## **Boulder County DRCOG Subregional Forum TAC**

Meeting Agenda Feb 28, 2023 8:00 a.m. – 10:00 a.m.

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer, mobile app or room device

# Click here to join the meeting

Meeting ID: 299 447 126 114
Passcode: 84ujH5
Download Teams | Join on the web

Or call in (audio only)

+1 720-400-7859,,655127884# United States, Denver Phone Conference ID: 655 127 884#

Find a local number Reset PIN

Learn More Meeting options

1. Welcome and Introductions

Attendees:

Alex Hyde-Wright, Boulder County Kathleen Bracke, Boulder County Paul Frank, Boulder County Carlos Hernandez, Town of Erie Jeff Arthur, City of Lafayette Josh Schwenk, DRCOG Kim Mitchell, Town of Lyons Miguel Aguilar, Town of Erie Phil Greenwald, City of Longmont Chris Quinn, RTD Jean Sanson, City of Boulder Todd Cottrell, DRCOG Audrey DeBarros, Commuting Solutions Megan Davis, City of Louisville Miranda Fisher, Town of Nederland Gerrit Slatter, City of Boulder Brannon Richards, Town of Superior Michelle Melonakis, City of Lafayette

- 2. Public Comment No public comments.
- 3. DRCOG 2024-2027 TIP Subregional Share Call for Projects (Call #4)
  - a. Overview of Public Comments Received 210 Total comments received
  - b. Overview of Scoring Process, Project Scores, Reduced Funding Options, and Track Eligibility

One staff member from each jurisdiction, plus 2 scorers from DRCOG scored each project, except their own projects if they submitted. Bias correction was applied to the scores of scorers whose jurisdiction submitted a project. Scores were then averaged to get a final score for each project.

#### c. Action Item: Development of Funding Recommendation

- Niwot to Airport is the most important segment of the 3 bikeway segments that Boulder County submitted in the STBG track
- Louisville would accept reduced funding for CO 42 underpass project, could possibly get some match funding, could go as low as \$3M
- Longmont could go down to \$2M for the BAT lanes project
- Lafayette preference is to fund as many projects as possible, even if that means giving a reduced amount than requested
- Preference for trying to give each jurisdiction that submitted a project at least some funding for a project
- From Erie: Need to look at the previous calls and make sure that funding is getting allocated throughout the county and not just to a few jurisdictions
- For smaller communities, this is the only significant source of funding that they have access to
- From DRCOG: Unfunded portions of projects can be placed on the waitlist, this
  is the norm, but doesn't have to be done this way
- Countywide transit plan is no longer an option to fund through FTA funds
- Preference was given to funding projects that scored lower, but benefited multiple jurisdictions (e.g. SE Boulder County SuperFlex project)
- Combination of score, public comments, geographic distribution, who got funded in call 3 and somewhat call 2 were the main considerations in the funding recommendation
- Superior is checking to see if they would be ok accepting partial funding for design and construction. If they can't accept partial funding, they would like \$500,000 for design only. If this happens, then the additional funding above \$500,000 would go towards Longmont's SH 66 Multi-use Path project.
- Forum TAC unanimously voted to recommend funding option with partial funding for full scope of Superior McCaslin underpass project, and a second back-up option with \$500k for design only of the Superior McCaslin underpass project.
- Forum TAC unanimously voted to go by score order for waitlist

Call #4: \$8,329,000 Available AQ/MM										
Project Sponsor	Project Nickname	DRCOG Funding Request	Total Cost	Score H=5, L=1	Forum TAC Recommend ation #1	Forum TAC Recommendati on #2 (back-up)		Scope Change for Recommendation #1	Scope Change for Recommendation #2 (back-up)	
ВоСо	SH 7 Transit	\$ 100,000	\$ 9,264,000	N/A	\$ 100,000	Ś	100,000			
Boulder	30th St MM	\$ 5,840,000	\$ 7,300,000		\$ 3,000,000	\$	3,000,000	Design & Construct Aurora-	Design & Construc Aurora-Colorado;	
Longmont	SH 119 BAT Lanes	\$ 3,113,000	\$ 3,891,000	3.57	\$ 2,000,000	\$	2,000,000		Full scope	
Boulder	Folsom MM Study	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,500,000	3.51	\$ 600,000	\$	600,000	Concept design only, possible	Concept design only, possible	
Boulder	Colorado Compl. Str.	\$ 2,160,000	\$ 3,600,000	3.44		\$	-			
Longmont	SH 66 MUP	\$ 2,240,000	\$ 2,800,000	3.34		\$	1,681,000		Full scope	
ВоСо	La-Lou-Bo Bike Study	\$ 382,000	\$ 425,000	3.14	\$ 382,000	\$	382,000			
Longmont	US 287/ 21st Underp.	\$ 9,558,000	\$ 11,948,000	2.89		\$	-			
Louisville	Via Appia MM	\$ 2,480,000	\$ 3,100,000	2.83		\$	_			
ВоСо	SE SuperFlex	\$ 3,434,000	\$ 3,820,000	2.75	\$ 2,284,000	Ś	2,284,000	Reduced service: 1 vehicle all three years	Reduced service: 1 vehicle all three years	
ВоСо	SH 93 Bikeway Study	\$ 3,434,000	\$ 3,820,000			\$	- 2,264,000	years	years	
Superior	McCaslin Underp.	\$ 4,794,000	\$ 6,000,000	2.61	\$ 2,181,000	\$	500,000	Full scope	Design only	
Erie	Erie FlexRide	\$ 540,000	\$ 600,000	2.33	\$ 540,000	\$	540,000			
Total		\$ 36,155,000	\$ 54,598,000		\$11,087,000	\$	11,087,000			
Remaining					\$ (2,758,000)	\$	(2,758,000)			

