and I are new to Boulder and it was a risk, having just retired, to make a move to such an expensive place to live. But we love it here so much and it's worth it to us to take the chance we can thrive here. We are so dismayed about these possible changes to Gunbarrel and hoping so much it will be stopped.

Please maintain the values of Boulder and allow the town to continue to be so special. People are already suffering enough with our country in terrible turmoil.

thank you for listening,
Kay Rippy

From: Jennifer murphy
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: BVCP
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 12:02:31 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I have lived and worked in Boulder for over 20 years. I am frankly shocked and disheartened that there is even consideration for changing the BVCP! This plan was set in place with thoughtful consideration to protect the city I love and call home. I urge you not to allow the removal of the amendment procedures or the 4-body review process!

Thank you,
Jen Murphy

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#515]
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 12:11:37 PM

Name *	Jennifer Murphy
Email *	jmurphywelconish@hotmail.com
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 233-8928
Address (optional)	 4447 Galley Ct Boulder, CO 80301 United States
This comment relates to: *	Policy Updates
Comment: *	<p>Please keep the BVCP intact and respect the careful and thoughtful insight it's founders set forth! As a 20 year resident and worker of Boulder, I believe that keeping the amendment procedures and the 4-body review are essential to our city!</p> <p>Thank you, Jen Murphy</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Chris Ennis
To: [#LandUsePlanner](#)
Subject: Preserve the 4-body review process... and democracy!
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 12:27:12 PM

Dear Boulder County Planning Commission,

I am writing on 2 issues that are vital to democracy in Boulder County.

1. The proposed changes to the 4-body review process must be defeated. PLEASE do not allow this assault on the representative governance that has served us well. I am urging you to fight to maintain the 4-body review.

I am a county resident, in unincorporated Longmont. I do not want to lose this important avenue for a government that represents me.

I am not affected personally by the Area II process. Yet, I have been active in opposing the changes because they violate core principles of representative government.

2. It is also critical that the BVCP amendment process remain within the BVCP. This is the only way to give the County and City Planning bodies an input to the process. It is just plain wrong to move the amendment process to the Intergovernmental Agreement!

We county residents DEMAND to be represented in these processes. The Boulder City Council does not represent us. We must vote to stop their attempted end-run around the processes that have worked well for Boulder County. **Please fight to maintain the effective system of multi-body governance that has built-in checks and balances that assure representation to ALL in Boulder County.**

Sincerely,
Chris Ennis
6372 Niwot Road
Longmont, CO 80503
christine.a.ennis@gmail.com

From: Joe Mcdonald
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: BVCP 4 Body review
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 2:00:50 PM

Four body review has saved Boulder county from the rampant development that is increasingly destroying the quality of life in the city of Boulder. **I urge you to retain 4 Body review in the update.**

Sent from my iPhone

From: Jill Skuba
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Four Body Review Process for Boulder County
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 2:05:13 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Dear Boulder County Commissioners,

I would like to go on the record as being opposed to the elimination of the four-body review process and movement of amendments to the intergovernmental agreement as they regard land use changes.

An intact system of checks and balances is essential for proper governance. We, the residents of Boulder County, living outside the City of Boulder, ask our commissioners to stand up for those of us you represent and to assure that the voices of county residents are heard, particularly when the decisions being made so strongly affect where and how we live. I chose to live in semi-rural Boulder County for a reason. I have foregone a variety of city services for over 20 years because of this choice in where to live and find it very disappointing that the city only seems to take an interest in Gunbarrel when they feel we have something to offer the city.

Please help to assure that my voice continues to be heard.

Thank you,

Jill Skuba
P: 303.530.0205 x11 | F: 303.530.2691
6325 Gunpark Drive, Suite C | Boulder, CO 80301
jskuba@executiveevents.com
www.executiveevents.com
Keep up with us on [Facebook!](#)
executiveevents

From: Hal Paris
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: 4 body and ammendment
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 2:05:59 PM

Dear Commissioner's,

Are you elected official's or authoritarian development schemer's with greasy palms? You've already changed the character of Boulder from a welcoming town to Google city where only rich people can live in million dollar ugly unimaginative soulless structures. This is democracy, and if you don't think so, wait until the next election.

You think because Doofus Hump is president gives you the right or hubris to subvert the will of the people? If that's the case, what a sorry human you are.

Vote NO (against) removing or changing the 4 body review or the BVCP ammendment process, or risk being known as pawns and greedy patsies.


Sincerely,
Hal Paris

Hal Paris
303-449-0773
Certified Advanced Rolfer
<http://www.halparis.com>

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#516]
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 2:06:40 PM

Name * Jill Skuba

Email * jillskuba@gmail.com

Address (optional)  Boulder, CO 80301
United States

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

I would like to go on the record as being opposed to the elimination of the four-body review process and movement of amendments to the intergovernmental agreement as they regard land use changes.

An intact system of checks and balances is essential for proper governance. We, the residents of Boulder County, living outside the City of Boulder, ask that our voices be heard.

I chose to live in semi-rural Boulder County for a reason. I have foregone a variety of city services for over 20 years because of this choice in where to live. I find it very disappointing that the city only seems to take an interest in Gunbarrel when they feel we have something to offer the city.

Please help to assure that my voice continues to be heard.


Thank you,

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#517]
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 2:16:15 PM

Name * Hal Paris

Email * rolfnroll@comcast.net

Address (optional)  855 union ave Boulder
Boulder, Co. 80304
United States

This comment relates to: * TLAG

Comment: *

Dear Officials,

Are you elected official's or authoritarian development schemer's with greasy palms? You've already changed the character of Boulder from a welcoming town to Google city where only rich people can live in million dollar ugly unimaginative soulless structures. This is democracy, and if you don't think so, wait until the next election.

You think because Doofus Hump is president gives you the right or hubris to subvert the will of the people? If that's the case, what a sorry human you are.

Vote NO (against) removing or changing the 4 body review or the BVCP ammendment process, or risk being known as pawns and greedy patsies.

Sincerely,
Hal Paris

Hal Paris
303-449-0773
Certified Advanced Rolfer
<http://www.halparis.com>

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Dick Eggers
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: County Authority
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 2:18:04 PM

Don't hand over the County's keys to the Boulder City gov't

Richard Eggers 8498 Greenwood Drive, Longmont, CO


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#518]
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 2:20:12 PM

Name *	Richard Eggers
Email *	reggs8498@gmail.com
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 652-3074
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	Don't turn over the County's keys to the Boulder City government
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#519]
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 4:32:04 PM

Name * Angela Green

Email * angelica1951@earthlink.net

Address (optional)  80301
United States

This comment relates to: * BVCP

Comment: *

Dear County Commissioners and Boulder City Council Members
Please keep the "Four-Body-Review Process for land and use changes. The County Planning Commission and the City Planning Board are an extremely important, intelligent voices for all decisions concerning the BVCP. Boulder, City and County are predicted to become very crowded. Therefore, we need more city and county planning, not less. Excluding civic planners from review processes will only harm our City and County. Please keep all of the planning boards and commissioners active in all land use and change proposals/requests; and, solicit their voices and opinions so our beloved community doesn't turn into a gargantuan, congested, stinking slum.

Sincerely

Angela Green

Boulder, 80301

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Anne Pienciak
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Changes to the BVCP and IGA
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 5:05:46 PM

I am writing to you, as my elected representatives, to vote against the proposed changes to the BVCP and IGA. I am appalled that these changes are even being seriously considered. They directly go against the best interests of the residents of Boulder Coounty who have no say and no elected voice in the City of Boulder.

In addition, the 4-body review process should stay in place. The fact that certain elements in the government are frustrated that their plans have been thwarted is precisely the reason why this process should be in place. Balance of powers ensure that the politically powerful cannot ramrod through their favorite projects. They must listen to all viewpoints and take them into consideration. As our elected officials your job is to serve your constituents, not progress your own agenda.

Thank you for taking the time to consider my opinion on this matter

Anne Pienciak

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#520]
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 5:08:56 PM

Name *	Mary Cochran
Email *	mariluesk@comcast.net
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	Please do not change the 4 review process. Rural Boulder County is not City of Boulder. This change would ring of special interests.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#521]
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 5:16:59 PM

Name * Wolfgang Reitz

Email * ellwolf@indra.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Dear Commissioners, Planning Board and Council,

I do not support elimination of the 4-body review process being consider for the updated BVCP.

The demise of the 4-body review would constitute a state of absolute control by the Boulder City Council over Boulder County residents, particularly over Gunbarrel and the proposed CU South.

I am a homeowner in the city of Boulder and I agree wholeheartedly with Council Woman Mary Young --- "If it ain't broke, don't fix it."

Put another way, checks and balances are critical for our democracy and I shudder at the potential abuse of power, especially with several of the pro-growth council members.

I was offended by Councilman Applebaum's reference to the "County Planning Commission as the Kill Committee."

Elimination of the 4-body review would eliminate the voices of Boulder County residents.

Now more than ever ALL voices are needed!

Thank You,

Wolfgang Reitz

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Ellie & Wolf
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: 4-body rule
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 5:18:04 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I do not support elimination of the 4-body review process being consider for the updated BVCP.

The demise of the 4-body review would constitute a state of absolute control by the Boulder City Council over Boulder County residents, particularly over Gunbarrel and the proposed CU South.

I am a homeowner in the city of Boulder and I agree wholeheartedly with Council Woman Mary Young --- "If it ain't broke, don't fix it."

Put another way, checks and balances are critical for our democracy and I shudder at the potential abuse of power, especially with several of the pro-growth council members.

I was offended by Councilman Applebaum's reference to the "County Planning Commission as the Kill Committee."

Elimination of the 4-body review would eliminate the voices of Boulder County residents.

Now more than ever ALL voices are needed!

Thank You,

Wolfgang Reitz

From: Mary
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Please do NOT VOTE FOR THE RADICAL CHANGES TO OUR BVCP
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 6:21:51 PM

Please do NOT VOTE FOR THE RADICAL CHANGES TO OUR BVCP AND IGA
the amendment procedures for the BVCP MUST remain in the BVCP, not the IGA!

It's clear you don't feel you represent Boulder County and only your pet projects.

Mary



Mary Cochran | [Director of Marketing](#)

[303.818.3978](#)

[Sleep... Finally!](#)

[Check Out Our New Website!](#)



[Click here](#) to receive Sleep Easily news, tips and special offers.

From: Jim Disinger
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: BVCP
Date: Saturday, July 08, 2017 5:55:37 AM

I'm frankly dismayed and confused that the County Commissioners would even consider handing over so much power over county lands to one city. Many times recently, like at Twin Lakes, the 4-body review process has been the only thing pulling justice and democracy out of the quagmire of development.

Anything that you can do to limit the encroachment of builders and developers is much appreciated. Let's keep Boulder County liveable and send new development back to Denver or LA where it belongs.

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#522]
Date: Saturday, July 08, 2017 5:58:32 AM

Name *	Bob Bob
Email *	bob@bob.com
This comment relates to: *	Policy Updates
Comment: *	<p>I'm frankly dismayed and confused that the County Commissioners would even consider handing over so much power over county lands to one city. Many times recently, like at Twin Lakes, the 4-body review process has been the only thing pulling justice and democracy out of the quagmire of development.</p> <p>Anything that you can do to limit the encroachment of builders and developers is much appreciated. Let's keep Boulder County liveable and send new development back to Denver or LA where it belongs.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Steven Albers
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners; council@bouldercolorado.gov](mailto:council@bouldercolorado.gov)
Subject: Keep BVCP 4-body review and amendment procedures
Date: Saturday, July 08, 2017 1:57:01 PM

Greetings,

In upcoming votes, please keep the existing 4-body review, and amendment procedures for the BVCP. I am opposed to changes in these processes of deliberation.

Thanks,

Steve Albers

5116 Williams Fork Trail #105

Boulder, CO 80301

From: radiantb@comcast.net
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: regarding Boulder County / Twin Lakes issue
Date: Saturday, July 08, 2017 2:03:49 PM

The City of Boulder wants to eliminate the four-body review of land use changes in Area II
(most of Gunbarrel is in Area II)

MORE IMPORTANTLY, they want to MOVE ALL BVCP AMENDMENTS TO THE Intergovernmental Agreement!

This would strip the County Planning Commission AND City Planning Board of any say in how the entire BVCP changes going forward.

The amendment procedures for the BVCP MUST remain in the BVCP, not the IGA!

Our elected officials are rolling over and handing the keys to the County to the Boulder City Council.

We cannot even vote for Boulder City Council and therefore are not properly represented.

