

# 2020–2023 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

# **Boulder County Subregional Share Project Application**Form

#### APPLICATION OVERVIEW

The **Subregional Share Call for Projects** will **open on January 2, 2019**, with applications **due no later than 3 p.m. on February 27, 2018** to <u>your subregional forum</u>.

- To be eligible to submit, at least one person from your agency must have attended one of the mandatory TIP training workshops (held August 8 and August 16) or a supplemental training held on September 14.
- Projects requiring CDOT and/or RTD concurrence must provide their official response with the
  application submittal. The CDOT/RTD concurrence request is due to CDOT/RTD no later than January
  7, with CDOT/RTD providing a response no later than February 8. The form can be found <a href="here">here</a>.
- Any applications submitted by regional or similar agencies (TMA's), or municipalities crossing multiple subregions, must be submitted through the subregional forum based on where the majority of the project is located.
- Data to help the sponsor fill out the application, especially Part 3, can be found here.
- If any sponsor wishes to request additional data or calculations from DRCOG staff, please submit your request to <a href="mailto:tcottrell@drcog.org">tcottrell@drcog.org</a> no later than February 6, 2019.
- The application must be affirmed by either the applicant's City or County Manager or Chief Elected
  Official (Mayor or County Commission Chair) for local governments, or agency director or equivalent
  for other applicants.
- Further details on project eligibility, evaluation criteria, and the selection process are defined in the
   *Policy on Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Preparation: Procedures for Preparing the* 2020-2023 TIP, which can be found online <a href="here">here</a>.

#### APPLICATION FORM OUTLINE

The 2020-2023 TIP Subregional Share application contains three parts: base project information (Part 1), evaluation questions (Part 2), and data calculation estimates (Part 3). DRCOG staff will review each forum's submitted applications for eligibility. Each forum will be responsible for making a comprehensive evaluation of all eligible applications and rank ordering their submittals to determine their recommended projects and waiting lists. Forum recommendations will be forwarded to DRCOG staff for a final recommendation to the TAC, RTC, and DRCOG Board.

## Part 1 | Base Information

Applicants will enter **foundational** information for their *project/program/study* (hereafter referred to as *project*) in Part 1, including a Problem Statement, project description, and concurrence documentation from CDOT and/or RTD, if applicable. Part 1 will not be scored.

## Part 2 | Evaluation Criteria, Questions, and Scoring

This part includes four sections (A-D) for the **applicant to provide qualitative and quantitative responses** to use for scoring projects. The outcomes from Part 3 should guide the applicant's responses in Part 2.

**Scoring Methodology**: Each section will be scored using a scale of *High-Medium-Low*, relative to other applications received. The four sections in Part 2 are weighted and scored as follows:

#### 

High	The project will significantly address a clearly demonstrated major subregional problem and benefit people and businesses from multiple subregions.
Medium	The project will either moderately address a major problem or significantly address a moderate-level subregional problem.
Low	The project will address a minor subregional problem.

#### 

High	The project will <b>significantly improve</b> the safety and/or security, <b>significantly increase</b> the reliability of the transportation network, and benefit a <b>large number and variety</b> of users (including vulnerable populations*).
Medium	The project will <b>moderately improve</b> the safety and/or security, <b>moderately increase</b> the reliability of the transportation network, and benefit a <b>moderate number and variety</b> of users (including vulnerable populations*).
Low	The project will <b>minimally improve</b> the safety and/or security, <b>minimally increase</b> the reliability of the transportation network, and benefit a <b>limited number and variety</b> of users (including vulnerable populations*).

<sup>\*</sup>Vulnerable populations include: Individuals with disabilities, persons over age 65, and low-income, minority, or linguistically-challenged persons.

#### Section C. Consistency & Contributions to Transportation-focused Metro Vision Objectives .......20%

Metro Vision guides DRCOG's work and establishes shared expectations with our region's many and various planning partners. The plan outlines broad outcomes, objectives, and initiatives established by the DRCOG Board to make life better for the region's residents. The degree to which the outcomes, objectives, and initiatives identified in Metro Vision apply in individual communities will vary. Metro Vision has historically informed other DRCOG planning processes, such as the TIP.

