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## Methodology

New Bridge Strategy conducted a survey among N=500 voters throughout Boulder County from May 19-24, 2023. Interviews were conducted via live telephone interviews (both cell phones and landlines) and online. Interviews were distributed proportionally throughout the county. Quotas were set for key demographic sub-groups, such as gender and age.

The margin of error is $\pm 4.38 \%$ for the overall sample. The margin of error will vary for sub-groups.


## Views of the County

## A majority of voters say things in Boulder County have gotten off on the wrong track in the past few years.



| 日Right Direction | $\square$ Wrong Track | ■ No Opinion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## In the last few years, there has been a decline in voters saying that the county is going in the right direction.



# Various key subgroups, including Democrats and women, are less likely to say the county is going in the right direction than last year. 

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Democrats | $67 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $-26 \%$ |
| Follow Politics All of the Time | $50 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $-26 \%$ |
| City of Boulder | $57 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $-25 \%$ |
| $\$ 75 K+$ Income | $57 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $-25 \%$ |
| Women | $53 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $-25 \%$ |
| Ages 55-64 | $52 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $-25 \%$ |
| Unincorporated/Small cities | $50 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $-23 \%$ |
| Own Home | $54 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $-22 \%$ |
| White | $52 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $-20 \%$ |

One-quarter of voters say the most important problem facing Boulder County is housing, followed by homelessness.


There is no single issue that dominates concerns among those who say the County is headed in the wrong direction.

|  | Wrong Track |
| :--- | :---: |
| Housing | $17 \%$ |
| Homeless | $16 \%$ |
| Traffic/Over-development | $16 \%$ |
| Crime | $11 \%$ |
| Pocketbook/Economy | $10 \%$ |
| Taxes | $6 \%$ |
| Environment | $4 \%$ |
| Social | $2 \%$ |
| Education | $0 \%$ |
| Health Issues | $0 \%$ |

Most voters say taxes are about right or high, but acceptable. However, two-fifths now say they are way too high.

## Way too high

## High, but acceptable

About right

## Lower than expected

## There is an increasing number of voters who say taxes in the county are way too high.

| 37\% | 40\% |  |  | 41\% | 38\% | 40\% | 38\% | 39\% | 38\% | 38\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32\% | 32\% | 34\% |  | 32\% |  | 29\% | 30\% | 29\% | 32\% | 31\% |  |  |
| 23\% | 21\% | 20 |  | 18\% | 20\% | 20\% | 21\% | 22\% | 19\% | 20\% | 24\% | 22\% |
| 6/08 | 7/09 | 5/10 | 8/11 | 5/12 | 3/14 | 12/15 | 6/16 | 6/17 | 5/18 | 8/19 | 5/22 | 5/23 |

## The increase in voters saying taxes are way too high is driven by those who follow politics all the time and have a higher income, among several others.

| \% Way too high | 2022 | 2023 | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Follow Politics All of the Time | $31 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $+29 \%$ |
| $\$ 75$ K+ Income | $20 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $+21 \%$ |
| Unincorporated/Small cities | $24 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $+20 \%$ |
| White | $21 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $+19 \%$ |
| Ages 55-64 | $28 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $+18 \%$ |
| Ages 65+ | $27 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $+18 \%$ |
| City of Boulder | $16 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $+18 \%$ |
| Own Home | $27 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $+17 \%$ |
| Men | $26 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $+17 \%$ |

## Voters who think the county is on the wrong track are much more likely to say taxes are way too high.


$\square$ Total High

## We provided the following language for a potential ballot proposal:

Shall Boulder County taxes be increased by $\$ 8$ million commencing in 2025 , and by such amounts as may be generated annually thereafter, from a sales tax increase of 0.08 percent, that is an additional eight cents on a one hundred dollar purchase, for the purpose of increasing the availability and affordability of mental health and substance abuse services in the county, including

- Providing additional services, including preventative, early identification, intervention, support, and treatment for youth, families, and adults who are struggling to obtain care;
- Providing more services and facilities to help stabilize residents when they are in crisis in an effort to reduce the harm they may cause themselves or others; and
- Providing navigation support for youth, parents and residents on how to access affordable mental health care.