Please consider the rights of the citizens of Boulder County

Thank you


L Jackson

Gunbarrel, Boulder, CO

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#523]
Date: Saturday, July 08, 2017 2:05:33 PM

Name * L Jackson

Email * radiantb@comcast.net

Address (optional)  80301
United States

This comment relates to: * Twin Lakes issues

Comment: *

The City of Boulder wants to eliminate the four-body review of land use changes in Area II (most of Gunbarrel is in Area II)
MORE IMPORTANTLY, they want to MOVE ALL BVCP AMENDMENTS TO THE Intergovernmental Agreement!
This would strip the County Planning Commission AND City Planning Board of any say in how the entire BVCP changes going forward.
The amendment procedures for the BVCP MUST remain in the BVCP, not the IGA!
Our elected officials are rolling over and handing the keys to the County to the Boulder City Council. We cannot even vote for Boulder City Council and therefore are not properly represented.
Please consider the rights of the citizens of Boulder County
Thank you

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: radiantb@comcast.net
To: [Jones, Elise](#)
Subject: regarding Boulder County / Twin Lakes issue
Date: Saturday, July 08, 2017 2:09:13 PM

The City of Boulder wants to eliminate the four-body review of land use changes in Area II
(most of Gunbarrel is in Area II)

MORE IMPORTANTLY, they want to MOVE ALL BVCP AMENDMENTS TO THE Intergovernmental Agreement!

This would strip the County Planning Commission AND City Planning Board of any say in how the entire BVCP changes going forward.

The amendment procedures for the BVCP MUST remain in the BVCP, not the IGA!

Our elected officials are rolling over and handing the keys to the County to the Boulder City Council.

We cannot even vote for Boulder City Council and therefore are not properly represented.

Please consider the rights of the citizens of Boulder County

Thank you

L Jackson

Gunbarrel, Boulder, CO

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#524]
Date: Saturday, July 08, 2017 3:41:37 PM

Name *	Steven Albers
Email *	scalbers@webtv.net
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 530-5430
Address (optional)	 5116 Williams Fork Trail #105 Boulder, CO 80301 United States
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	Please keep the 4-body review and amendment process as they are now with the BVCP in any upcoming decisions. I would oppose any changes to these deliberative processes.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Maggie Schafer
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#); [City Council](#); [Maggie Schafer](#)
Subject: Amendment Procedures
Date: Sunday, July 09, 2017 9:23:15 AM
Importance: High

This whole process reeks of vindictive special interests!

the City wants to eliminate the four-body review of land use changes in Area II (most of Gunbarrel is in Area II), **AND MORE IMPORTANTLY, MOVE ALL BVCP AMENDMENTS TO THE Intergovernmental Agreement stripping** the County Planning Commission AND City Planning Board of any say in how the entire BVCP changes going forward!
The amendment procedures for the BVCP MUST remain in the BVCP, not the IGA!
Last week, over 50 citizens spoke at a joint meeting of the County Commissioners and County Planning Commission. Many voiced dismay that our elected officials are rolling over and handing the keys to the County to the Boulder City Council! Our representatives wanted public feedback, and they got it! More than 350 pages of comments and counting -98% AGAINST changing the BVCP to remove the amendment procedures OR 4-body review process!
What happened to having a representative government? How could your elected County Commissioners, in clear conscience, even consider voting for these changes when it's obviously contrary to the desires and best interest of their constituents? How could they call this good governance? I certainly will NOT vote for anyone who allows this to happen! Residents of Gunbarrel, who would be most adversely affected, have found for our rights and, it appears that this is sticking in the craw of special interest so again you try to find a way to get around the very laws that we have put in place to protect unique places.

The public is aware that there are some who have personal interest with builders and developers! We would never have expected this type of governing in Boulder and Boulder County! Is this the example the Trump administration has set and you think following it will pad your pockets? Shameful!

We elected our commissioners and council members in good faith and now they want to sell us out – again! Do not think we will stand and let this happen! We have fought for our rights before and to think you would attempt to change the laws to get your way is disturbing! We are voters and voters and taxpayers pay your salaries and we expect you to do your job for us – not for greedy money men! It is beyond our understanding why you would want to despoil places like the Gunbarrel area – unique, special and valued by those of us who live here and those who visit and enjoy it. Shame shame on you!

Maggie Schafer
4381 Pali Way
Boulder, Co 80301



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: J. R.
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Vote "NO" on BVCP changes to land use reviews
Date: Sunday, July 09, 2017 7:10:06 PM

Hi,

We've lived in the Twin Lakes community for 23 years and am angry that my elected officials are choosing to abandon their responsibility and not represent my interests on land use issues in my community. Why? Is this just passing the buck (lazy), or have the Real Estate interests finally "bought the system"?

The City of Boulder often does not represent our interests, those of our family and many of our neighbors...if fact we cannot even vote in their election and am therefore not represented. I demand that the people I have elected NOT neglect their responsibility, stand up for our interests and NOT forsake the democratic principles our country stands for. I am surprised that the proposed actions are not CRIMINAL!

Again, we DO NOT want the proposed BVCP changes and it's our primary issue in any and all future elections.

Sincerely,
Jeff and Terra Grantham
6542 Barnacle St.
Boulder (County)

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#525]
Date: Sunday, July 09, 2017 7:14:26 PM

Name * jeff grantham

Email * jethr001@hotmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Hi,

We've lived in the Twin Lakes community for 23 years and am angry that my elected officials are choosing to abandon their responsibility and not represent my interests on land use issues in my community. Why? Is this just passing the buck (lazy), or have the Real Estate interests finally "bought the system"?

The City of Boulder often does not represent our interests, those of our family and many of our neighbors...if fact we cannot even vote in their election and am therefore not represented. I demand that the people I have elected NOT neglect their responsibility, stand up for our interests and NOT forsake the democratic principles our country stands for. I am surprised that the proposed actions are not CRIMINAL!

Again, we DO NOT want the proposed BVCP changes and it's our primary issue in any and all future elections.

Also, this trashy web based email system is design to discourage legitimate input from the people and I condemn your ethics for using it!

Sincerely,
Jeff and Terra Grantham
6542 Barnacle St.
Boulder (County)

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: J. R.
To: [Jones, Elise](#)
Subject: Vote NO on proposed BVCP changes
Date: Sunday, July 09, 2017 7:22:38 PM

Hi,

We've lived in the Twin Lakes community for 23 years and am angry that my elected officials are choosing to abandon their responsibility and not represent my interests on land use issues in my community. Why? Is this just passing the buck (lazy), or have the Real Estate interests finally "bought the system"?

The City of Boulder often does not represent our interests, those of our family and many of our neighbors...if fact we cannot even vote in their election and am therefore not represented. I demand that the people I have elected NOT neglect their responsibility, stand up for our interests and NOT forsake the democratic principles our country stands for. I am surprised that the proposed actions are not CRIMINAL!

Again, we DO NOT want the proposed BVCP changes and it's our primary issue in any and all future elections.

Sincerely,
Jeff and Terra Grantham
6542 Barnacle St.
Boulder (County)

Vote NO on proposed BVCP changes

From: jeff grove
To: council@bouldercolorado.gov; [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: CU South, Comprehensive Plan, Flood Mitigation
Date: Sunday, July 09, 2017 8:07:51 PM

Dear City Council members and County Commissioners,

I am writing to urge approval of the Comprehensive Plan, with berm Option D.

The CU South property is private property, and can be developed according to their needs. There is an attempt to block this to preserve the present state of the property by delaying other unrelated land use goals, including building a flood mitigation berm which is needed for safety and protection of property on the north side us US36. This use of unrelated issues to prevent construction of needed public safety infrastructure in favor of continued public use of private property seems quite irresponsible and unethical to me. The berm of Option B does not significantly affect CU's eventual use of their property or designation of any part of it as open space. There is already a large area of established open space adjacent to the property. The attempt to thwart CU's use of their property and construction of a berm needed for public safety for the narrow purpose of continuing local access to private property should be ignored.

Thank you,

Gerald Grove
jeff1.grove@gmail.com
350 Ponca PL Apt. 424
Boulder CO 80303-3882
(h) 303-581-9017


From: Mike Dorsey
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: BVCP
Date: Monday, July 10, 2017 8:18:41 AM

County Commissioners –

I live in the County and I am strongly opposed to the changes in the BVCP process that would remove the County Commissioners from the planning process. I want the four body process to continue to protect my interests. Please vote no on these changes.

Carolyn Buck
7035 Yampa Court

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#526]
Date: Monday, July 10, 2017 8:21:12 AM

Name *	Carolyn Buck
Email *	jmdcjb@msn.com
Address (optional)	 7035 Yampa Court United States
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	<p>I live in the County and I am strongly opposed to the changes in the BVCP process that would remove the County Planning Commission from the planning process. I want the four body process to continue to protect my interests. Please vote no on these changes.</p> <p>Carolyn Buck 7035 Yampa Court</p>
Please check box below *	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Elizabeth Frick
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Please do not move amendment procedures to the IGA
Date: Monday, July 10, 2017 9:47:02 AM


Please do not allow the elimination the four-body review of land use changes in Area II, the area where I live. Also, do not allow the moving of all BVCP amendments to the **Intergovernmental Agreement!** This would strip the County Planning Commission AND City Planning Board of any say in how the entire BVCP changes going forward. The amendment procedures for the BVCP need to remain in the BVCP, not the IGA.

This power grab is anti-democratic.

Thank you.

Elizabeth (Bette) Frick, PhD, ELS
Gunbarrel resident
Twin Lakes trail user

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#527]
Date: Monday, July 10, 2017 9:48:41 AM

Name *	Elizabeth Frick
Email *	efrick@textdoctor.com
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 527-2989
Address (optional)	 White Rock Circle Boulder, CO 80301 United States
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	<p>Please do not allow the elimination the four-body review of land use changes in Area II, the area where I live. Also, do not allow the moving of all BVCP amendments to the Intergovernmental Agreement! This would strip the County Planning Commission AND City Planning Board of any say in how the entire BVCP changes going forward. The amendment procedures for the BVCP need to remain in the BVCP, not the IGA.</p> <p>This power grab is anti-democratic.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Elizabeth (Bette) Frick, PhD, ELS Gunbarrel resident Twin Lakes trail user</p>
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Patricia Logan-Olson
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Keep the four-body review process
Date: Monday, July 10, 2017 10:17:28 AM

Dear County Commissioners,

I am a resident of Boulder County (Niwot) and am deeply disturbed by the possibility of cutting out the Planning Board from the review process. They are on the front lines of planning decisions and have taken the time to investigate and analyze these issues in detail. I have been impressed with their diligence and believe they are a vital part of the democratic process.

Please vote to keep the four-body review process as part of the IGA in relation to the BVCP.

Thank you!

Pattie Logan-Olson
7992 Centrebridge Dr.
Niwot, CO 80503

From: James Duncan
To: [Gardner, Deb](#); [Domenico, Cindy](#); jared.polis@mail.house.gov; [Jones, Elise](#); jones@bouldercolorado.gov; council@bouldercolorado.gov; boulderplanningboard@bouldercolorado.gov; Patrick.ORourke@cu.edu; Irene.Griego@cu.edu; glen.gallegos@cu.edu; Linda.Shoemaker@cu.edu
Subject: Yes to SongBird Open Space
Date: Tuesday, July 11, 2017 11:21:41 AM

Another FOR creating the open space.

And,

NOT changing the 4 body review process.

James Duncan
Boulder Co.

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [#LandUsePlanner](#)
Subject: Ask a Planner - Web inquiry from Jahnvi Brenner - Docket BVCP-15-0001
Date: Tuesday, July 11, 2017 1:03:45 PM

Boulder County Property Address : CU-South

If your comments are regarding a specific docket, please enter the docket number: Docket BVCP-15-0001

Name: Jahnvi Brenner

Email Address: jahnvi@gmail.com

Phone Number: (303) 249-0700

Please enter your question or comment: Planning Commission-

This month you are making decisions regarding the BVCP land use changes for the CU-South Property.

I want to share with you a petition signed by over 800 members of the community asking you to protect our open space and environment values as well as prioritize flood mitigation over development of the land. We believe more of the land could be used for flood mitigation solutions that are more cost effective than Alt D and in line with current flood loss avoidance practices.

Here's a link to our petition. https://www.change.org/p/boulder-city-council-no-land-use-designation-changes-on-cu-south?recruiter=405356240&utm_source=share_petition&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=share_email_responsive

The decisions you are about to make are complex and will have lasting impact. Thank you for your commitment to serving our community and your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jahnvi Brenner

4624 Gordon Drive

Public record acknowledgement:

I acknowledge that this submission is considered a public record and will be made available by request under the Colorado Open Records Act.