			Call #4: \$10,750,000 Available STBG											
Project Sponsor	Project Nickname	DRCOG Funding Request	Total Cost	Score H=5, L=1	Forum TAC Recommend ation #1	Forum TAC Recommendati on #2 (back-up)	Notes if reduced funding							
ВоСо	SBR Study	\$ 399,000	\$ 500,000	3.56	\$ 399,000	\$ 399,000								
ВоСо	Transit Plan	\$ 1,198,000	\$ 1,500,000	3.43	\$ 1,198,000	\$ 1,198,000								
ВоСо	VZ SRTS Action	\$ 359,000	\$ 450,000	3.42	\$ 359,000	\$ 359,000								
ВоСо	119 Bike: Foot-Jay	\$ 5,992,000	\$ 7,500,000	3.39		\$ -								
ВоСо	119 Bike: Niw-Air	\$ 3,036,000	\$ 3,800,000	3.36	\$ 3,036,000	\$ 3,036,000								
ВоСо	119 Bike: Air-Hov	\$ 7,191,000	\$ 9,000,000	3.32		\$ -								
ВоСо	LoBo Jay Rd	\$ 1,353,000	\$ 1,700,000	3.29		\$ -								
Louisville	SH 42/S St Underp.	\$ 7,190,000	\$ 9,000,000	2.87	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	Full scope							
Total		\$ 26,718,000	\$ 33,450,000		\$ 7,992,000	\$ 7,992,000								
Remaining	3				\$ 2,758,000	\$ 2,758,000								

				DRCOG				TAC Rec Waitlist		TAC Rec'd: Waitlist		TAC Rec'd:
	Project		Funding				Score	(Recommend		(Recommend		Waitlist
Track	Sponsor	Project Nickname	Request		Total Cost		H=5, L=1	ation #1)		ation #2)		Order
AQMM	Boulder	30th St MM	\$	5,840,000	\$	7,300,000	3.70	\$	2,840,000	\$	2,840,000	1
AQMM	Longmont	SH 119 BAT Lanes	\$	3,113,000	\$	3,891,000	3.57	\$	1,113,000	\$	1,113,000	2
AQMM	Boulder	Folsom MM Study	\$	1,200,000	\$	1,500,000	3.51	\$	600,000	\$	600,000	3
AQMM	Boulder	Colorado Compl. Str.	\$	2,160,000	\$	3,600,000	3.44	\$	2,160,000	\$	2,160,000	4
STBG	ВоСо	119 Bike: Foot-Jay	\$	5,992,000	\$	7,500,000	3.39	\$	5,992,000	\$	5,992,000	5
AQMM	Longmont	SH 66 MUP	\$	2,240,000	\$	2,800,000	3.34	\$	2,240,000	\$	559,000	6
STBG	ВоСо	119 Bike: Air-Hov	\$	7,191,000	\$	9,000,000	3.32	\$	7,191,000	\$	7,191,000	7
STBG	ВоСо	LoBo Jay Rd	\$	1,353,000	\$	1,700,000	3.29	\$	1,353,000	\$	1,353,000	8
AQMM	Longmont	US 287/21st Underp.	\$	9,558,000	\$	11,948,000	2.89	\$	9,558,000	\$	9,558,000	9
STBG	Louisville	SH 42/S St Underp.	\$	7,190,000	\$	9,000,000	2.87	\$	4,190,000	\$	4,190,000	10
AQMM	Louisville	Via Appia MM	\$	2,480,000	\$	3,100,000	2.83	\$	2,480,000	\$	2,480,000	11
AQMM	ВоСо	SE SuperFlex	\$	3,434,000	\$	3,820,000	2.75	\$	1,150,000	\$	1,150,000	12
AQMM	ВоСо	SH 93 Bikeway Study	\$	314,000	\$	350,000	2.65	\$	314,000	\$	314,000	13
AQMM	Superior	McCaslin Underp.	\$	4,794,000	\$	6,000,000	2.61	\$	2,613,000	\$	4,294,000	14

Subsequent to the Forum TAC meeting, Superior notified the Forum that they would be unable to complete the full scope of the McCaslin underpass project for the reduced funding amount included in TAC option #1. Therefore, TAC option #2, which included \$500k for design only of the McCaslin underpass and additional funding for the Longmont SH 66 MUP project, is what was presented to the Boulder County Forum.

## d. Schedule:

i. Feb 28: Funding recommendation from Forum TAC ii. Mar 13: Funding recommendation from Forum iii. Mar 15: DRCOG TAC funding recommendation iv. Apr 18: DRCOG RTC funding recommendation v. Apr 19: DRCOG Board funding recommendation vi. Jun 16- Jul 19: Public comment period on draft 24-27 TIP DRCOG TAC recommendation on draft 24-27 TIP vii. July 24: viii. Aug 15: DRCOG RTC recommendation on draft 24-27 TIP ix. Aug 16: DRCOG Board adoption of 24-27 TIP

## 4. Upcoming grant opportunities:

- a. Due Feb 28: Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)
- b. Due Feb 28: Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE)
- c. Due Mar 17: Highway Safety Office
- d. Due Mar 24: Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)
- e. coming soon: DRCOG Regional Transportation Operations and Technology (RTO&T) Set-Aside
- 5. Reminder: If you have new Forum members, or Forum alternates, please update Boulder County
- 6. FTA updates from Boulder County

No updates this meeting due to time constraints with developing a funding recommendation

7. Next steps & adjourn