## The proposal receives majority support, with around one-third saying they would "definitely" vote yes.


$\square$

## Democrats and Independents are very likely to support the proposal.



## Younger voters are more likely to support the proposal; older men are least supportive.



## Most college-educated voters support the proposal.



## Renters are more likely to support the proposal, including nearly half who would definitely vote for it.



## Newer residents are much more supportive of the proposal.



## Many who support the proposal say they do so because they see a need to help with mental health; many connect it to homeless.

|  | \# of <br> mentions |
| :--- | :---: |
| Helps with mental health | 87 |
| Addresses homelessness | 29 |
| Helps with substance abuse | 25 |
| Needed/necessary | 23 |
| Helps the community/its people | 18 |
| Public safety | 11 |
| Needs more funding/resources | 9 |
| Important/serious problem | 9 |
| Small tax increase | 8 |
| Good/ethical/kind | 8 |
| Preventative | 7 |
| Good use of funds | 4 |

"Mental healthcare should be encouraged and accessible to everyone." Female, Age 18-34, Independent
"Mental health is a national crisis that needs to be addressed and will have many downstream benefits."

Male, Age 35-44, Democrat
"It relates to the homelessness problem. There are currently not enough mental health services available." Male, Age 65+, Democrat

## Opposition to increased or new taxes is the top reason to those voting against the proposal.

|  | \# of <br> mentions |
| :--- | :---: |
| Oppose tax increase or new taxes/taxes are too high | 71 |
| Need to control spending/already have enough funds | 32 |
| Government waste | 14 |
| Attracts or doesn't help with homelessness/substance abuse | 10 |
| Don't trust government/money will not be used in this way | 9 |
| High cost of living | 8 |
| Spend funds elsewhere | 8 |
| Have not seen positive changes | 7 |
| Will not work | 6 |
| Need more information | 5 |
| Does not benefit many people | 3 |
| Cannot afford it | 3 |

"Quit raising taxes. Find the money elsewhere. Tax rates in the city of Boulder and Boulder County are ridiculously high and very little seems to happen as it currently stands. Raising taxes isn't the answer." Male, Age 18-34, Independent
"There is not a need to increase taxes for something that is generally inexpensive enough to trim from other budget areas."

Male, Age 35-44, Independent
"No more taxes! People need to work. Stop depending on others." Female, Age 65+, Republican

## When voters hear the amount that sales taxes would increase, support for the proposal remains steady.



| A majority of County voters say all of these potentia extremely or very important to fund. |  | $\frac{\text { \% Total }}{\text { Important }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Having mental health professionals - NOT law enforcement - respond when residents are experiencing a mental health crisis | \% Ext/Very Important 66\% | 83\% |
| Providing additional services, including preventative, early identification, intervention, support, and treatment for youth, families, and adults who are struggling to obtain care | 65\% | 89\% |
| Increasing the availability and affordability of mental health and substance abuse services in the County | 64\% | 87\% |
| Providing navigation support for youth, parents and residents on how to access affordable mental health care | 64\% | 88\% |
| Providing more services and facilities to help stabilize residents when they are in crisis | 63\% | 88\% |
| Providing training and assistance to schools, non-profits organizations and other groups to ensure there are trusted places people can learn about access to mental health and substance abuse treatment | 60\% | 86\% |
| Increasing access to inpatient facilities where residents can receive appropriate care | 58\% | 87\% |
| Providing culturally responsive mental health services that meet the needs of various County residents | 52\% | 81\% | you that this particular item be funded by the County. Is it... extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not important... to you that that particular item be funded?

## NEW BRIDGE S T R A TEGY

## Mental health professionals responding to mental health crises is extremely/ very important to strong majorities of Independents and Democrats.

| GOP |
| :---: |
| Providing more services to help <br> stabilize residents in crisis <br> $44 \%$ |
| Providing additional mental health |
| services |
| $44 \%$ |
| Providing navigation support |
| $43 \%$ |
| Increase access to inpatient facilities |
| $43 \%$ |
| Providing training/assistance |
| $42 \%$ |


| IND |
| :---: |
| Having mental health professionals |
| respond |
| $61 \%$ |
| Providing navigation support |
| $59 \%$ |
| Providing more services to help |
| stabilize residents in crisis |
| $57 \%$ |
| Increasing availability/affordability of |
| mental health/substance abuse services |
| $57 \%$ |
| Increase access to inpatient facilities |
| $54 \%$ |

Showing \% Extremely/Very Important

| DEM |
| :---: |
| Providing additional mental health <br> services <br> $81 \%$ |
| Having mental health professionals |
| respond |
| $80 \%$ | you that this particular item be funded by the County. Is it...extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not important... to you that that particular item be funded?