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [#LandUsePlanner](#)
Subject: Ask a Planner - Web inquiry from Jahnvi Brenner - Docket BVCP-15-0001
Date: Tuesday, July 11, 2017 1:03:45 PM

Boulder County Property Address : CU-South

If your comments are regarding a specific docket, please enter the docket number: Docket BVCP-15-0001

Name: Jahnvi Brenner

Email Address: jahnvi@gmail.com

Phone Number: (303) 249-0700

Please enter your question or comment: Planning Commission-

This month you are making decisions regarding the BVCP land use changes for the CU-South Property.

I want to share with you a petition signed by over 800 members of the community asking you to protect our open space and environment values as well as prioritize flood mitigation over development of the land. We believe more of the land could be used for flood mitigation solutions that are more cost effective than Alt D and in line with current flood loss avoidance practices.

Here's a link to our petition. https://www.change.org/p/boulder-city-council-no-land-use-designation-changes-on-cu-south?recruiter=405356240&utm_source=share_petition&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=share_email_responsive

The decisions you are about to make are complex and will have lasting impact. Thank you for your commitment to serving our community and your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jahnvi Brenner

4624 Gordon Drive

Public record acknowledgement:

I acknowledge that this submission is considered a public record and will be made available by request under the Colorado Open Records Act.

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#528]
Date: Tuesday, July 11, 2017 1:18:39 PM


Name *	Darcy Madden
Email *	darcymadden@yahoo.com
This comment relates to: *	Residential LED
Comment: *	Remodeling in downtown Boulder. I can not find info on what percentage of permanent light fixtures need to be LED. Thank you for any help you can provide.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: caroline
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Tonight's Vote
Date: Tuesday, July 11, 2017 5:02:53 PM

The amendment procedures for the BVCP MUST remain in the BVCP, NOT the IGA. 98% of the more than 50 people who spoke about this issue at the recent meeting were against your voting to make such a change. Listen to your constituents, do what's right for us, instead of pushing the out-of-control growth agenda of five members of the City Council who are trying to remove our system of checks and balances provided by the 4-Body Review. Their attitudes and behavior are solidly in the Trump camp, devoid of a shred of integrity or caring about the people who will suffer. The 4-Body Review was created for good reasons, has functioned well, and must remain for those same reasons. Meanwhile, we're counting the days to when we will vote out these people on the council who, along with some commissioners, neither respect nor act in the best interest of their constituents.

Caroline Hogue
Gunbarrel

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#529]
Date: Tuesday, July 11, 2017 5:12:10 PM

Name *	Caroline Hogue
Email *	caroline.hogue@gmail.com
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 251-1951
Address (optional)	 4493 Sandpiper Circle Boulder, CO 80301 United States
This comment relates to: *	Tonight's Vote/ BVCP/IGA

Comment: *

The amendment procedures for the BVCP MUST remain in the BVCP, NOT the IGA. 98% of the more than 50 people who spoke about this issue at the recent meeting were against your voting to make such a change. Listen to your constituents, do what's right for us, instead of pushing the out-of-control growth agenda of five members of the City Council who are trying to remove our system of checks and balances provided by the 4-Body Review. Their attitudes and behavior are solidly in the Trump camp, devoid of a shred of integrity or caring about the people who will suffer. The 4-Body Review was created for good reasons, has functioned well, and must remain for those same reasons. Meanwhile, we're counting the days to when we will vote out these people on the council who, along with some commissioners, neither respect nor act in the best interest of their constituents.

Caroline Hogue
Gunbarrel

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Adli
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: Don't abdicate your responsibility to unincorporated boulder county
Date: Wednesday, July 12, 2017 10:18:15 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing you to urge you not to abdicate your responsibility for the management of unincorporated areas in Boulder County to the city of Boulder. To approve the change to the 4 body process would be counter the wishes of your constituents (98% of public feedback was against this action) and would erase an important voice that the citizens of unincorporated areas would have in matters that directly affect them. Unincorporated citizens are not allowed to vote for City Council (and by proxy the city planning board,) so the city should not be able to have an unequal say in what happens to unincorporated areas.

If you choose to go against the wishes of your constituents, it will be a bold and public statement that you are the City of Boulder's lap-dogs and you care more about achieving your ideological fantasies than actually representing the residents of the county. You can no longer fly under the radar on these matters, you will be held to account.


Please do the right thing and reject the change to the 4 body review and the movement of the BVCP amendment process to the Intergovernmental Agreement.

Thank you,
Adli Waziri

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#530]
Date: Wednesday, July 12, 2017 10:20:53 AM

Name * Adli Waziri

Email * a.waziri@comcast.net

Address (optional)  6549 Barnacle Street
Boulder, CO 80301
United States

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

I am writing you to urge you not to abdicate your responsibility for the management of unincorporated areas in Boulder County to the city of Boulder. To approve the change to the 4 body process and moving the BVCP amendment process to the Intergovernmental Agreement would be counter the wishes of those you represent (98% of public feedback was against this action) and would erase an important voice that the citizens of unincorporated areas would have in matters that directly affect them. Unincorporated citizens are not allowed to vote for City Council (and by proxy the city planning board,) so the city should not be able to have an unequal say in what happens to unincorporated areas.

If you choose to go against the wishes of the citizens, it will be a bold and public statement that you are the City of Boulder's lap-dogs. There is truly no other reason why a county planning board would vote to give up their voice in county matters.

Please do the right thing and reject the change to the 4 body review and the movement of the BVCP amendment process to the Intergovernmental Agreement.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Erin Shea-Bower
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: I love living here
Date: Wednesday, July 12, 2017 10:20:44 PM

Greetings,

Living in Gunbarrel is fantastic. We enjoy the beauty of the mountains and the proximity to the city of Boulder ... But we are not the city.

As elected officials, you are our voice. Not just when you're elected, but you are our voice daily. As your constituent, I respectfully request that you vote our collective voice, and not hand the keys to our county to the City of Boulder. Vote NO -- BVCP must remain in the BVCP not the IGA.


Vote as your constituents request of you-- you are our voice and our vote. Thanks for all our work in Boulder County!

Erin Shea -Bower
BVSD teacher
Gunbarrel resident

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#531]
Date: Thursday, July 13, 2017 5:26:39 PM

Name * Elmar Dornberger

Email * elmar@hemisphereconsulting.com

Address (optional)  4890 Qualla Drive
Boulder, CO 80303
United States

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Dear Boulder County Commissioners and Planning Commission

Please support flood mitigation by voting YES to approve the Comp. Plan Amendment including CU South.

This project is critical to the health and safety of people whose lives and property are threatened when South Boulder Creek overtops U.S. 36.

Our house was 4 1/2 feet under water. We lost about 60% of our belongings and I needed shoulder surgery thereafter because I was trying to safe our household.


I am not certain we will survive another flood like this. We where lucky no-one died.

Please support us now, don't leave this up to chance.

Yours,
The Dornberger's

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#532]
Date: Thursday, July 13, 2017 8:10:22 PM

Name *	Janet Brewer
Email *	dtbjhb@aol.com
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8254
Address (optional)	 4840 Thunderbird Dr Apt. 87 Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to ask that you please APPROVE the Comp. Plan Amendment including CU South in order to make possible long-needed flood mitigation for South Boulder residents.

I live at Frasier Meadows Retirement Community, which experienced catastrophic damage in the flood of 2013. Some of our most vulnerable residents were displaced and property damage was extensive. Nearly 100 automobiles were destroyed, as was the existing Assisted Living facility, half of skilled-nursing beds, and a number of ground-level apartments. In addition, much infrastructure was damaged; elevators were out of service for weeks.


The management of Frasier Meadows Retirement Community has been attempting to implement its own flood mitigation for the past four years--so far without success. We need the larger governing bodies of Boulder City and County to step up to the plate. Please do so!

Sincerely,
Janet H. Brewer


Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#533]
Date: Thursday, July 13, 2017 9:07:47 PM

Name *	Porter Storey
Email *	porterstorey@gmail.com
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 443-9437
Address (optional)	 5290 Euclid Ave Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	Flood mitigation in Comp Plan Amendment including CU south
Comment: *	We suffered terribly in 2013, with 40 inches of sewage – smelling flood water in our home for 4 days. Devastation was total. Please vote Yes on the Comp Plan Amendment including CU south for the health and safety of our neighborhood! Thank you, Porter Storey MD
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#534]
Date: Thursday, July 13, 2017 9:22:01 PM

Name *	Peter Ornstein
Email *	pmo@mediationnow.com
Address (optional)	 556 Aztec Drive Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>I urge you to support S. Boulder Creek flood mitigation measures currently under consideration. It is important to our health, safety and peace-of-mind that flood mitigation be implemented as soon as possible.</p> <p>Thank you!</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#535]
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 10:18:06 AM

Name *	Keller Kimbrough
Email *	keller.kimbrough@colorado.edu
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 304-8149
Address (optional)	 46 Pima Court Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

Dear Members of the Boulder County Board of Commissioners and Planning Commission,

Thank you for your service to the people of Boulder County!

My name is Keller Kimbrough, and I teach Japanese literature at CU. My wife and twelve-year-old daughter and I live at 46 Pima Court in South Boulder. In the 2013 flood, the bottom third of our townhouse filled with eight feet of muddy water, destroying my home office, my personal library (including hundreds of academic books from Japan, and dozens of seventeenth- and eighteenth-century woodblock-printed volumes), and most of our personal photos and files. I was on a research trip in Japan at the time, and a neighbor who tried to save some of my books said that when the windows burst under the pressure of the water, it took only approximately 90 seconds for the basement office to fill to the ceiling with swirling black water. A car was washed down the fire lane just outside my home, and the water pinned it against a tree. It is amazing to me that no one was killed.


Unfortunately, my house and my neighborhood are in just as much danger today as they were four years ago. Without passage of the Comprehensive Plan Amendment, including CU South, there will be nothing to stop the flood waters the next time they overtop Highway 36. This is an extremely urgent matter. Please vote yes on the Comprehensive Plan Amendment and CU South. Our lives may depend on it.

Sincerely yours,
Keller Kimbrough

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#536]
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 11:26:32 AM

Name *	kathie joyner
Organization (optional)	South Boulder Creek Action Group
Email *	joynermcguire@comcast.net
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 543-0799
Address (optional)	 4960 Qualla Dr. Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

Dear County Planning Commissioners,

Please move quickly to approve the CU South comp plan amendment which will facilitate South Boulder Creek flood mitigation. As you know, this flood control project will protect thousands of us living downstream from US 36 from future flood events similar or worse than the one in 2013.

We are hopeful that County decision-makers view their fundamental responsibility of the health and safety of their citizens very seriously. From someone living in harm's way, I'm also hopeful that the County agrees with the statement that "no action is not an alternative"!

Please move quickly and decisively to approve the CU South comp plan amendment and help ensure the safety of thousands of us "downstreamers".

Respectfully,

Kathie Joyner

Kathie Joyner
South Boulder Creek Action Group
303 543-0799

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#537]
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 11:36:24 AM

Name * Andy Schwarz

Email * ams@amstec.com


This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: * Please support the Comp. Plan Amendment to include CU South and flood mitigation. This is urgent in protecting lives down stream from South Boulder Creak over topping of HWY 36 causing a flash flood in our neighborhoods.

Thank you!

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#538]
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 11:39:34 AM

Name *	David McGuire
Organization (optional)	South Boulder Creek Action Group
Email *	dmcguirepm@hotmail.com
Address (optional)	 4960 Qualla Drive Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

Dear Commissioners and Planning Commission Members,


There are times in a governing body's existence when their decision can mean life or death to their citizens. You are at that point now with the CU South Comprehensive Plan amendment. This is not a popularity contest, a numbers contest, or where everyone is going to be happy. It simply must be done to afford the many of us in harm's way the safety protections enjoyed by many other locales in Boulder: nothing more, and nothing less. Please approve the CU South Comprehensive Plan Amendment so the City of Boulder can quickly move forward with annexation and construction. Our lives depend on it!