High	The project will <b>significantly</b> address Metro Vision transportation-related objectives and is determined to be in the <b>top third</b> of applications based on the magnitude of benefits.
Medium	The project will <b>moderately</b> address Metro Vision transportation-related objectives and is determined to be in the <b>middle third</b> of applications based on the magnitude of benefits.
Low	The project will <b>slightly or not at all</b> address Metro Vision transportation-related objectives and is determined to be in the <b>bottom third</b> of applications based on the magnitude of benefits.

## Section D. Leveraging of non-Subregional Share funds ("overmatch") .......10%

Scores are assigned based on the percent of outside funding sources (non-Subregional Share).

% of Outside	High	60% and above
Funding (non-Subregional	Medium	30-59%
Share)	Low	29% and below

## Part 3 | Project Data – Calculations and Estimates

Based on the applicant's project elements, sponsors will complete the appropriate sections to estimate usage or benefit values. Part 3 is not scored, and the quantitative responses should be used to back-up the applicant's qualitative narrative.

Pa	Part 1 Base Informa						
1.	1. Project Title			US 36	Bik	eway Extension	
2.	2. Project Start/End points or Geographic Area Provide a map with submittal, as appropriate			US 36	US 36 Bikeway Bridge (near Avista Hospital) to W. Flatiron Crossing Drive		
3.	Project Spor	nsor (entity that aplete and be fina the project)		Town	of S	uperior	
4.		tact Person, Ti ber, and Email				ello, Public Works Director periorcolorado.gov	, 303-499-3675x111,
5.	•	-	_	•		olve a CDOT roadway, nt to operate service?	Yes No  If yes, provide applicable concurrence documentation with submittal
			<u>D</u>	RCOG 204	10 Fi	scally Constrained Regiona	al Transportation Plan (2040 FCRTP)
6.	What planni document(s this project?	) identifies	∑ Lo plan:	ocal	9	Superior Trails Plan	
			$\boxtimes$ o	ther(s):	[	DRCOG Active Transportat	ion Plan (Autry Park Segment)
				e link to do ubmittal	ocum	nent/s and referenced page n	umber if possible, or provide documentation
7.	Identify the	project's <b>key</b> (					
	Rapid Transit Capacity (2040 F Transit Other: Transit Priority   Bicycle Facility Pedestrian Facility Safety Improvements Roadway Capacity or Managed (2040 FCRTP) Roadway Operational			Lanes			n ent Reconstruction/Rehab Reconstruct/Rehab
8.	Other:					use trail connections along the US 36 l-acre mixed-use Downtown Superior le developments. By connecting to the stations at McCaslin and Flatiron in Superior with Monarch High School, le trail will provide US 36 Bikeway users by multi-use trail networks. The project fultimodal Region" with Outcomes	

Thi 36 alo	<b>9.</b> Define the <b>scope</b> and <b>specific elements</b> of the project.  This project would construct a 10 ftwide multi-use concrete trail along the south side of US 36 from the existing US 36 Bikeway bridge (near Avista Hospital) east under the US 36/88 <sup>th</sup> Street bridge. The trail would then turn south along the east side of 88 <sup>th</sup> Street and Rock Creek Parkway and then east through Autry Park to an existing underpass of W. Flatiron Crossing Drive. The total project length would be about 9,000 feet.					
10.	. What is the status of the proposed project? Conceptual Design					
11.	Would a smaller federal funding amount than requested be acceptable, while maintaining the original intent of the project?	)				
	If yes, define smaller meaningful limits, size, service level, phases, or scopes, along with the cost	for each.				
A.	Project Financial Information and Funding Request					
1.	Total Project Cost	\$2,500,000				

1.	Total Project Cost		\$2,500,000	
2.	Total amount of DRCOG Subregional Share Funding Request (no greater than \$20 million and not to exceed 50% of the total project cost)	\$2,000,000	80% of total project cost	
3.	Outside Funding Partners (other than DRCOG Regional Share funds) List each funding partner and contribution amount.	\$\$ Contribution Amount	% of Contribution to Overall Total Project Cost	
	Town of Superior	\$500,000	20%	
То	tal amount of funding provided by other funding partners (private, local, state, Subregion, or federal)	\$0		