## NEW BRIDGE S T R A TEGY

## Among voters under 55, at least seven-in-ten say it is extremely or very important to fund mental health professionals responding to crises.

| $18-44$ |
| :---: |
| Having mental health <br> professionals respond <br> $72 \%$ |
| Providing additional mental <br> health services <br> $71 \%$ |
| Providing more services |
| $69 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{4 5 - 5 4}$ |
| :---: |
| Having mental health <br> professionals respond <br> $71 \%$ |
| Increasing availability/ <br> affordability of mental health/ <br> substance abuse services <br> $67 \%$ |
| Providing more services |
| $64 \%$ |


| $55-64$ |
| :---: |
| Providing navigation support |
| $67 \%$ |
| Providing additional mental |
| health services |
| $63 \%$ | | Providing training/assistance |
| :---: |
| $63 \%$ |
| Increasing availability/ |
| affordability of mental health/ |
| substance abuse services |
| $61 \%$ |
| Providing more services |
| $59 \%$ |


| $65+$ |
| :---: |
| Having mental health <br> professionals respond <br> $60 \%$ |
| Increasing availability/ <br> affordability of mental health/ <br> substance abuse services <br> $59 \%$ |
| Increase access to inpatient |
| facilities |
| $58 \%$ |
| Providing navigation support |
| $56 \%$ |
| Providing training/assistance |
| $56 \%$ |

Showing \% Extremely/Very Important you that this particular item be funded by the County. Is it...extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not important... to you that that particular item be funded?

## NEW BRIDGE S T R A TEGY

## Nearly half of voters say a very convincing reason to support the proposal is that it will help address mental health among young people.

According to a 2021 survey of middle and high school students, nearly 40 percent of Boulder County youth reported feeling so sad and hopeless they stopped usual activities, and nearly one-in-six said they had seriously contemplated suicide in the past year. This proposal will help our schools and community

```
% Very Convincing
```

46\%
73\% organizations better address this mental health crisis among our young people.
In 2022, Mental Health America ranked Colorado 51st for Adult Mental Health behind all other states and the District of Columbia. Too many people are suffering and going without care, with cost being a primary barrier. We can help more people obtain the affordable care they need through this proposal. $\square$ 35\% 67\%
By investing in substance use services, we can help reduce people's suffering while also reducing the burden on
our criminal justice system and homeless services. $\quad \mathbf{2 3 \%} \quad$ 63\%

Our community has experienced extreme levels of stress due to COVID, disasters, gun violence, inflation, and other factors, which have increased the need for mental health services.

23\% 59\%

There is currently a shortage of mental health providers in our community, leading to long waits for mental health services. Investments in recruiting and retaining mental health professionals will increase the availability of mental health care in the County. statement is very convincing, somewhat convincing, not too convincing or not at all convincing as a reason to vote YES, IN FAVOR OF that proposal...

## Most younger women and nearly half of younger men say addressing mental health among the youth is a very convincing reason to support the proposal.

| $\%$ | Men <br> 18 | Men <br> $55+$ | Women <br> $18-54$ | Women <br> $55+$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $40 \%$ of Boulder youth reported feeling sad/hopeless | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ | $58 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |
| Mental Health America ranked CO 51st for mental health | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |
| Investing in substance use services | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |
| Extreme levels of stress | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ |
| Shortage of mental health providers | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ |

## The most compelling concern about making the area less affordable and concerns about overall spending.

| Increasing taxes will make this area even less affordable. We should not raise taxes when the cost of everything keeps going up. | \% Very Convincing | 32\% | 63\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| We cannot trust the County to spend this money wisely. |  | 31\% | 55\% |
| The County has plenty of money and just needs to prioritize and be more efficient. |  | 29\% | 58\% |

People should take responsibility for their own mental health and substance $\square$

# Over a third of younger men say not trusting the county to spend the money wisely and the county already having money are very convincing messages against the proposal. 

| \% Very Convincing | GOP | IND | DEM | Men <br> $18-54$ | Men <br> $55+$ | Women <br> $18-54$ | Women <br> $55+$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of everything going up | $58 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Cannot trust County to spend money <br> wisely | $55 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ |
| Plenty of money | $48 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ |
| People should take responsibility | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |

## After the messaging, a majority of voters are still supportive of the proposal.



## Two-thirds say it is extremely or very important to fund emergency housing and shelters for those at risk of/experiencing homelessness.

| Providing emergency housing and shelters for residents, including youth, who are at risk of or are <br> experiencing homelessness** |
| :--- |
| \% Ext/Very Important |