Best Regards,

David McGuire
SBCAG
Boulder, Co 80303

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#539]
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 11:42:19 AM

Name *	Beth Ornstein
Email *	beth@mediationnow.com
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 499-2317
Address (optional)	 556 Aztec Dr. Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

I urge you support flood mitigation by voting YES to approve the Comprehensive Plan Amendment including CU South. In 2013, due to flooding, my home, like all the other homes on my street, was damaged by sewage back up combined with water . The CU South berm is the only option that can remove hundreds of southeast Boulder homes from the 100 year floodplain. It is urgent that we begin flood mitigation as soon as possible to protect the health and safety of safety of people whose lives and property are threatened when South Boulder Creek overtops U.S. 36, including many frail and elderly residents of the area.

Thanks for showing your concern about the health and safety of Boulder residents by voting to approve the Comprehensive Plan Amendment including CU South!

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#540]
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 12:47:43 PM

Name *	AliceLouise Bradley
Organization (optional)	Frasier Retirement Community
Email *	wbradley@comcast.net
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8194
Address (optional)	 4875 Sioux Dr. Apt 002 Boulder, CO 80303 United States

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

I urge you to vote in favor of amending the BVCP to include CU South. I support its annexation into the City of Boulder. It is imperative that all governmental bodies work together to bring about flood mitigation for the area. Option D in the Boulder City flood mitigation studies is the way to proceed. A berm that will prevent spill over inundating the neighborhoods is needed NOW.

I appreciate your affirmative vote to this cause. My husband and I were flooded out with considerable loss of property – fortunately no loss of life – in the 2013 flood.

Thank you,
(Alice) Louise Bradley
Resident Frasier Retirement Community
Boulder Resident since 1957

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#541]
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 12:54:42 PM

Name *	chirstopher Macor
Email *	christophermacor@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	I URGE YOU NOT TO VOTE FOR THESE RADICAL CHANGES TO OUR BVCP AND IGA!
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: ChristopherMacor .
To: [Chris](#)
Subject: PROPOSED CHANGES TO BVCP
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 12:56:52 PM

I URGE YOU NOT TO VOTE FOR THESE RADICAL CHANGES TO OUR BVCP AND IGA!

--

"Any time you have the opportunity to make a difference in this world, and you don't, then you are wasting your time on Earth." - Roberto Clemente

Christopher Macor

Multimedia Musician with a Message

[Listen to my newest CD](#)

[My Newest Video](#)

[Learn about specialized and inspiring Song Videos](#)

[For guitar and other music lessons](#)

[Click here for dance music](#)

christophermacor@gmail.com

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#542]
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 1:30:13 PM

Name *	William Bradley
Organization (optional)	Frasier Retirement Community
Email *	wbradley@comcast.net
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8194
Address (optional)	 4875 Sioux Dr. AQpt. 002 Boulder, Colorado 80303 United States

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

I very nearly lost my life in the 2013 flood. It is always in the back of my mind: if that storm happened once, it can happen again.

Please support flood mitigation by voting YES to approve the Comp Plan Amendment including CU South. The City has already approved Plan D of the Flood Berm choices. Without the Berm, no one in this neighborhood is safe from future floods.

Thank you in advance for easing my mind.

William C. Bradley

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#543]
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 2:30:58 PM

Name * Laura Tyler

Email * Laura@amstec.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Dear County Commissioners and Planning Commission,

Please find a way to say yes to the proposed Comp. Plan Amendment including CU South. As you know, the flood situation in SE Boulder becomes dire when floodwaters from South Boulder Creek overtop U.S. 36. The City of Boulder's has proposed a solution, Option D, that requires the cooperation of the University of Colorado and Colorado Department of Transportation to implement. Both entities have expressed willingness to work *with* the City of Boulder to achieve this important infrastructure goal. As citizens have raised questions and concerns, the City's engineers have responded thoughtfully and with clarity. They have earned my support.

I understand it may feel difficult to make a decision when not all your constituents agree. But here are a few things we know for sure. 1. The flood situation in SE Boulder is dire and will repeat. 2. the implementation of a safe solution requires care. 3. This community values the preservation of open space and recreational areas. 4. We need certainty at CU South. 5. The flood issue won't go away if you decide defer or ignore. This is a problem that time won't resolve and the longer we wait the more expensive the solution gets. 6. Every month that passes puts us one month closer to the next catastrophic flood.

I understand there is disagreement in the community about how the City of Boulder should interface with CU to solve these issues. Should they cooperate or take another approach? Boulder's City Council and Planning Board showed their support for cooperation by voting to approve the Comp Plan Amendments including CU South last week. Please also support a cooperative approach and vote yes.

Thank you.

Laura Tyler
South Boulder Creek Action Group

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#544]
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 3:11:47 PM

Name * Rick Mahan

Email * srmahan@msn.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Dear Commissioners,

Just sending a reminder of how important it is for this plan to be approved ASAP!

My name is Rick Mahan. I have stood many times before City Council explaining the traumatic effect the flood had on my life on Qualla Dr. If the Flood of Sept. 2013 would have arrived after my daughter, wife and I had went to bed in our basement, we would not have survived. The water broke through 2 basement windows in my daughter's room, fiiled the basement all the way to the ceiling, bursting out the windows above the bed in our master bedroom on the opposite side of the house.

After 3 years of stress, anxiety and solutions still years away, our family decided that we could no longer live that way. We sold our house, but were unable to find anything comparable in Boulder not in a flood plain(Our house was mapped into the flood plain in 2012). It is very sad that a 3rd generation native born in Boulder had to move to feel safe.

Please make the health and safety of people your first priority by getting flood mitigation done before lives are lost.


Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Rick Mahan

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#545]
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 4:49:22 PM

Name *	Steven Warren
Organization (optional)	Mountain View United Methodist Church
Email *	pastorsteve@mtview.org
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 494-5025
Address (optional)	 355 Ponca Place Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

I would like to urge the Boulder County Commissioners and Planning Commission to support flood mitigation by voting YES to approve the Comp. Plan Amendment including CU South.

In 2013 we suffered almost 3/4 of a million dollars worth of damage on our church from the absence of adequate flood mitigation in this neighborhood. Our extensive community service programs were interrupted and the vulnerable people we serve were impacted. Our home was also flooded with back-flow sewage to the tune of \$39k worth of damage. Moreover, we know of several families with young children, whose lives were threatened in this 50 year flood event. Is it necessary to also recount the harrowing reports of life and limb suffered by senior residents of the Frasier Meadows Retirement community? We believe that this disaster could happen again at anytime.

With the wise support of our county commissioners, we are hoping that adequate measures may be undertaken to mitigate the damage to property and lives in this populous neighborhood. We feel that this project is critical to the health and safety of people whose lives and property are threatened whenever South Boulder Creek overtops U.S. 36.

Kind Regards,


The Rev. Steven K Warren
Sr. Pastor Mountain View United Methodist Church

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#546]
Date: Friday, July 14, 2017 7:10:32 PM

Name * Clinton Heiple

Email * clinh.1@comcast.net


Address (optional)  4840 Thunderbird Dr., Apt 184
Boulder, CO 80303
United States

This comment relates to: * CU South


Comment: *
I urge you to support the changes to land use designations in the CU South property passed by the City of Boulder. These changes are necessary in order for flood mitigation for South Boulder to proceed. We were very fortunate no one was killed in South Boulder during the 2013 flood, although here was tremendous property damage. We may not be so fortunate next time. And there will be a next time. This is a serious public safety issue. Please help us protect lives and property in South Boulder.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#547]
Date: Saturday, July 15, 2017 11:22:55 AM

Name *	Bruce Thompson
Email *	bruce_29005@msn.com
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8284
Address (optional)	 350 Ponca Place #279 Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	The City Council and Planning have voted (8-1) and unanimously on the BVCP including CU South. Since this is a critical step in obtaining Flood Mitigation on South Boulder Creek, which will save lives for residents living in Boulder, please VOTE YES on these important issues. Thanks in advance.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#548]
Date: Saturday, July 15, 2017 2:06:37 PM

Name *	Arthur Howe
Organization (optional)	Mountain View United Methodist Church,Boulder
Email *	arthurkatyhowe@comcast.net
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 704-7203
Address (optional)	 355 Ponca Place Boulder , CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *
July 14th 2017
To the County Commissioners

Dear Commissioners,

I write to indicate how the proposed Comprehensive Plan and Amendment and CU South flood mitigation plan, passed this week by Boulder's Planning Board, not only is in the interests of Boulder residents, but also in the interests of residents of surrounding cities in Boulder County.

I am an Emeritus Trustees at Mountain View United Methodist Church, and worked on our church's flood recovery committee. Our church is located next to Frasier Meadows Retirement Community, and suffered extensive flood damage because of the overrun of Route 36 and the subsequent sewer backup.

During the 8 months of flood recovery, usage of our church by members and outside organizations was severely impacted. Although the church is located in Boulder, a large fraction of our members reside in other parts of Boulder County, such as Louisville, Lafayetteand and Erie.

In addition, our building is the home to the Boulder Yourh Orchestra and the Suzuki Strings. During term time, each of these organizations use the many rooms and halls of our building on a weekly basis, each involving large numbers of students attending. These organizations are the central ones for Boulder County, and draw their students from a wide geographical area in the County. Their programs were severely disrupted also during the 8 months of flood recovery.

Please consider that providing future flood protection is not just a Boulder City issue, but an issue that effcets the lives of County residents as well.

Our church has worked with the planning groups to formulate the current proposal, and the group held meetings in our church regularly. The plan is the result of years of detailed and exhaustive planning,and we urge you to support it.


Sincerely,

Dr A. T. Howe
Emeritus Trustee

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#549]
Date: Saturday, July 15, 2017 4:16:09 PM

Name *	Warren Barker
Email *	warbarke@aol.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please vote yes on the proposed comp plan and the IGA.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#550]
Date: Saturday, July 15, 2017 7:10:45 PM

Name * Kay Forsythe

Email * mkforsythe@comcast.net


Address (optional)  350 Ponca Place
Boulder, CO 80303
United States

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *
We are very concerned about the likelihood of being flooded again. We encourage your support of flood mitigation by voting yes to approve the Comprehensive Plan Amendment including CU South. It has been studied and restudied. Frasier Meadows Retirement Community and our neighborhood suffered financially and was extremely fortunate that no lives were lost. Please don't allow us to be placed in this situation again. Immediate action is so important to us.
Thank you for your service to our community,
Kay and Mike Forsythe

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#551]
Date: Saturday, July 15, 2017 8:41:08 PM

Name *	Les Sims
Email *	oban21@gmail.com
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 358-0015
Address (optional)	 Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

This communication is regarding the CU South Comprehensive Plan amendment. Like numerous other Boulder residents impacted by the 2013 flood, my family remains fearful that the next time around we may not be so lucky. If you've ever been impacted by a flood you understand our fears. If not, I hope you never experience the terror we witnessed and somehow survived.

The CU Comp Plan amendment will set in motion the necessary actions needed to mitigate future similar events. Without your help to move this plan forward, residents will remain in harms way.


Please vote to approve the CU South Comp Plan amendment.

Thank you for your time, efforts and continued support regarding this very important matter.

The Sims Family

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#552]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 5:25:01 AM

Name *	Timothy McKeon
Email *	tmck99@pobox.com
Address (optional)	 Mohawk Dr United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>Please approve the CU South comp plan amendment that will facilitate flood mitigation which will protect thousands of lives downstream from a future flood similar to or worse than the one in 2013.</p> <p>Thanks.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#553]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 10:39:22 AM

Name * Patricia Geraghty

Email * grgtypat@aol.com

Address (optional)  350 Ponca Place, Apt 229
Boulder , Colorado 80303
United States

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

I urge you to support flood mitigation by voting YES to approve BVCP and the amendment to CU South land. I live at Frazier Meadows now and have heard about the devastation that the 2013 flood caused here and to the surrounding area. At the time of the flood I lived in Longmont and was evacuated from my home. Luckily when I returned, I found no damage. The experience was very frightening and I met many people at the evacuation center who had truly terrible experiences. I am certainly in favor of open space and preserving habitats, but in this case I believe the effort to expedite protection from another flood and protection of human life should be the priority.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Spam: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#554]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 12:03:20 PM

Name *	Juan Roederer
Organization (optional)	Frasier Meadows Retirement Community
Email *	giovanni.roederer@gmail.com
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8189
Address (optional)	 4875 Sioux Drive Apt 102 Boulder, Colorado 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	BVCP

Comment: *

We urge the Boulder County Commissioners and Planning Commission to APPROVE the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and the Amendment to CU South Land Use Modifications at once. My wife and I have been at Frasier Meadows Retirement Community for only three years; although we did not experience the flood personally, we have become very familiar with the accounts of horrendous and potentially life-threatening situations that Frasier residents went through during the September 2013 disaster. We are also saddened to witness the slow pace the Boulder authorities seemed to take to study and process concrete proposals to avoid future similar disasters. As persons who have lived during our 87 years in many different cities in different countries—including during dangerous times like the beginning of WW2 and several revolutions in a developing country, we cannot understand the apparent lack of a feeling of utmost urgency in this (technically simple) flood prevention matter on part of the local authorities! Please ACT NOW!