Funding Breakdown (yea	r by year)*	DRCOG will do everyth assigned at DRCOG's o	g plan is not guaranteed if t ning it can to accommodate discretion within fiscal const ollars using an inflation fact	the applicants' request, j raint. Funding amounts	inal funding will be must be provided in
	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	Total
Federal Funds (Regional)	\$200,000	\$1,800,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000
Federal Funds (Subregional)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Local Funds	\$50,000	\$450,000	\$0	\$0	\$500,000
Total Funding	\$250,000	\$2,250,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,500,000
<b>4.</b> Phase to be Initiated Choose from Design, ENV, ROW, CON, Study, Service, Equip. Purchase, Other	Design	Construction			

5. By checking this box, the applicant's Chief Elected Official (Mayor or County Commission Chair) or City/County Manager for local governments or Agency Director or equivalent for others, has certified it allows this project request to be submitted for DRCOG-allocated funding and will follow all DRCOG policies and state and federal regulations when completing this project, if funded.



# Part 2 Evaluation Criteria, Questions, and Scoring

### A. Regional significance of proposed project

WEIGHT

40%

Provide <u>qualitative and quantitative</u> (derived from Part 3 of the application) responses to the following questions on the regional significance of the proposed project.

- 1. Why is this project regionally important? The US 36 Bikeway Extension project will provide regional multi-use trail connections along the US 36 multi-modal corridor. By connecting to the US 36 Bikeway, the project will allow Superior residents to access the BRT stations at McCaslin and Flatiron Crossing. The project will also connect several multi-family developments in Superior with Monarch High School, Monarch K-8 and Avista Hospital in Louisville. The Autry Park portion of the trail will provide US 36 Bikeway users with an important connection to the extensive Superior and Boulder County multi-use trail networks. (see Figures A & B)
- 2. Does the proposed project cross and/or benefit multiple municipalities? If yes, which ones and how? The project provides connections to Louisville & Boulder County. Louisville residents can use the 88<sup>th</sup> St/Rock Creek Parkway portions of the trail to Access Autry Park and the Rock Creek Trail which connects to the extensive Boulder County trail network located west of McCaslin Blvd. and eventually to the Rocky Mountain Greenway. Superior students can use the 88<sup>th</sup> St/Rock Creek Parkway portions of the trail to access Monarch High School and Monarch K-8.
- 3. Does the proposed project cross and/or benefit another **subregion(s)**? If yes, which ones and how? The project provides a connection to the City & County of Broomfield Subregion. Broomfield residents (and other US 36 Bikeway users) can use the Autry Park portion of the trail to access the Rock Creek Trail which connects to the extensive Boulder County trail network located west of McCaslin Blvd. and eventually to the Rocky Mountain Greenway. In addition, Superior residents can use the Autry Park portion of the trail to access Flatiron Crossing, Interlocken Business Park, the US 36 Bikeway headed to the east and the Flatiron BRT station.
- 4. How will the proposed project address the specific transportation problem described in the Problem Statement (as submitted in Part 1, #8)? The US 36 Bikeway Extension project will address regional multi-use trail connections along the US 36 multi-modal corridor. The project will directly connect Superior's new 150-acre mixed-use Downtown Superior development with Broomfield's Flatiron Crossing and Interlocken mixed-use developments. By connecting to the US 36 Bikeway, the project will allow Superior residents to access the BRT stations at McCaslin and Flatiron Crossing. The project will also connect several multi-family developments in Superior with Monarch High School, Monarch K-8 and Avista Hospital in Louisville. The Autry Park portion of the trail will provide US 36 Bikeway users with an important connection to the extensive Superior and Boulder County multi-use trail networks. The project thus directly relates to the DRCOG Metro Vision Theme of "A Connected Multimodal Region" with Outcomes
  - The regional transportation system is well-connected and serves all modes of travel.
  - The transportation system is safe, reliable and well-maintained.
- 5. One foundation of a sustainable and resilient economy is physical infrastructure and transportation. How will the <a href="completed">completed</a> project allow people and businesses to thrive and prosper? The project will provide safe pedestrian and bike connections among residential, employment, retail and recreation areas facilitating trip making by alternative modes, which use less energy than vehicular modes. Businesses in Downtown Superior and Flatiron Crossing will benefit by the ease of alternative mode access by Superior and Broomfield residents.

- **6.** How will connectivity to different travel modes be improved by the proposed project? Superior residents will be able to safely bike or walk to the McCaslin and Flatiron BRT Stations, encouraging use of public transit.
- **7.** Describe funding and/or project partnerships (other subregions, regional agencies, municipalities, private, etc.) established in association with this project. The attached letters of support from Boulder County, Louisville and the City and County of Broomfield indicate support of the project from neighboring jurisdictions.