[^0]*Sample $A, N=250$ **Sample B, $N=250$

## Providing transitional and emergency housing are the top priorities among Independents and Democrats.

| GOP |
| :---: |
| Purchasing/renovating older properties <br> for rent** <br> $42 \%$ |
| Providing opportunities that lead to <br> home ownership <br> $39 \%$ |
| Providing emergency housing** |
| $37 \%$ |
| Providing support for/educating |
| families about housing options |
| $34 \%$ |
| Offering down-payment assistance |
| $33 \%$ |


| IND |
| :---: |
| Providing emergency housing** |
| $66 \%$ |
| Providing transitional housing* |
| $56 \%$ |
| Providing opportunities that lead to |
| home ownership |
| $52 \%$ |


| DEM |
| :---: |
| Providing transitional housing* |
| $75 \%$ | Providing emergency housing** $_{75 \%}$| Providing opportunities that lead to |
| :---: |
| home ownership |
| $73 \%$ |

Showing \% Extremely/Very Important

## While providing emergency housing is most important to homeowners and renters alike, renters are more likely to say it is extremely or very important.

| Own Home |
| :---: |
| Providing emergency housing** |
| $60 \%$ |
| Providing transitional housing* |
| $51 \%$ |
| Providing opportunities that lead to home ownership |
| $51 \%$ |
| Purchasing/renovating older properties for rent** |
| $48 \%$ |
| Constructing affordable rental housing |
| $47 \%$ |


| Rent Home |
| :---: |
| Providing emergency housing** |
| $90 \%$ |

## Showing \% Extremely/Very Important

## Younger voters are more likely to say the housing funding items are extremely or very important.

| $18-44$ |
| :---: |
| Providing emergency housing** <br> $80 \%$ |
| Providing opportunities that <br> lead to home ownership <br> $74 \%$ |
| Purchasing/renovating older <br> properties for rent** <br> $69 \%$ |
| Providing transitional housing* <br> $67 \%$ |
| Offering down-payment |
| assistance |
| $62 \%$ |


| 45-54 |
| :---: |
| Providing emergency housing** <br> $66 \%$ |
| Providing transitional housing* <br> $61 \%$ |
| Providing opportunities that <br> lead to home ownership <br> $59 \%$ |
| Constructing affordable rental <br> housing <br> $56 \%$ |
| Providing support for/educating <br> families about housing options <br> $50 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 4}$ |
| :---: |
| Purchasing/renovating older <br> properties for rent** <br> $53 \%$ |
| Providing emergency housing** <br> $51 \%$ |
| Providing opportunities that <br> lead to home ownership <br> $47 \%$ |
| Providing transitional housing* <br> $46 \%$ |
| Constructing affordable rental <br> housing <br> $46 \%$ |


| $65+$ |
| :---: |
| Providing emergency housing** <br> $63 \%$ |
| Providing support for/educating <br> families about housing options <br> $48 \%$ |
| Constructing affordable rental <br> housing <br> $47 \%$ |
| Providing opportunities that <br> lead to home ownership <br> $44 \%$ |
| Purchasing/renovating older <br> properties for rent** <br> $44 \%$ |

Showing \% Extremely/Very Important

## Most voters strongly agree that housing prices are so high in Boulder County that many workers cannot afford to live here.

Housing prices are so high in Boulder County that many people who work in Boulder County can't afford to live where they work.

In the next 12 months, you might have to move out of Boulder County because your rent is getting to be too high to stay.*


Low-and-moderate income housing helps to add desirable diversity to our communities.


70\%

# Voters do not feel that people can find an affordable home in the county. 

The housing problem for those living in Boulder County is not as bad as people say it is. Most people looking for a home to buy in Boulder County can

> find one they can afford somewhere in Boulder County.

Most people looking for a place to rent in Boulder County can find a place they can afford.
 75\%

## In the past decades, sentiments about housing in the county have gotten worse.



## Most voters still favor local governments increasing the availability of reasonably priced housing for people with a lower income who live or work in Boulder County.

Some people feel that local governments should help increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for people at the lower end of the income scales who live or work in Boulder County. Others feel that this is not a proper role for local governments. Do you favor or oppose local governments helping to increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for low-and-moderate income persons who
live or work in Boulder County?


## Most Democrats and a plurality of Independents favor increasing the availability of reasonably priced housing.

Some people feel that local governments should help increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for people at the lower end of the income scales who live or work in Boulder County. Others feel that this is not a proper role for local governments. Do you favor or oppose local governments helping to increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for low-and-moderate income persons who
live or work in Boulder County?