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#555]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 12:03:34 PM

Name *	Howard Sargent
Email *	hsargent@indra.com
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8040
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>During the September 2013 flood I got my car out of the North Garage at Frasier Meadows Retirement Community. The garage was beginning to flood. If I had had my car in the South or Central Garages and I tried to save it, I very likely would have died that night. Those garages flooded so quickly that nobody could have escaped alive. Our CEO had many bad hours before being assured that everyone was accounted for. If we have another flood and there is no berm, you will have it on your conscience if there are fatalities.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#556]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 12:20:02 PM

Name *	Glenn English
Email *	ghe2001@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>I recently moved into Frasier Meadows Retirement Community in South Boulder.</p> <p>A few years ago, when the last big flood happened, I was living in Longmont. The damage up there was astounding -- the little drainage ditch near my house looked like Mark Twain's descriptions of the Mississippi River. I understand that Frasier and surroundings in this part of Boulder were hit pretty badly too.</p> <p>I'd appreciate your getting the flood protection ideas implemented.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#557]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 2:23:32 PM

Name *	Joan De Graaff
Email *	jdgcolorado@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please move on building the South Campus berm. Who knows when the next flood will come, and the whole neighborhood north of that area up to Baseline Rd, is susceptible to dangerous, life threatening flooding. Please don't wait till after the next flood to build the berm.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#558]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 4:05:19 PM

Name *	Crif Crawford
Email *	crawford3196@msn.com
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8105
Address (optional)	 4840 Thunderbird Drive Apt 488 Boulder, Colorado 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

County Commissioner and County Planning Board.

If the 2013 South Boulder Creek were to be repeated next week, the damage would be repeated and it is quite possible that lives would be lost in the West Valley neighborhood and perhaps on Highway 36. In 2-3 days the flood waters would have drained away, leaving flood plain and its open space pretty much unchanged, which is what happened after the 2013 flood.


If the flood mitigation plan D berm were in place and the 2013 flood were repeated there would be no major damage and no loss of life and in 2-3 days the flood waters would have drained away, leaving flood plain and its open space pretty much unchanged.

Please approve BVCP and the Amendment to CU South land so that the approved flood mitigation program can be implemented as soon as possible.

Crif and Kate Crawford

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#559]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 4:15:20 PM

Name *	Betty Youndblood
Email *	revwly@gmail.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	I had to be evacuated in 2 feet of water and was scared to death because of the September 2013 flood. Please vote – support the City Council's approved BVCP including CU South so work can begin on flood mitigation.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#560]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 5:10:29 PM

Name * Peg Skurnik

Email * kichwa9@gmail.com

Address (optional)  4840 Thunderbird Drive
Boulder, CO 80303
United States

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

This is to urge the Boulder County Commissioners & Planning Commission to support flood mitigation by voting YES to approve BVCP and the Amendment to CU South land.

As one who lived through the 2013 flood in the Frasier Retirement Community, and who knows numerous homeowners in Keewaydin Meadows and Frasier Meadows who were so horrifically effected by the flood, I want to stress how important it is to the safety and health of the people whose lives and property are threatened should another disaster of this magnitude occur.

Just in our retirement community, the Fire Department couldn't reach us due to the high water, and residents from our Health Care and Assisted Living Units had to be transported by wheelchairs in the dark (only the light from flashlights), through a flooded courtyard to a safer part of the campus. They then had to sleep on mattresses on the floor for the night until they could be taken to other facilities once the water had receded. And not an injury!!!

This should not be allowed to happen again!


Peg Skurnik
A 52 Year Resident of the City of Boulder

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#561]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 5:13:34 PM

Name *	Charles Jenkins
Organization (optional)	Frasier Meadows Retirement Community
Email *	jenkins.cs@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please vote YES to approve BVCP and the Amendment to CU South Land
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#562]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 5:38:31 PM

Name *	Jane Jenkins
Organization (optional)	Frasier Meadows Retirement
Email *	jenkins.jk09@GMAIL.COM
Address (optional)	 BOULDER United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please vote yes to approve BVCP and the Amendment to CU South Land.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: County Commissioners Contact Us/Feedback Form. [#493]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 6:02:07 PM

Name * Don Kellum


Email * djkellum@comcast.net

My Question or Feedback most closely relates to the following subject: (fill in the blank) * Boulder County Comprehensive Plan

Comments, Question or Feedback * Having seen the devastation of the 2013 flood and the threat to life for friends living at Frasier Meadows Manor in S. Boulder, I feel it imperative that there be approval of flood mitigation authorization, as outlined in the Boulder City Flood Mitigation Plan Option D. Please take the responsible course of voting YES when the plan comes before you at the July 26th meeting.
Don (and Judy) Kellum

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#563]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 8:46:48 PM

Name *	Jo Ann Joselyn
Email *	jjoselyn@earthlink.net
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8027
Address (optional)	 4875 Sioux Dr Apt. 303 Boulder, C 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>Dear Boulder County Planning Commission and County Commissioners, Passage of the BVCP, especially tCU South, will enable progress on removing the proven, dangerous threat of a flood like the one that devastated the Frasier Meadows neighborhood in September 2013.</p> <p>We are depending on your action to protect our neighborhood from a repeat of the 2013 costly and nearly deadly flood.</p> <p>Sincerely, Jo Ann Joselyn 4875 Sioux Dr. Apt. 303, Boulder CO</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#564]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 9:17:53 PM

Name * alexandra niehaus

Email * alexandrasniehaus@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Policy Updates

Comment: *
Please do not change the 4 body review process.

Without the county planning commission the county residents have no representation.

As county residents we only get to vote on the 3 commissioners, and they do whatever the city wants!

We NEED the county planning commission to maintain its veto power in the 4 body review process. Otherwise the county residents are basically subject to the whims of the city, with no one to turn to

Please check box below *
 I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#565]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 9:35:52 PM

Name *	Patricia Carden
Email *	ptc39@comcast.net
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8035
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

As a resident of South Boulder, I respectfully request that the Boulder County Commissioners and Planning Commission members fully approve the BVCP as amended and recently approved by the Boulder City Council (8-1), and unanimously approved by the Boulder City Planning board last week.

We continue to be at risk for a repeat of years of flooding events in my neighborhood, and I fail to understand why the protection of life and limb in the face of scientifically predicted vulnerability is not foremost on the accountabilities of elected officials.

Thank you for your service to our beautiful county. Please act to expedite flood mitigation in this property with your vote.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: Mike Smith
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: comment: the four-body process and the BVCP
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 10:44:26 PM

Dear Members of the County Commission,

This past week, Boulder City Council voted to end four-body review on many BVCP County land use decisions. By reducing the County Planning Commission's role in these decision to advisory-only, the City Council is strong-arming into the IGA a severe reduction in the number of County votes in this process from 12 (9 on Planning Commission, 3 on County Commission) to 3. The City, of course, would retain its full total of 16 votes (9 on Council, 7 on the Planning Board). Needless to say, this is grossly unfair to County residents, and a 16 to 3 weighting of City vs. County influence should be equally unacceptable to you, our County Commissioners.

The City Council should not be allowed to undermine the BVCP and the IGA. Nor should they be allowed to gut the four-body authority of the County Planning Commission.

I respectfully ask that you stand up to City Council bad decision by keeping the four-body review unchanged and keeping BVCP land use decisions within the BVCP itself. I also ask that you preserve the full authority of the County Planning Commission with respect to the four-body process.

Thank you for considering these comments.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Smith
4596 Tally Ho Trail
Boulder CO 80301-3862
m_l_smith@earthlink.net
303.530.2646 (h)
303.810.5292 (c)

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#566]
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 10:48:40 PM

Name *	Mike Smith
Email *	m_l_smith@earthlink.net
Address (optional)	 4596 Tally Ho Trail Boulder, CO 80301-3862 United States
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

Dear Planning Commission members,

This past week, Boulder City Council voted to end four-body review on many BVCP County land use decisions. By reducing the Planning Commission's role in these decision to advisory-only, the City Council is strong-arming into the IGA a severe reduction in the number of County votes in this process from 12 (9 on Planning Commission, 3 on County Commission) to 3. The City, of course, would retain its full total of 16 votes (9 on Council, 7 on the Planning Board). Needless to say, this is grossly unfair to County residents, and a 16 to 3 weighting of City vs. County influence should be equally unacceptable to you.

The City Council should not be allowed to undermine the BVCP and the IGA. Nor should they be allowed to gut the four-body authority of your Commission.

I respectfully ask that you stand up to City Council's bad decision by keeping the four-body review unchanged and keeping BVCP land use decisions within the BVCP itself. You should fight to preserve the full authority of the your Commission with respect to the four-body process.

Thank you for considering these comments.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Smith
4596 Tally Ho Trail
Boulder CO 80301-3862
m_l_smith@earthlink.net
303.530.2646 (h)
303.810.5292 (c)

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#567]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 7:11:16 AM

Name *	Marilyn Russell
Organization (optional)	Marilyn Russell
Email *	48russell@gmail.com
Address (optional)	 350 Ponca Place Apt. 29 Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	flood control
Comment: *	I live on the ground level of Frasier Meadows Retirement Community and assisted in the rescue of our nursing home patients during the flood of 2013. We were fortunate that none died. Please approved flood mitigation ASAP so this does not happen again.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#568]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 7:47:38 AM

Name *	Betty Campbell
Email *	campbell.betty@icloud.com
This comment relates to: *	BVCP
Comment: *	<p>Please vote "yes" to the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan, including the Amendment to CU South Land.</p> <p>The passing of this plan is critical to the safety of the people whose lives are threatened when the South Boulder Creek over tops US36.</p> <p>The residents of South Boulder are counting on your support to meet this critical need for our city.</p> <p>Thank you for all you do!</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#569]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 8:08:59 AM

Name * Melanie Whitehead

Email * melanielynns.mail@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Policy Updates

Comment: *

To serve the actual people of Boulder and incorporated Boulder Please
1) keep the four-body review process and 2) keep the Amendment Procedures for the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan (BVCP) inside the BVCP.

Why?

Four-body review and the Amendment Procedures have been in the BVCP since 1977. Eliminating them would erode the foundation of the Comprehensive Plan.

The Planning Commission has the authority to vote "no" on these changes. This authority is granted to them by the current IGA, current BVCP, Colorado statutes, and the Boulder County Land Use Code. County citizens, who can't vote for City Council, need County representation for County lands. The County has never before forfeited its vote on unincorporated lands.

We need the experience and voice of the Planning Commission for lands like Gunbarrel, CU South, Hogan-Pancost, and the Planning Reserve.

If Amendment Procedures are moved into the IGA, City Council and the Commissioners will have sole control of how the BVCP changes. They could eliminate the participation of the Planning Commission and Planning Board in the BVCP altogether.

The City is threatening not to renew the IGA because they want more unilateral control over development. If the County capitulates, will the City use the same threat again, especially as pressure to develop rural areas increases? Is the City really willing to abandon the Comp Plan, the IGA, and, consequently, the Super IGA? If so, the Comprehensive Plan already means nothing. Let's not undo four decades of good planning in Boulder. This is the time when we need careful, balanced planning from all four governing bodies the most.

The BVCP is part of the BCCP, which is the Planning Commission primary responsibility. Until unincorporated lands choose to annex, the Planning Commission has a duty to hold onto the reins for smart planning.

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#570]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 8:09:00 AM

Name *	charles Aumiller
Email *	charlesaumiller@comcast.net
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	As a resident of F wantwrasier Meadows retirement Community and a "veteran" of the flood, i don't ever want to see a re[peat. I therefor urge you to vote for the option D
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#571]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 8:16:21 AM

Name *	Margaret Stahl
Email *	margaret.stahl@colorado.edu
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	In order to protect life and limb please take necessary action to implement Option D immediately. A long term Boulder County and City resident.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#572]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 8:17:52 AM

Name *	Joyce Jenkins
Email *	joycejenkins@msn.com
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 431-2547
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

The four-body review process and the Amendment Procedures for the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan (BVCP) should be kept.