### **B. DRCOG Board-approved Metro Vision TIP Focus Areas**

WEIGHT

30%

Provide <u>qualitative</u> and <u>quantitative</u> (derived from Part 3 of the application) responses to the following questions on how the proposed project addresses the three DRCOG Board-approved Focus Areas (in bold).

- 1. Describe how the project will improve mobility infrastructure and services for vulnerable populations (including improved transportation access to health services). The project will provide connections to several multi-family developments in Superior (Bell Flatiron, Saddlebrooke and Downtown Superior) facilitating sustainable alternative mode travel to employment, retail and recreation areas. These developments will also be connected by the project to health services available in Downtown Superior and in Avista Hospital and nearby medical facilities.
- 2. Describe how the project will increase reliability of existing multimodal transportation network. The project will be a multi-use all-weather concrete trail maintained to the same high standards as the US 36 Bikeway. It will not be subject to auto congestion or major incidents typically found on highway facilities.
- 3. Describe how the project will **improve transportation safety and security.** The project will provide a separated 10-ft. wide concrete trail connecting the US 36 Bikeway near Avista Hospital with the W. Flatiron Crossing Drive underpass. No major roadway at-grade crossings will be needed although there will be three at-grade crossings of local streets. It will provide a "safe route to school" for all Superior students living east of 88<sup>th</sup> Street and desiring to bike or walk to Monarch High School of Monarch K-8 located north of US 36 in Louisville. Currently there is no walk on the east side of 88<sup>th</sup> Street so students must cross 88<sup>th</sup> Street (which carries 9,000 vehicles per day) twice to walk or bike to these schools.

# C. Consistency & Contributions to Transportation-focused Metro Vision Objectives

WEIGHT

20%

Provide <u>qualitative and quantitative</u> responses (derived from Part 3 of the application) to the following items on how the proposed project contributes to Transportation-focused Objectives (in bold) in the adopted Metro Vision plan. Refer to the expanded Metro Vision Objective by clicking on links.

MV objective 2

Contain urban development in locations designated for urban growth and services.

1. Will this project help focus and facilitate future growth in locations where urban-level infrastructure already exists or areas where plans for infrastructure and service expansion are in place? The project will directly connect Superior's new 150-acre mixed-use Downtown Superior development with Broomfield's Flatiron Crossing and Interlocken mixed-use developments. By connecting to the US 36 Bikeway, the project will allow Superior residents to access the BRT stations at McCaslin and Flatiron Crossing. The project will also connect several multi-family developments in Superior with Monarch High School, Monarch K-8 and

🔀 Yes		No
-------	--	----

	Avista Hospital in L users with an impo trail networks.			
	MV objective 3	Increase housing and employment in urban centers.		
2.	Will this project he and between urbar trail connections be Destinations served Downtown Superio Interlocken Busines	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
	MV objective 4	Improve or expand the region's multimodal transportation system, service connections.	ces, and	
3.	goods, or services? Louisville and the C McCaslin and Flatin	Ilp increase mobility choices within and beyond the region for people, of The project will provide multi-use trail connections between Superior and City and County of Broomfield. The project will provide connections to the ron Crossing BRT Stations facilitating first and final mile connections of the anal BRT services to residents and employers of Superior, Louisville and	⊠ Yes	□ No
	MV objective 6a	Improve air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.		
4.	monoxide, particul greenhouse gas em	Ip reduce ground-level ozone, greenhouse gas emissions, carbon ate matter, or other air pollutants? The project is expected to reduce nissions by 260.3 lbs. per day upon project opening; and 356.4 lbs. per day A, B & C for greenhouse gas emission reduction calculations.	⊠ Yes	□ No
	MV objective 7b	Connect people to natural resource or recreational areas.		
5.	Will this project he improve other mul assets? The Autry F and US 36 Bikeway County multi-use to	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
	MV objective 10	Increase access to amenities that support healthy, active choices.		
6.		pand opportunities for residents to lead healthy and active lifestyles? The superior residents with 9,000 feet of multi-use trail expanding their	⊠ Yes	☐ No

opportunities for walking, biking and other recreational activities. It will extend the regional US 36 Bikeway facilities expanding the opportunities for US 36 Bikeway users. It will provide access to the amenities located in Autry Park to Louisville, Broomfield and other US 36 Corridor communities. The Autry Park portion of the trail will provide connections to the Superior and Boulder County trail networks to Broomfield and other US 36 Corridor communities.