## Younger voters, especially women, are more likely to favor increasing the availability of reasonably priced housing.

Some people feel that local governments should help increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for people at the lower end of the income scales who live or work in Boulder County. Others feel that this is not a proper role for local governments. Do you favor or oppose local governments helping to increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for low-and-moderate income persons who
live or work in Boulder County?


NEW BRIDGE S T R A T E G Y

## Renters are much more likely to favor increasing the availability of reasonably priced housing.

Some people feel that local governments should help increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for people at the lower end of the income scales who live or work in Boulder County. Others feel that this is not a proper role for local governments. Do you favor or oppose local governments helping to increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for low-and-moderate income persons who
live or work in Boulder County?


## Nearly three-in-four newer residents favor increasing the availability of reasonably priced housing.

Some people feel that local governments should help increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for people at the lower end of the income scales who live or work in Boulder County. Others feel that this is not a proper role for local governments. Do you favor or oppose local governments helping to increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for low-and-moderate income persons who
live or work in Boulder County?


## Regardless of how Democrats feel about the direction of the county, most are in favor of increasing the availability of reasonably priced housing.

Some people feel that local governments should help increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for people at the lower end of the income scales who live or work in Boulder County. Others feel that this is not a proper role for local governments. Do you favor or oppose local governments helping to increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for low-and-moderate income persons who
live or work in Boulder County?


# Most Independents who say the county is going in the right direction favor increasing the availability of reasonably priced housing. Those who say it is off on the wrong track are more likely to oppose this. 

Some people feel that local governments should help increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for people at the lower end of the income scales who live or work in Boulder County. Others feel that this is not a proper role for local governments. Do you favor or oppose local governments helping to increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for low-and-moderate income persons who
live or work in Boulder County?



The Bottom Line

## The Bottom Line

- In the last few years, there has been a decline in voters saying that the county is going in the right direction. This sentiment is not unique to Boulder County. In all of our work over the past two years, we have seen a decline across the country in perceptions of local governments. There are also more voters this year compared to last year who say taxes in the County are way too high. This is also not surprising given inflation and the rising cost of living in the County and across the country. Again, this is typical of what we have seen in other counties and districts across the Country and in Colorado.
- Housing, homelessness, and traffic/overdevelopment are seen as the most important problems facing Boulder County.
- A majority support a potential ballot proposal to increase sales tax in the County to provide additional mental health and substance abuse services in the County. Support is driven by Independent and Democratic voters as well as renters and newer residents to Boulder. Supporters view the proposal as a way to help with mental health and address homelessness in the County. Opponents are against tax increases or a new tax, generally.
- Hearing the average personal financial impact of the sales tax does not bolster support in a significant way. Support increases by only two percentage points, within margin of error.
- County voters believe it is important to fund the mental health items we tested, specifically, having mental health professionals respond to mental health crises, providing additional services, increasing the availability and affordability of services as well as providing navigation support for residents on how to access affordable mental health care and providing services to help stabilize residents when they are in crisis.


## The Bottom Line

- Messages that emphasize helping Boulder schools and community organizations address the mental health crisis among young people as well as helping more people obtain affordable care rise to the top. However, after hearing more about the proposal, "yes" voters for the proposal remain consistent with the initial ballot.
- Funding affordable housing elements are also deemed as important by Boulder residents. They are most likely to say it is extremely or very important to provide emergency housing for residents, including youth, who are at risk of or are experiencing homelessness. Almost all voters (nine-in-ten) say that housing prices are so high that many people can't afford to live where they work. And three-in-four renters say they might have to move out of Boulder because rent is getting too high to stay. Compared to 1995, perceptions of Boulder's housing situation have only gotten worse.
- A majority favor local government helping to increase the availability of reasonably priced housing for low-and-moderate income persons who live or work in Boulder County.


Lori Weigel Principal<br>lori@newbridgestrategy.com 303.324.7655<br>Kathryn Hahne Director<br>kathryn@newbridgestrategy.com 703.888.9295

## Key Demographics



|  | Gender |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male |  | $50 \%$ |
| Female |  | $48 \%$ |



## Homeowner

Own
73\%
Rent
18\%

## Age



| $18-34$ | $27 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $35-44$ | $16 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | $14 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | $19 \%$ |
| $65+$ | $24 \%$ |



## Education

High school or less 10\%
Some college 30\%
College grad 36\%
Post grad 24\%

## Ethnicity

White
81\%
Voters of Color 18\%


Party
Republican 14\%
Independent 41\%
Democrat 45\%


[^0]:    Please indicate again how important it is to you that this particular item related to affordable housing be funded by the County.