Here are a few reasons why:

- The four-body review and the Amendment Procedures have been in the BVCP since 1977. Eliminating them would erode the foundation of the Comprehensive Plan.
- County citizens, who can't vote for City Council, need County representation for County lands. The County has never before forfeited its vote on unincorporated lands.
- We need the experience and voice of the Planning Commission for lands like Gunbarrel, CU South, Hogan-Pancost, and the Planning Reserve.
- If Amendment Procedures are moved into the IGA, City Council and the Commissioners will have sole control of how the BVCP changes. They could eliminate the participation of the Planning Commission and Planning Board in the BVCP altogether.
- Let's not undo four decades of good planning in Boulder. This is the time when we need careful, balanced planning from all four governing bodies the most.
- The BVCP is part of the BCCP, which is the Planning Commission's primary responsibility. Until unincorporated lands choose to annex, the Planning Commission has a duty to hold onto the reins for smart planning.

Control unfettered development and congestion and preserve existing undeveloped areas.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#573]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 8:23:19 AM

Name *	Warren Underwood
Email *	golfski02@yahoo.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please pass the Boulder Option D immediately. South Boulder Citizens need flood protection. A repeat of 2013 Flood would be terrible. TY for your help.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#574]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 8:31:36 AM

Name * james henry


Email * jchen63@msn.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: * please support flood mitigation for my neighborhood and support the comp plan update. i live in an age restricted community at 55th St. and white place. our average age is somewhere in the 75 to 80 year old group. we are not the most mobile in the event of an emergency. we were impacted by the 2013 flood and i strongly support flood mitigation be done ASAP.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#575]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 8:32:57 AM

Name *	Irma Galusha
Email *	irmagal13@yahoo.com
Address (optional)	 4875 Sioux Ddrie #306 Boulder, Co 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>How would you feel on that September evening in 2013 seeing flood waters pouring down our driveway and into our Skilled Nursing section where there were 40 patients, all of whom need varying degrees of assistance, waiting anxiously to be gotten to safety?</p> <p>We need the BERM--for us and for the whole neighborhood.</p> <p>Please vote YES on the BVCP South Land amendent.</p> <p>Ad please don't leave us in danger of the next flood!.</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>Irma Galusha</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#576]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 8:37:44 AM

Name *	Evelin Ruka
Email *	golfski02@yahoo.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please protect South Boulder Citizens ASAP. from Flood Dangers. 2013 was very BAD. Thank you.This was sent by Al LeBlang for me as my computer not working. ER..
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#577]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 8:39:58 AM

Name * Rachel Friend

Email * rachelkfriend@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: * I am writing to urge the Boulder County Commissioners and Planning Commission to support flood mitigation by voting YES to approve the Comp. Plan Amendment including CU South. This project is critical to the health and safety of people like me, who live adjacent to the CU South borders (Qualla Drive), whose lives and property are threatened when South Boulder Creek overtops U.S. 36. My home flooded badly during the 2013 floods.


Thank you for your consideration.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#578]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 8:47:09 AM

Name *	Betty O'Brien
Email *	baobrien@aol.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	No more 2013 Boulder floods. It was horrible. Please pass Option D. We need flood protection.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#579]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 8:56:10 AM

Name *	Mary Jane Hall
Email *	mj_hall@comcast.net
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please support the passage of the BVCP including CU south . This will save lives of residents in Boulder..
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#580]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:00:18 AM

Name *	Opal Telleen
Email *	bruce_29005@msn.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	I do not have email. Used Bruce's, Please adopt the BVCP including CU South. Saving lives is a number one priority.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#581]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:01:00 AM

Name * Amanda Adams

Email * adams_amanda1@yahoo.com

Address (optional)  4935 Qualla Drive
Boulder, Colorado 80303
United States

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Dear Planning Commissioners,
I am reaching out to share a personal story from the September 2013 flood event. The evening of Thursday, September 12, 2013 was the most terrifying of my life. Water began seeping into my home the night of Wednesday, September 11th and my husband and I spent the next 18 hours working feverishly to try and stem the flow as well as save our belongings. At approximately 6PM on Thursday our street (Qualla Drive) became a river and the water level rose 3 feet in 15 minutes, sweeping up our front lawn towards our front door. My husband and I had to make a split second decision to abandon our home in the fear that we would become trapped. We ended up hiking through thigh-high water, carrying our dog, to the safety of higher ground.

We ended up with 4 feet of water in our basement, but were fortunate that the rising river on our street crested just shy of our front door. That said, I do not want to rely on luck the next time that an event like this happens. That is why I am urgently requesting that you approve the CU South comp plan amendment that will facilitate flood mitigation and protect thousands of lives downstream from U.S. 36 from a future flood event similar to the one that I lived through.

Thank you,
Amanda Adams

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#582]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:02:36 AM

Name * cook kathaleen

Email * golfski02@yahoo.com


This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: * It is time for all parties to get together and go ahead with the CU SOUTH PLAN, The lack of progress on this plan is holding up important flood mitigation work.


Send from a Frasier computer.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#583]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:04:11 AM

Name *	Jean Wainwright
Email *	jandbwain@gmail.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	It is very important that flood mitigation beings immediately. Please vote yes to the BVCP including CU south
Please check box below *	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#584]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:06:47 AM

Name *	Ryan Eisenbraun
Organization (optional)	First Range Management
Email *	reisenbraun@firstrangemanagement.com
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 444-7777
Address (optional)	 6255 Habitat Drive #3005 Boulder, CO 80301 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

To this day I am still shocked no one at our rental properties in South Boulder died during the 2013 flood.

As happy as we all are about this amazing fact it is a sobering reminder of just how dangerous the situation was and how deadly it could have been.

Forget about the millions of dollars in damages for now, there will be plenty of other voices that shout this reminder for all to hear. For now I keep faith that insurance will soften the financial blow. Properties can be re-built; however, life sometimes cannot. The devastating effects of the 2013 floods left more than emotional and financial scars for many people, it left an imprint of loss that will carry forward for many years to come.

Please do not lose sight of the long range view. Approve the south Boulder flood mitigation efforts.


Thank you.

Best Regards,

Ryan Eisenbraun, CPM

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#585]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:08:15 AM

Name *	Muriel Woods
Email *	mrl2woods@gmail.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please pass the BVCP including CU South at your next meeting. This will save lives and anxiety of residents of Boulder.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#586]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:08:53 AM

Name *	Ted Grenda
Email *	tedjangrenda@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	I am very interested that Option D be approved as quickly as possible. It is critical to the concern of all the Residents of South Boulder. Thank you for your support.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#587]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:11:51 AM

Name *	Anna Marie Robb
Email *	joerobbsun@comcast.net
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Flood mitigation on So Boulder Creek is extremely important regarding lives in south Boulder. Please pass the current version of the BVCP at your meeting this week.
Please check box below *	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#588]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:12:17 AM

Name *	Dean Boal
Organization (optional)	Resident of Frasier Retirement Community
Email *	deanboal@comcast.net
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please vote YES on BVCP and Amendment to CU South Land issues. Those of us in South Boulder vividly recall the terrible flood of land during the 2013 disaster. We will live with fear until this project is complete. Thank you for caring about us and our future.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#589]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:12:57 AM

Name * Ryan Kain

Email * kain.ryan@gmail.com

Address (optional)  6792 Idylwild Ct
Boulder, CO 80301
United States

This comment relates to: * Policy Updates

Comment: *

I request that the four body review process be retained as well as keep the amendment process for the BVCP inside of the BVCP.

County citizens need County representation for County lands. The process to amend current plans two build outside the scope of what was originally defined must incorporate voices from all affected parties.

Once building begins, there is no "going back". Due to the permanence of this process, the steps required to alter the agreed-upon comprehensive plan should be as inclusive and intensive as practicable. The United States Congress was not created to be an agile and rapid decision making body, rather it was designed to fully consider both the voice of its constituents and the needs of the community as a whole. Boulder should attempt to mirror this model with respect to BVCP parties and amendments. To retain the four body review process is to continue to consider the voices of both the community and the individuals affected by proposed changes.

Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#590]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:15:39 AM

Name *	Harley Brown
Email *	hbrown5959@aol.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	The Flood of 2013 was terrible. Please do what is required to Pass Option D ASAP. We need protection. We need your help. It is a very urgent item. Thank you for work and keep it up. We love Boulder County..
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#591]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:16:32 AM

Name *	Brian Lay
Email *	brian_m_lay@yahoo.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

The Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) between the City and County of Boulder holds both parties legally bound to the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan, which jointly plans how lands are used in the Boulder Valley. In the existing IGA, and every IGA since 1977, there has been a four-body decision process. The City Council, the City Planning Board, the County Commissioners, and the County Planning Commission have had equal decision-making authority. This structure has stood the test of time and made Boulder Valley the desirable place it is today.

In the proposed draft of the IGA, the County will cede all authority to the City for parcels less than 5 acres in Area II (CU South, Gunbarrel, and subdivisions near Platt Middle School). Over Area III properties (Planning Reserve), the County would lose the vote of the County Planning Commission. The City, on the other hand, retains their full veto authority. How is this fair?

In the discussions following the June 28th public meeting, the County Commissioners made it seem as if they had no options. If the County Commissioners are interested in representing their constituents, here are two obvious strategies:

- 1) Negotiate. Vote "no" on the proposed IGA and send it back to the City requesting the four-body review process be retained. What's the harm in seeing their counter? This puts the political backlash squarely in their court.
- 2) Delay. This is a strategy that proved effective with the recent Supreme Court appointment. City Council elections are in November. Perhaps the newly elected City Council will be more equitable.

Over 98% of all the written and spoken public comments were in opposition of the proposed IGA, including our former State Representative Dickey Lee Hullinghorst . Our County Commissioners need to get creative, get the job done correctly, and represent their constituents.

Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification
--------------------------	---

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#592]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:17:57 AM

Name *	Brian Lay
Email *	brian_m_lay@yahoo.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

It is frustrating when people making decisions over your property do not represent your interests or reflect your values.

Take a recent example: fracking in Boulder County. On several occasions, the County Commissioners have expressed their frustrations with state law that ties their hands. As early as March, Commissioner Jones stated, "I understand people's desire not to have oil and gas drilling in Boulder County, but the Colorado Supreme Court ruled local governments cannot permanently ban oil and gas companies and that local governments cannot decide what happens locally..."

It is hypocritical to enter into an intergovernmental agreement (IGA) that yields County authority over County property to the City. As defined by City staff, "IGAs are contracts executed between elected bodies of at least two governments based on a negotiated agreement respecting common interests. An IGA is necessarily more beneficial to both parties than the absence of an agreement...."


The proposed IGA cedes all control of Area II properties less than 5 acres to the City. These properties include Gunbarrel, CU South, and the neighborhoods near Platt Middle School. Even City Council members saw the huge loophole. For larger properties (> 5 acres), they can simply annex the properties serially, where individual properties are below the 5 acre threshold. Not only is the County Planning Commission cut out of the decision making process, but so are the County Commissioners! Where is our representative government?

Why would our Commissioners willingly yield so much control over County property to the City? Why is the proposed IGA so much weaker than the current IGA? The proposed IGA is a bad deal for the County and the County residents, and yet another example of governing at its worst. Our Commissioners should step up to the plate and negotiate a better deal for the County.


Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#593]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:21:14 AM

Name *	Gail Rowe
Email *	bruce_29005@MSN.COM
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	I live at FMRC and during the 9/2013 flood it was very scary. Lives are at continued risk without without flood mitigation. Passing the BVCP including Cu South will save lives. PS: I used Bruce's email since I do not have one.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#594]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:43:43 AM

Name *	Charlotte Haravey
Email *	caharavey@gmail.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please support flood mitigation on So Boulder Creek that will save lives of Boulder residents by voting Yes on the BVCP including CU South.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#595]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:49:53 AM

Name * Evie Lindquist


Email * aslind@aol.com

This comment relates to: * CU South


Comment: *
Please protect Frasier Residents and our South Boulder Friends. Flood 2013 was a disaster. We do not want to repeat it. Please pass Option D ASAP. Thank you for your efforts.