	MV objective 13	Improve access to opport	tunity.				
7.	Will this project he by promoting relia	⊠ Yes □ No					
	The project will provide multi-use trail connections between Superior and Louisville and the City and County of Broomfield. Destinations served included Autry Park, Monarch High School, Monarch K-8, Avista Hospital, Downtown Superior, Bell Flatiron (existing multi-family development) Flatiron Crossing, Interlocken Business Park and the McCaslin and Flatiron Crossing BRT Stations.						
	MV objective 14	Improve the region's con	npetitive position.				
8.					⊠ Yes □ No		
D.	<b>Project Levera</b>	ging			<b>WEIGHT 10%</b>		
9.	•	utside funding sources ated Regional Share project have?	20%	80%+ outside funding s 60-79%59% and below	Medium		

## Part 3

# **Project Data Worksheet – Calculations and Estimates**

(Complete all subsections applicable to the project)

#### A. Transit Use

- 1. Current ridership weekday boardings
- 2. Population and Employment

Year	Population within 1 mile	Employment within 1 mile	Total Pop and Employ within 1 mile
2020	18,411	17,415	35,826
2040	27,895	21,697	49,592

	Transit Use Calculations	Year of Opening	2040 Weekday Estimate
3.	Enter estimated additional daily transit boardings after project is completed.  (Using 50% growth above year of opening for 2040 value, unless justified)  Provide supporting documentation as part of application submittal	20	30
4.	Enter number of the additional transit boardings (from #3 above) that were previously using a different transit route. (Example: <b>{#3 X 25%}</b> or other percent, if justified)	5	7
5.	Enter number of the new transit boardings (from #3 above) that were previously using other non-SOV modes (walk, bicycle, HOV, etc.) (Example: <b>{#3 X 25%}</b> or other percent, if justified)	5	7
6.	= Number of SOV one-way trips reduced per day (#3 – #4 – #5)	10	16
7.	Enter the value of <b>{#6 x 9 miles}</b> . (= <b>the VMT reduced per day</b> ) (Values other than the default 9 miles must be justified by sponsor; e.g., 15 miles for regional service or 6 miles for local service)	90	144
8.	= Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#7 x 0.95 lbs.)	85.5	136.8

- **9.** If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of difference:
- **10.** If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here:

### **B.** Bicycle Use

- 1. Current weekday bicyclists 400 bicyclists per day on US 36 Bikeway in Broomfield
- 2. Population and Employment

Total Pop and Employ within 1 mile	Employment within 1 mile	Population within 1 mile	Year
35,826	17,415	18,411	2020
49,592	21,697	27,895	2040

Bicycle Use Calculations	Year of Opening	2040 Weekday Estimate		
<b>3.</b> Enter estimated additional weekday one-way bicycle trips on the facility after project is completed.	200	300		
4. Enter number of the bicycle trips (in #3 above) that will be diverting from a different bicycling route. (Example: {#3 X 50%} or other percent, if justified)	100	150		
5. = Initial number of new bicycle trips from project (#3 – #4)	100	150		
6. Enter number of the new trips produced (from #5 above) that are replacing an SOV trip. (Example: {#5 X 30%} (or other percent, if justified)	30	45		
7. = Number of SOV trips reduced per day (#5 - #6)	70	105		
8. Enter the value of {#7 x 2 miles}. (= the VMT reduced per day) (Values other than 2 miles must be justified by sponsor)	140	210		
<b>9.</b> = Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#8 x 0.95 lbs.)	161.5	199.5		
10. If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of difference:				
11. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here:				

C. Pedestrian Use	
1. Current weekday pedestrians (include users of all non-pedaled devices)	50
2. Population and Employment	1

Year	Population within 1 mile	Employment within 1 mile	Total Pop and Employ within 1 mile
2020	18,411	17,415	35,826
2040	27,895	21,697	49,592