Please check box below *
 I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#596]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:50:14 AM

Name *	Margot Pepper
Email *	mcp1030@gmail.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Vote YES on the current version of the BVCP including CU South this week. This will allow flood mitigation efforts to begin.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#597]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:57:35 AM

Name *	Lois Prestrud
Email *	kenopresto@comcast.net
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Flood mitigation is important so please support the passage of the BVCP including CU South.
Please check box below *	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#598]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 9:58:48 AM

Name *	Eben Tilly
Email *	eventilly@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Pass the BVCP so we can going on Option D for Berm development ASAP. We want to remove the Flood Threat. 2013 was terrible.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#599]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:02:54 AM

Name *	Karen Levine
Email *	levinechi@comcast.net
Phone Number (optional)	(303) 817-2978
Address (optional)	 Tally Ho Trail Boulder , CO 80301 United States
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	Keep the 4 body review process.You are hired to represent and implement our voices. Do your job and don't become a microcosm of Trump. Stop complicating things for your own personal needs.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#600]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:03:07 AM

Name *	Anne Bliss
Email *	anne.bliss@gmail.com
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8292
Address (optional)	 350 Ponca Place #441 Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

Please support the flood mitigation for South Boulder by voting yes to approve the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and the amendment to the CU South property. Flood mitigation is urgent and critical to the residents of South Boulder as well as to our infrastructure; we were very fortunate to not have had more damage in 2013, and such flooding is both historic as well as highly possible at any time in the future.

Although the proposed berm may not be the final or best plan for mitigation, it is currently the best we have. But it is impossible to do any mitigation without the approval of the BVCP. Discussion of possible development can be handled in the future as plans come forth, but the berm construction is urgent and can begin much sooner than other development.

Thank you for your consideration, and please support the passage of the new BVCP.

Please check box below *	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification
--------------------------	--

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#601]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:07:57 AM

Name *	Nurit Wolf
Email *	nwolf@colorado.edu
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Our lives are in danger until the Berm is built We were lucky in the flood of 2013 that no lives were lost. So please past the BVCP.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#602]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:09:15 AM

Name * Matt Samet

Email * senorsamet@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Policy Updates

Comment: *

Four-body review and the Amendment Procedures have been in the BVCP since 1977. Eliminating them would erode the foundation of the Comprehensive Plan. The Planning Commission has the authority to vote "no" on these changes. This authority is granted to them by the current IGA, current BVCP, Colorado statutes, and the Boulder County Land Use Code. County citizens, who can't vote for City Council, need County representation for County lands. The County has never before forfeited its vote on unincorporated lands. We need the experience and voice of the Planning Commission for lands like Gunbarrel, CU South, Hogan-Pancost, and the Planning Reserve. If Amendment Procedures are moved into the IGA, City Council and the Commissioners will have sole control of how the BVCP changes. They could eliminate the participation of the Planning Commission and Planning Board in the BVCP altogether. The City is threatening not to renew the IGA because they want more unilateral control over development. If the County capitulates, will the City use the same threat again, especially as pressure to develop rural areas increases? Is the City really willing to abandon the Comp Plan, the IGA, and, consequently, the Super IGA? If so, the Comprehensive Plan already means nothing. Let's not undo four decades of good planning in Boulder. This is the time when we need careful, balanced planning from all four governing bodies the most. The BVCP is part of the BCCP, which is the Planning Commission primary responsibility. Until unincorporated lands choose to annex, the Planning Commission has a duty to hold unto the reins for smart planning.


Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#603]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:10:01 AM

Name * Cindy Pickett

Email * cgiltner@comcast.net

Address (optional)  1512 Brandt Ct.
Boulder, CO 80303
United States

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: * Please vote YES to approve the Comp Plan Amendment including CU South. This project is critical for those of us whose lives and properties are threatened when South Boulder Creek overtops US 36. In 2013, nearly every home in our neighborhood had water damage. At one point, we felt very isolated as all roads around us were flooded and/or closed. AT the same time, we felt very lucky compared to many who live south of us and for those working at Frasier Meadows that night.

Thank you.

Cindy

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#604]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:11:23 AM

Name *	Sara Cote
Email *	saraccote@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	We need you to approve the BVCP. The threat of another flood has been hanging over us for too long
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#605]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:14:18 AM

Name * Bill Thornburg

Email * williamthornburg@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: * Only when living in a retirement community like Frasier can a person be aware of the number of residents with very limited mobility. By quirk of fate, no lives were lost in the 2013 flood, prolonged rain gave warning then. But a major flash flood will take lives. Please vote yes approving the BVCP and the amendment for CU South.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#606]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:18:39 AM

Name *	Jo Zender
Email *	jozender@msn.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please pay attention to our needs which is to remove the flood threat for Frasier Retirement Community. Health and safety issues are critical. Please approve the BVCP with CU South amendment which will facilitate flood mitigation.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#607]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:22:21 AM

Name * Edward Smelko

Email * e.smelko@att.net

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

I am writing to urge the County Commissioners and Planning Commission to support flood mitigation by voting YES to approve the Comprehensive Plan Amendment including CU South. When US 36 was built across the South Boulder Creek floodplain it was perhaps not understood how the construction of the highway placed the downstream neighbors at increased risk of flooding. Since the 2013 flood we now know that this flood risk is real. My home took water in the 2013 flood. All of the flooring and the lower 2 foot of drywall was removed from my lower level. A 3/4 bath was also completely gutted. Since the 2013 flood event, every September, when the storm clouds gather, I look up at the sky and wonder: Again? With the major players of the City, the University and CDOT aligning on a good solution, now is the time to act. Please vote YES to approve the Comprehensive Plan Update. Thank you.

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#608]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:22:45 AM

Name *	Suzanne De Lucia
Email *	sdelucia@frontrangebusiness.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	We need to keep the 4 body review process in place. These check and balances are needed, as is a voice for those who can't vote in City elections.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#609]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:24:11 AM

Name * Elizabeth Bramhall

Email * betty@bramhall.org

This comment relates to: * CU South


Comment: * I witnessed health care patients wheeled in wheelchairs through knee-deep water to safety in the 2013 flood. If they'd fallen out of their hospital beds, they would have drowned. Other Frasier stories are as dramatic as this. We want the flood berm as soon as possible. Please approve the CU South comp plan amendment as soon as possible.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#610]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:24:49 AM

Name *	Llyn Lankford
Email *	doncote07@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>I had to evacuated during the flood of 2013 and I still carry the emotional scars from that incident. Please approve the BVCP so the Berm work can progress</p> <p>she does not hvae an email.have</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#611]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:29:18 AM

Name *	Judith Oddie
Email *	bruce_29005@msn.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>Saving lives is the most important function of the County and City governing groups. Therefore please approve the BVCP including CU south so flood mitigation efforts can begin on South Boulder Creek. Thank you.</p> <p>PS: I used Bruce's email since I do not have one.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#612]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:30:01 AM

Name * Larry Landsford

Email * doncote07@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: * My wife and I had to be evacuated in the middle of the night during the 2013 Flood and we are in dire need of the Option D Berm. So please approve the BVCP promptly.

he does not have an email

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#613]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:32:44 AM

Name * Bobbie Harms

Email * golfski02@yahoo.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: * Note: sender doesn't have email so Al LeBlang's email address used above.

I lost a car in the 2013 flood. I witnessed numerous residents being displaced at Frasier Retirement Community. Health and safety is a critical issue for our neighborhood. The flood berm will prevents another 2013 flood and we need it constructed asap.

Please approve the BVCP and the CU South comp plan amendment which will facilitate flood mitigation.

Thanks.


Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#614]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:35:38 AM

Name *	Ja Elliott
Email *	memaja@comcast.net
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Many of us are living under the constant threat of catastrophic flooding in the Frasier Meadows neighborhood. Health and safety are issues of great concern for us. Please promptly approve the BVCP and CU South comp plan amendment. Thanks.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#615]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:42:52 AM

Name *	Ed Putzier
Email *	edputz@comcast.net
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>I have been a resident of this area for over 67 years, and have experienced floods in 1969 and 2013 which lost property in both cases. Therefore flood mitigation is of high importance for reasons of damage but now its apparent that saving lives is a great concern.</p> <p>Pleas approve the BVCP including CU South this week.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#616]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:43:18 AM

Name *	Sue McCord
Email *	suemcbuff@msn.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please understand that there are 1000s of us living in constant threat of a flood similar to that that occurred in 2013. Our lives depend on the City constructing flood mitigation. Please approve the BVCP and CU South comp plan amendment to ensure our safety. Thank you.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#617]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:46:34 AM

Name *	Alex Herzog
Email *	aherzog@aol.com
Address (optional)	 4840 Thunderbird Dr # 190 Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	It is crucial that any future flood must NOT endanger lives of people. We barely escaped that fate in 2013. South Boulder needs flood mitigation as soon as possible/
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#618]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:51:00 AM

Name *	Jasmin Cori
Email *	jasmin@jasmincori.com
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 401-1764
Address (optional)	 4945 Twin Lakes Rd. Apt. 44 Boulder, CO 80301 United States
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	<p>Please preserve 4 decades of good planning, but keeping the 4-body review and amendment process. It does not feel wise to drop county representation out of decisions that are not in city limits.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#619]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:54:11 AM

Name *	Ann Garstang
Email *	bruce_29005@msn.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please support the BVCP including CU South by voting YES this week. This will allow the Flood Mitigation effort to being which will save lives at FMRC. I used Bruce's email address because I do not have one
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#620]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:57:12 AM

Name * Mila Kalish

Email * milakalish@comcast.net

This comment relates to: * CU South


Comment: *

I lived through the trauma of the 2013 flood in the Frasier neighborhood. I was present for the flooding at Frasier Meadows Retirement Community and witnessed the evacuations that were necessary to save peoples' lives. People had to sleep in the halls because residences were flooded. There were numerous staff members that made the difference in life and death for some. It was devastating for so many residents. So many of us continue to live with the uncertainty about future flooding. Please help to ensure the safety of our neighborhood. Please approve the BVCP comp plan and the CU South amendment as quickly as possible. Thanks.


Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#621]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 10:57:55 AM

Name *	George Peters
Email *	gjpeters@comcast.net
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8023
Address (optional)	 350 Ponca Place Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please exercise Option D to reduce the risk of flooding in our area. Thank you. George Peters
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#622]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:04:50 AM

Name *	Maria Damberger
Email *	maxida@comcast.net
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8199
Address (optional)	 4875 Sioux Dr. # 106 Boulder 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>I urge the Boulder County Commissioners and the Planning Commission to support flood mitigation by voting YES to approve BVCP and the Amendment to CU South Land. This is critical to the Health and Safety of us, who live in this area, when South Boulder Creek over tops US 36!</p> <p>PLEASE, don`t wait any longer!</p> <p>Maria Damberger</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#623]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:07:25 AM

Name *	Maggie Vall
Email *	bruce_29005@msn.co
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>I support the latest version of the BVCP including CU South. Passage will allow the Flood Mitigation project on South Boulder Creek to begin which will save lives. Thank you for your support.</p> <p>I used Bruce's email since I di not have one</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#624]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:08:20 AM

Name *	John Hill
Email *	doncote07@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	I will sleep better if we have some flood protection. So please approve the BVCP and move the Berm forward.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#625]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:17:55 AM

Name *	Anne Rowan
Email *	anneabbie@gamil.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Trapped in my room by the flood and I don't wish to repeat this. You know what is needed to correct this problem, so approve the BVCP and proceed with Boulder's Option D the Berm.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#626]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:18:01 AM

Name *	Bonnie Strand
Organization (optional)	Mountain View United Methodist Church
Email *	bstrand695@gmail.com
Address (optional)	 355 Ponca Place (church's address) Boulder, CO 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South

Comment: *

Dear Boulder County Comprehensive Plan Decision-makers,

I am writing to express my hope that you will vote "yes" on changes to the Comprehensive Plan, including CU South aspects, which will allow the County to address flooding risks of South Boulder Creek. I serve on the Board of Trustees of Mountain View United Methodist Church, 355 Ponca Place. We experienced flooding of two of our four levels (sanitary sewer backup), with months of associated repairs, rescheduling, fund-raising, etc. It was the kind of experience we don't want to repeat.

We love our church community and of course our building as well. We welcome all visitors to worship and our various other congregational activities. However, our church building is not just ours -- it is also used by a number of community groups. Every Tuesday night, Bridge House serves a free meal in our downstairs hall (which was flooded in 2013...) Suzuki Strings uses our building for lessons and recitals. Boulder Youth Orchestra calls our building home. We have hosted numerous concerts (Boulder Bach Festival, Cantabile Singers and others) in the past and hope to do so in the future. We provide free space for AA, NA, MA and other groups with social justice missions. We have a close and caring relationship with Frasier Meadows Retirement Community directly east of us. We want what is best for our southeast Boulder neighbors, who suffered so much in 2013.

Thank you for allowing community feedback on future flood mitigation plans. I ask you to proceed with Option D, which has been carefully prepared by an expert team. Thank you also for the many hours you have put into considering this on behalf of Boulder County's citizenry.

Best regards,


Bonnie Strand

A member of the Mountain View United Methodist Church's Board of Trustees


Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#627]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:18:38 AM

Name *	Jessma Blockwick
Email *	jezblock@comcast.net
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please vote YES on the BVCP including CU South. I experienced the 2013 flood which was a miracle that no one got killed. Your approval will get the Flood Mitigation project under way. Thanks in advance.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#628]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:24:21 AM

Name *	Bob Pardee
Email *	bruce_29005@msn.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Since the Passage of the BVCP is essential for the Flood Mitigation Project of South Boulder Creek to begin, this is an important way to save lives in Boulder. Please vote YES this week. Note: Used Bruce's email since I do not have one.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#629]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:25:51 AM

Name * D. Priscilla Scholten

Email * golfski02@yahoo.com

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Note: I do not use email; I'm using Al LeBlang's for the record here.

During the flooding, there were lines of wheelchairs with residents in them in the Frasier Meadows Retirement Community Wellness Center, hallways, healthcare facility, etc. Residents had to sleep on mats as their apartments were flooded. Several residents took in neighbors that had lost their apartments. It was extremely traumatic. Staff could do nothing more than try to make sure that people were safe. We didn't use dining services for about a week because staff was so busy with so many more important things. It was difficult to find spaces for residents that had lost theirs, resulting in crowding and stress. Many had to be moved/displaced for months to other facilities around town and as far as Denver, resulting in additional stress for residents and their families. Some staff members lost their jobs because of the flooding. Some believe that later deaths of residents, while not a direct result of the flooding, was caused by the stress and trauma of it.

Please quickly pass the BVCP and the CU South comp plan amendment which will help move flood mitigation along. Thank you. Priscilla


Please check box below *

I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [#LandUsePlanner](#)
Subject: Boulder County Contact Us/Feedback Form [#393]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:32:30 AM

Name *	Anne Dyni
Email *	adyni@earthlink.net
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8207
Select a Subject *	Land Use Planning
Comments *	I urge the Boulder County Planning Commission to approve the BVCP with its amendment to the CU South Campus land. As residents in the Frasier Meadows neighborhood that was devastated by the 2013 flood waters, we hope that our voices will be heard above those who suffered no damage but who wish the South Campus to remain as it is.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#630]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:37:00 AM

Name *	Patricia Sewall
Email *	patricia843@comcast.net
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>Saving lives of residents of Boulder is the number one priority. Therefore, passage of the BVCP including CU South will enable this to happen.</p> <p>Please support by voting YES this week on the BVCP including CU South.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#631]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:37:38 AM

Name * Gerald Grove

Email * jeff1.grove@gmail.com

Address (optional)  350 Ponca PL Apt 424
Boulder, CO 80303
United States

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *
I urge you to adopt the BVCP with flood control option D. Another large flood could occur at any time, with serious property damage and potential loss of life in the neighborhoods north of US 36. The CU South property development will be planned and executed in due time, whether the berm is built or not. Public safety should not be held hostage to narrower concerns.
Thank you,
Gerald Grove

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#632]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:39:02 AM

Name *	Marie Johnson
Email *	mjeanettejohnson@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	The 2013 flood was a terrible event and should never happen again. So please approve the BVCP and get moving on the construction of Boulder Option D bern
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#633]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:39:04 AM

Name * margaret picher

Email * mpicher@comcast.net

This comment relates to: * CU South


Comment: * My experience of the Flood,living not far from Frasier Meadows, was mild in comparison to the tragedy that took place here. But I have seen the pictures and heard the experiences which were similar to disasters that we often see on newscasts. What happened in this southwest Boulder neighborhood should never be underestimated. You have a chance to guarantee that this will not happen again. I strongly support building the berm in the South Boulder neighborhood. There should be no delay.

Please check box below * I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [Boulder County Board of Commissioners](#)
Subject: County Commissioners Contact Us/Feedback Form. [#495]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:42:22 AM

Name *	Anne Dyni
Email *	adyni@earthlink.net
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8207
My Question or Feedback most closely relates to the following subject: (fill in the blank) *	approval of BVCP and the Amendment to CU South Campus
Comments, Question or Feedback *	I urge the commissioners to support the proposed flood mitigation efforts by approving the BVCP and the amendment to the CU South Campus land. As residents of the Frasier Meadows community, our voices need to be heard over those who did not experience flood damage but wish only to retain the CU South campus as it is.
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#634]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:44:48 AM

Name *	Catherine Holzer
Email *	chholzer@comcast.net
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>Please support the passage of the BVCP including CU South by voting YES this week.</p> <p>This is important since passage allows the Flood Mitigation to begin on South Boulder Creek which will save lives of residents and extensive damage to citizens property.</p> <p>To not vote YES would be unconscionable !!!!!</p>
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#635]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:52:47 AM

Name *	Pamela Amon
Email *	pamamon@earthlink.net
Address (optional)	 4560 Sandpiper Court Boulder, CO 80301 United States
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	KEEP THE 4 BODY REVIEW PROCESS IN PLACE. And KEEP THE AMENDMENT PROCEDURES FOR THE BOULDER VALLEY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN (BVCP) INSIDE THE BVCP Let's not undo the good work that has been done by the 4 body review over the last 3-4 decades. We need input from all sources to keep the development planned thoroughly and under control.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#636]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 11:56:30 AM

Name *	Catherine Holzer
Email *	chholzer@comcast.net
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>Please vote YES for the approval of the BVCP including CU South so that flood mitigation efforts can begin on South Boulder Creek. Approval of this plan will save residents' lives and property.</p> <p>Not voting YES would be unconscionable !!!!!!!</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#637]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 12:02:06 PM

Name * Betty Hill

Email * bettie.hill410@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * CU South


Comment: *

At 8 p.m. a heavy knock on the door and voice said "get out". When I asked why, I was told there was 3' of water coming at the building. I live in the garden level of Frasier Meadows Retirement Community. We were lead to the north of the building and into the center of the building where we waited for volunteers to let us sleep in their apartments. Isolation was extremely uncomfortable since we could not contact family. The outcome was displacement from Frasier to my daughter's home in Louisville for two months while repairs were made to my apartment. This occurrence in these modern times should never have to happen again. The objections from those who escaped this flood are really not valid. Please take action quickly on the BVCP and CU South comp plan amendment which will help the City move forward with flood mitigation.
Thank you. Betty Hill

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#638]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 12:05:53 PM

Name *	Gene Gore
Email *	genegore@comcast.net
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>Please support the passage of the BVCP including CU South which will allow the flood mitigation effort to begin on the South Boulder Creek..</p> <p>Your YES vote will saves live and property of residents and should be your number one priority.</p> <p>Respectively yours, Gene Gore</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#639]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 12:08:15 PM

Name *	Anne Pienciak
Email *	annepienciak@gmail.com
This comment relates to: *	Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
Comment: *	<p>I am asking you to 1) keep the four-body review process and 2) keep the Amendment Procedures for the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan (BVCP) inside the BVCP.</p> <p>These are issues I feel strongly about, and am counting on your commission to uphold the rights of county residents who have no representation in the City of Boulder. Thank you.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#640]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 12:14:24 PM

Name *	Heinz Damberger
Organization (optional)	Retired
Email *	dambergerheinz@gmail.com
Phone Number (optional)	(720) 562-8199
Address (optional)	 4875 Sioux Dr Apt 106 Boulder United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please, support the flood mitigation efforts for South Boulder Creek. Keep the Sep 2013 flood event in mind: it could have been prevented. Make sure it will not happen again!
Please check box below *	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#641]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 12:14:47 PM

Name * Joyce A. Davies

Email * joycedavies@comcast.net

This comment relates to: * CU South


Comment: *

As a resident of Boulder since 1958, and of the Frasier Meadows neighborhood since 1963, I have lived through a lot of rainstorms which have been strong enough to fill basements and damage properties. However, living at Frasier Meadows Retirement Community in 2013 and seeing the destruction from a major flood which affected so many people, is something I hope never happens again. But it will take courage for you members of the County Commission Board and County Planning Board to vote for measures which will allow for the flood control berm to be built in the South Boulder area. And to do it ASAP. Delay is not acceptable. Please approve the CU South Comp Plan amendment.

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#642]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 12:15:38 PM

Name *	Joan Sutter
Email *	bruce_29005@msn.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>My number one priority is to save peoples lives and hope that it yours as well.</p> <p>With that said please vote your approval of the BVCP including CU South. This will saves lives.</p> <p>I do not use email an Bruce allowed me to use his.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#643]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 12:23:59 PM

Name *	Rachel Bender
Email *	benderbasn@comcast.net
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>We urge Boulder County Commissioners and Planning Commission to support flood mitigation by voting YES to approve the BVCP and the amendment to the CU South property. This will help the City move forward with flood mitigation, which we really need, ASAP.</p> <p>Thank you, Edmond and Rachel Bender</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#644]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 12:31:55 PM

Name *	Nancy St. Germain
Email *	nancyst.germain@comcast.net
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>I urge the County Commissioners and Planning to approve the BVCP including CU South. This will allow flood mitigation of South Boulder Creek to begin which will save lives and property of residents.</p> <p>Sincerely, Nancy</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#645]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 12:35:39 PM

Name * John Wilson

Email * johnwilson17@comcast.net

This comment relates to: * CU South

Comment: *

Had a board meeting not been held on the night of the 2013 flooding at Frasier Meadows Retirement Community, several people would have drowned because of the force of the water pouring into the building. People attending the board meeting fortunately were able to help residents evacuate from lower levels of our building (Assisted Living facility). We were lucky not to have lost any lives that night but we may not be so lucky next time. Please move quickly with the approval of the CU South comp plan amendment so that there will be no further delay of flood mitigation implementation.

Thank you.
John Wilson

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#646]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 12:45:48 PM

Name * Leslie Kimerling

Email * lesliemkimerling@gmail.com

This comment relates to: * Boulder and Boulder County Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)

Comment: *

I am writing to urge the county not to relinquish its historical balance of governance to the City of Boulder. There are a number of annexation requests in play and more no doubt to come. The Planning Commission has been in place since 1977 and has been granted its authority by both state and local bodies. To give the City Council and the Commissioners sole control over changes to BVCP would open the door to a narrowing of the review and approval processes to the specific whims of City governance. Much effort has been expended to develop an updated BVCP so why now abdicate on behalf of the residents of the county and also of the city with properties bordering the county?


Please keep the four-body review process and keep the Amendment Procedures for the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan inside the BVCP.

Thank you.

Please check box below *

- I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#647]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 12:50:54 PM

Name *	Donna Hackney
Email *	hackneydonna7788@gmail.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	Please vote YES regarding the current/latest version of the BVCP including CU South. Your YES vote will allow the engineering design to begin on the Berm which will save lives of residents of Boulder. Thank you for your YES vote.
Please check box below *	<input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#648]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:00:23 PM

Name *	Alicelee Ewan
Email *	a.ewan2@comcast.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	I experienced the confusion that the 2013 flood caused Frasier resident. I have friends whose lives with change in assisted living and I don't want to see it again. Please the BVCP and move forward the Berm construction
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification


From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#649]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:00:29 PM

Name *	Pat Troeltzsch
Organization (optional)	Frasier Meadows Retirement Center
Email *	pattrelch@comcast.net
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>Please pass the Boulder Valley Comprehensive plan because it's really needed. If you had been here at Frasier after the last flood, you would have seen the damage it did to this community. People had to be moved in the storm and more.</p> <p>Pat Troeltzsch</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#650]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:03:11 PM

Name *	Jane Crabtree
Email *	jcrabtre@hotmail.com
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>The BVCP including CU South is a required component in the flood mitigation for South Boulder Creek.</p> <p>Therefore your YES vote is a must to save lives and property for residents of Boulder.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#651]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:27:42 PM

Name *	Mary Ide
Email *	mmide484@comcast.net
Address (optional)	 80303 United States
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>Please vote YES regarding the latest version of the BVCP including CU South. Such a vote will enable the Berm to be built that will save lives in Boulder.</p> <p>I hope saving lives is your number one priority.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [BVCP-15-0001 Comments](#)
Subject: Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan and IGA comment [#652]
Date: Monday, July 17, 2017 1:30:11 PM

Name *	Harriett Vogel
Email *	golfski02@yahoo.com
This comment relates to: *	CU South
Comment: *	<p>The berm is needed for the safety of the Citizens of South Boulder.</p> <p>Please take the necessary action to install Option D.</p> <p>Harriet does not email thus we used Al LeBlang. TY.</p>
Please check box below *	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> I acknowledge receipt of the Open Records Notification