	Pedestrian Use Calculations	Year of Opening	2040 Weekday Estimate
3.	Enter estimated additional weekday pedestrian one-way trips on the facility after project is completed	100	150
4.	Enter number of the new pedestrian trips (in #3 above) that will be diverting from a different walking route (Example: {#3 X 50%} or other percent, if justified)	50	75
5.	= Number of new trips from project (#3 – #4)	50	75
6.	Enter number of the new trips produced (from #5 above) that are replacing an SOV trip.  (Example: <b>{#5 X 30%}</b> or other percent, if justified)	15	22
7.	= Number of SOV trips reduced per day (#5 - #6)	35	53

12. Enter the value of {#7 x .4 miles}. (= the VMT reduced per day)  (Values other than .4 miles must be justified by sponsor)	14	21.2		
8. = Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#8 x 0.95 lbs.)	13.3	20.14		
9. If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of difference:				
10. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here:				

## **D. Vulnerable Populations**

Use Current Census Data

Vulnerable Populations	Population within 1 mile
1. Persons over age 65	696
2. Minority persons	3382
3. Low-Income households	192
4. Linguistically-challenged persons	96
5. Individuals with disabilities	195
6. Households without a motor vehicle	53
7. Children ages 6-17	2638
8. Health service facilities served by project	9

### **E. Travel Delay** (Operational and Congestion Reduction)


Sponsor must use industry standard Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) based software programs and procedures as a basis to calculate estimated weekday travel delay benefits. *DRCOG staff may be able to use the Regional Travel Model to develop estimates for certain types of large-scale projects.* 

1.	Current ADT (average daily traffic volume) on applicable segments	0
2.	2040 ADT estimate	0
3.	Current weekday vehicle hours of delay (VHD) (before project)	0

Travel Delay Calculations	Year of Opening
4. Enter calculated future weekday VHD (after project)	0
5. Enter value of {#3 - #4} = Reduced VHD	0
6. Enter value of {#5 X 1.4} = Reduced person hours of delay (Value higher than 1.4 due to high transit ridership must be justified by sponsor)	0
7. After project peak hour congested average travel time reduction per vehicle (includes persons, transit passengers, freight, and service equipment carried by vehicles).  If applicable, denote unique travel time reduction for certain types of vehicles	0

8.	8. If values would be distinctly different for weekend days or special events, describe the magnitude of difference.					
9.	9. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here:					
F.	Traffic Crash Reduction					
1.	Provide the current number of crashes involving motor vehicle and pedestrians (most recent 5-year period of data)					
	Fatal crashes	0		nsor must use industry		
	Serious Injury crashes	0	Spansor must			
	Other Injury crashes	0	•	sh reduction factors		
	Property Damage Only crashes	0	•	lent modification		
2.	Estimated reduction in crashes <u>applicable to the project scope</u> (per the five-year period used above)		NCHRP Projec	practices (e.g., ct 17-25, NCHRP		
	Fatal crashes reduced	0	Report 617, o methodology			
	Serious Injury crashes reduced	0	cure acregy	,.		
	Other Injury crashes reduced	0				
	Property Damage Only crashes reduced	0				
G.	G. Facility Condition					
	Sponsor must use a current industry-accepted pavement condition method or system and calculate the average condition across all sections of pavement being replaced or modified.  Applicants will rate as: Excellent, Good, Fair, or Poor					
Ro	Roadway Pavement					
1.	Current roadway pavement condition					
2.	Describe current pavement issues and how the project will ad	dress them.				
3.	Average Daily User Volume					
Bic	ycle/Pedestrian/Other Facility					
4.	Current bicycle/pedestrian/other facility condition			Choose an item		
5.	Describe current condition issues and how the project will add	dress them.				
6.	Average Daily User Volume			0		
н.	H. Bridge Improvements					
1.	Current bridge structural condition from CDOT					

2.	Describe current condition issues and how the project will address them.	
3.	Other functional obsolescence issues to be addressed by project	
4.	Average Daily User Volume over bridge	
I.	Other Beneficial Variables (identified and calculated by the sponsor)	
1.		
2.		
3.		
Э.		
J.	Disbenefits or Negative Impacts (identified and calculated by the sponsor)	
		☐ Yes ⊠ No
J.		☐ Yes ⊠ No
J. 1.		☐ Yes ⊠ No




# US 36 Bikeway Extension Conceptual Alignment




# DRCOG Active Transportation Plan Autrey Park Segment

△drcog Denver Regional Council of Governments




<sup>34 |</sup> Regional Active Transportation Network

# US 36 Bikeway Extension Regional Trails Context



## US 36 Bikeway Extension Land Use Context





Regional Director's Office 10601 W. 10th Street Greeley, CO 80634-9000

February 7, 2019

Alex Ariniello Town of Superior 1124 E. Coal Creek Drive Superior, CO 80027 **US 36 Bikeway Extension South** 

Dear Mr. Ariniello,

RE: CDOT Region 4 Support Request for DRCOG TIP Sub-Regional Call FY20-23

This letter is to inform you that the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) Region 4 staff concurs with the following Town of Superior application for the DRCOG Sub-Regional FY20-23 TIP Call. This applies only to the US 36 Bikeway Extension South project, in the event it is selected by DRCOG as a sub-regional project around Summer 2019. If this project is awarded DRCOG funds at a later date, the Local Agency (LA) will need to re-affirm CDOT's concurrence at that time.

This concurrence is conditionally granted, based on the scope as described. CDOT does, however, retain final decision-making authority for all improvements and changes within CDOT's right of way. As the project progresses, the LA will need to work closely with CDOT Region staff to ensure CDOT's continued concurrence.

This project must comply with all CDOT and/or FHWA requirements, including those associated with clearance for right of way, utilities and environmental. All costs associated with clearances, including right of way acquisition, utilities relocation and environmental mitigation measures, such as wetland creation, must be included in the project costs. CDOT staff will assist in determining which clearances are required for your project. The CDOT Local Agency Manual includes project requirements to assist with contracting, design and construction, accessed at: http://www.coloradodot.info/business/designsupport/bulletins\_manuals.

Should you have any questions regarding this concurrence, or if your agency would like to schedule time to meet with a member of the CDOT Specialty Unit, please contact Karen Schneiders at (970) 350-2172.

Sincerely.

Johnny Olson, P.E.

**Region 4 Transportation Director** 

JWO:KAS:mbc

cc: Todd Cottrell, DRCOG

Long Nguyen Katrina Kloberdanz

> Kateyn Triggs Karen Schneiders



February 25, 2019

Denver Regional Council of Governments 1001 17th Street Denver, CO 80202

Dear DRCOG and Boulder County Subregional TIP Forum,

The City and County of Broomfield would like to share our support for the Town of Superior's proposed TIP project to extend the US 36 Bikeway from the existing US 36 Bikeway Bridge to W. Flatiron Crossing Drive. The project would construct a new multi-use trail along the south side of US 36 as well as along 88<sup>th</sup> Street, Rock Creek Parkway and through Superior's Autry Park.

This multimodal project will address regional multi-use trail connections along the US 36 multi-modal corridor and regional active transportation network. The project will directly connect Superior's new 150-acre mixed-use Downtown Superior development with Broomfield's Flatiron Crossing commercial and employment destinations and the Interlocken Urban Center mixed-use developments. Direct connections increase the reliability of the network by reducing travel time.

By connecting to the US 36 Bikeway, the project will increase residents and employees access to the BRT stations at McCaslin and Flatiron Crossing. The project brings benefit to residents and employees of both the Boulder and Broomfield subregions. The Autry Park portion of the trail will provide Broomfield residents and regional US 36 Bikeway users with an important connection to the extensive Superior and Boulder County multi-use trail networks.

The City and County of Broomfield supports the Town of Superior's application to DRCOG and the Boulder County Subregional TIP forum for funding.

Sincerely,

Charles Ozlaki

City and County Manager



February 18, 2019

Dear DRCOG and Boulder County Subregional TIP Forum,

The City of Louisville would like to share our support for the Town of Superior's proposed TIP project to extend the US 36 Bikeway from the existing US 36 Bikeway Bridge to W. Flatiron Crossing Drive. The project would construct a new multi-use trail along the south side of US 36 as well as along 88<sup>th</sup> Street, Rock Creek Parkway and through Superior's Autry Park.

This multimodal project will allow cyclists and pedestrians from Rock Ranch and several multi-family developments in Superior to access Monarch High School, Monarch K-8 and Avista Hospital in Louisville. The Autry Park portion of the trail will provide US 36 Bikeway users with an important connection to the extensive Superior and Boulder County multi-use trail networks.

The City urges DRCOG and the Boulder County Subregional TIP forum to support this project for TIP funding.

Sincerely,

Heather Balser

Marcher Bahan

City Manager for the City of Louisville